

Commission of Inquiry into the Circumstances Surrounding the Death of Phoenix Sinclair

The Honourable Edward (Ted) Hughes, Q.C., Commissioner

Transcript of Proceedings
Public Inquiry Hearing
held at the Victoria/Albert Room, Lower Level,
Delta Winnipeg Hotel,
385 St. Mary Avenue, Winnipeg, Manitoba

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 24, 2013

APPEARANCES

- MS. S. WALSH, Commission Counsel
- MR. D. OLSON, Senior Associate Counsel
- MR. N. GLOBERMAN, Associate Commission Counsel
- MR. R. MASCARENHAS, Associate Commission Counsel
- MR. G. MCKINNON and MR. S. PAUL, for Department of Family Services and Labour
- MR. T. RAY, for Manitoba Government and General Employees Union
- MS. L. HARRIS, for General Child and Family Services Authority
- MR. K. SAXBERG, for First Nations of Northern Manitoba Child and Family Services Authority, First Nations of Southern Manitoba Child and Family Services Authority, and Child and Family All Nation Coordinated Response Network
- MR. H. KHAN, for Intertribal Child and Family Services
- **MR. J. GINDIN** and **MR. G. DERWIN,** for Mr. Nelson Draper Steve Sinclair and Ms. Kimberly-Ann Edwards
- MR. J. FUNKE, for Assembly of Manitoba Chiefs and Southern Chiefs Organization Inc.
- MS. M. VERSACE, for University of Manitoba, Faculty of Social Work
- MS. C. DUNN, for Ka Ni Kanichihk Inc.
- MR. A. LADYKA, for Office of the Chief Medical Examiner of Manitoba
- MS. B. BOWLEY, for Witness, Ms. Diva Faria

INDEX

			Page
WITNESSE	<u>s</u> :		
ALEXANDRA	A SHARON COLLEEN WRIGHT		
	Direct Examination	(Walsh)	3
HARVY FR	ANKEL		
	Direct Examination Cross-Examination Cross-Examination Cross-Examination Cross-Examination Cross-Examination Cross-Examination Cross-Examination Cross-Examination Re-Examination	(Globerman) (Gindin) (Bowley) (Paul) (Khan) (Harris) (Saxberg) (Versace) (Globerman)	129 177 191 201 205 210 215 222 225
PROCEEDINGS			228
EXHIBITS	:		
41	Curriculum Vitae of Alexandra Sharon Colleen Wright		2
42	Report prepared by Alexandra Sharon Colleen Wright: A Review of Best Practices in Child Welfare		2

PROCEEDINGS APRIL 24, 2013

```
1 APRIL 24, 2013
```

2 PROCEEDINGS CONTINUED FROM APRIL 23, 2013

3

- 4 MS. WALSH: Good morning, Mr. Commissioner.
- 5 THE COMMISSIONER: Good morning. All right.
- 6 MS. WALSH: Our first witness this morning is Dr.
- 7 Alexandra Wright.
- 8 THE CLERK: If you could just stand for a moment?
- 9 THE WITNESS: Yeah.
- 10 THE CLERK: Is it your choice to swear on the
- 11 Bible or affirm without the Bible?
- 12 THE WITNESS: I'll affirm without the Bible.
- 13 THE CLERK: All right. And if you could just
- 14 state your full name for the court.
- 15 THE WITNESS: Alexandra Sharon Colleen Wright.
- 16 THE CLERK: And spell me your first name.
- 17 THE WITNESS: A-L-E-X-A-N-D-R-A.
- THE CLERK: And your next name, please?
- THE WITNESS: W-R-I-G-H-T.
- THE CLERK: And you had middle names.
- 21 THE WITNESS: Oh, Sharon Colleen. S-H-A-R-O-N,
- $22 \quad C-O-L-L-E-E-N.$

- 24 ALEXANDRA SHARON COLLEEN WRIGHT,
- 25 affirmed, testified as follows:

PROCEEDINGS APRIL 24, 2013

1 2 THE CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated. 3 THE WITNESS: Thank you. MS. WALSH: Mr. Commissioner, two exhibits to 4 5 enter. One is the CV of Dr. Wright and the second is the paper that she prepared for the Commission, A Review of 6 Best Practices in Child Welfare. 7 8 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, it doesn't happen to have 9 a date, does it? 10 MS. WALSH: I don't -- does it have a date on the 11 paper itself? 12 THE WITNESS: No, it doesn't. 13 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. That will become -- the CV will be Exhibit, what, 39 or 40? 14 15 THE CLERK: Believe so. Or 41 (inaudible). 16 THE COMMISSIONER: Forty-one, I guess. 17 THE CLERK: Forty-one and 42. 18 THE COMMISSIONER: Right. CV 41, and 42 is the 19 report. 20 THE CLERK: Yes. 21 22 EXHIBIT 41: CURRICULUM VITAE OF ALEXANDRA SHARON COLLEEN WRIGHT 23 24

EXHIBIT 42: REPORT PREPARED BY

ALEXANDRA SHARON COLLEEN WRIGHT: 1 2 A REVIEW OF BEST PRACTICES IN 3 CHILD WELFARE 4 5 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. 6 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. WALSH: 8 Dr. Wright, you have copies of your CV and your paper in front of you? 9 10 Α Yes, I do. 11 And we'll also be pulling your paper up on the 12 computer screen as well as we go through it so that 13 everyone will be able to follow along wherever we refer to 14 the paper itself. 15 Α Okay. 16 Let's start with, with your background and, and your qualifications. You are currently a member of the 17 18 University of Manitoba's Faculty of Social Work. 19 Α Yes. 20 And you have been a member of that faculty since 21 1992; is that right? 22 A Full-time in 2001, yes. 23 Part-time starting --Q. 24 Α Yeah. -- in '92? 25 Q.

- 1 A Yeah.
- 2 Q Okay. And in terms of your own education, you
- 3 have a Bachelor of Arts that you obtained in '88.
- 4 A Um-hum.
- 5 Q And then you obtained your Bachelor of Social
- 6 Work from University of Manitoba in 1990. Then in 1995 you
- 7 obtained your Master of Social Work from the University of
- 8 Manitoba Faculty of Social Work.
- 9 A Um-hum.
- 10 Q What was the thesis or focus of your master's?
- 11 A My master's thesis focused on the topic of the
- 12 Manitoba risk estimation system and families with chronic
- 13 maltreatment problems.
- MS. WALSH: And I wonder, should the witness pull
- 15 her microphone a little bit --
- 16 THE WITNESS: Oh.
- MS. WALSH: -- closer, maybe?
- THE WITNESS: Good? Okay.

20 BY MS. WALSH:

- 21 Q Thank you. Then in 2003 you obtained your
- 22 doctorate.
- 23 A Um-hum.
- Q And that was from University of Glasgow?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q What was the focus of your doctorate?
- 2 A The focus of my doctoral thesis was on the
- 3 implementation of a legislative category called children in
- 4 need, and how social work staff interpret and implement
- 5 that legislation in practice. The legislation, children in
- 6 need, includes children who are in need of protection,
- 7 children with special needs and those affected by special
- 8 needs, and children involved in the criminal justice
- 9 system.
- 10 Q That's how the legislation in Scotland defined
- 11 children in need.
- 12 A Um-hum.
- 2 So a broader definition of children in need than,
- 14 for instance, the Child and Family Services Act of Manitoba
- 15 or --
- 16 A Yes.
- 18 protection --
- 19 A That's right.
- 20 Q -- in the sense of, of their safety and well-
- 21 being.
- 22 A Yes. So social work there would be involved with
- 23 those families or those children without focusing on the
- 24 reasons for their needs but -- the reasons, but on their
- 25 needs. So base interventions on that.

- 1 Q We may, if we have -- if we have time, we may
- 2 come back to that.
- 3 A Okay.
- 4 Q Coming back to your employment, from July of 2008
- 5 until June of 2010 you were the associate dean of the
- 6 undergraduate program at University of Manitoba Faculty of
- 7 Social Work?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q What did your duties involve?
- 10 A Really, my position entailed implementing and
- 11 overseeing the undergraduate program. We have three sites:
- 12 one in the inner city, one in Thompson, Manitoba, and one
- 13 on the Fort Garry campus, as well as a distance program.
- 14 So really ensuring policies -- undergraduate policies and,
- 15 you know, education were provided at all those sites and
- 16 following university standards.
- Q Okay. From 2009 to the present, you have had the
- 18 title associate professor --
- 19 A Um-hum.
- 20 Q -- at the U of M Faculty of Social Work and
- 21 you've listed, at pages 7 and 8 of your CV, a variety of
- 22 the courses and subjects that you have taught, starting at
- 23 page 7.
- 24 A Um-hum.
- 25 Q You indicate that you have supervised 13 graduate

- 1 students and have sat on the committees of ten graduate
- 2 students. You've been an external committee member for a
- 3 Ph.D. candidate and one political studies master's student,
- 4 and you're the content specialist for a six credit hour
- 5 child welfare undergraduate course.
- 6 A Um-hum.
- 7 Q Then over the years you have taught a number of
- 8 courses in the Bachelor of Social Work program. A number
- 9 of those have focused on child and family services
- 10 fieldwork?
- 11 A Um-hum.
- 12 Q So what would be some examples of the, the
- 13 courses that you've taught in the B.S.W. program?
- 14 A So the field-focused child and family services is
- 15 a six credit hour course which means it's three hours a
- 16 week from September to April, and the focus is on the child
- 17 welfare system, especially in Manitoba, but it does broaden
- 18 out to look at other areas or jurisdictions.
- 19 Q In a number of, of areas of the child welfare
- 20 system?
- 21 A The idea of the course is that it really ranges
- 22 from direct practice to a broader community level of
- 23 intervention.
- 24 Q You've also taught a number of courses in the
- 25 master's program.

- 1 A Um-hum.
- 2 Q Can you just highlight some of the subject that
- 3 you've taught in that program?
- 4 A Sure. My focus in terms of the master's level
- 5 teaching is on social service administration and
- 6 theoretical foundations of social service administration,
- 7 so organizational focus, and much of my research has been
- 8 in that area, often with child welfare agencies.
- 9 Q Social service administration. So an example of
- 10 that?
- 11 A Anything that a manager or administrator may be
- 12 needing in terms of a theoretical background or practice
- 13 involvement for running an organization.
- 14 Q I see that you were also, in the early part of
- 15 your career, a field worker in the child welfare field?
- 16 A Um-hum.
- 18 family service worker ...
- 19 A In Winnipeg.
- 20 Q In Winnipeg.
- 21 A Yeah.
- 22 Q Then your publications and presentations are
- 23 listed at pages 4 through 6 of your CV. There are
- 24 asterisks beside many of the publications. What does that
- 25 indicate?

- 1 A Oh, that indicates peer reviewed --
- 2 Q Okay.
- 3 A -- publications.
- 4 Q Okay. For those of us who are not academics,
- 5 that, that --
- 6 A Yeah.
- 7 Q -- explains that. Going through some of the,
- 8 the publications, then -- and, and I'm certainly not going
- 9 to --
- THE COMMISSIONER: What, what does the asterisk
- 11 indicate?
- 12 THE WITNESS: Peer reviewed publications, so
- 13 where they've been accepted through a peer review process.
- 14 THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, I, I follow you.
- 15 THE WITNESS: Yeah.
- 16 THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah. Thank you.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Yeah.

- 19 BY MS. WALSH:
- 20 Q Going through some of, of your many publications,
- 21 about a third of the way down the lead author is Reid,
- 22 Sigurdson, and Christianson-Wood, and Wright.
- 23 A Um-hum.
- Q Risk assessment -- it's a paper from 1996 -- Some
- 25 Canadian Findings. Now, the -- again, for those of us who

- 1 aren't academics, what's the significance of the first name
- 2 in the list of authors?
- 3 A Right. So both Grant Reid and Eric Sigurdson
- 4 were the co-researchers on the project looking at risk
- 5 estimation in Manitoba, and Jan Christianson-Wood and I
- 6 were both on the research project as research assistants or
- 7 associates. So we both did our thesis in that area but,
- 8 really, the first author is sort of the primary author and,
- 9 you know, maybe took a greater role in writing the paper or
- 10 publication.
- 11 Q And that, that's a common way for --
- 12 A Yeah.
- 13 Q -- academic papers or research --
- 14 A Yeah.
- 15 Q -- papers to be identified.
- 16 A And sometimes if you really do share it, you
- 17 might then just rotate. So you might have, for example,
- 18 Sigurdson and Reid in the next one.
- 19 Q I see.
- 20 A Yeah.
- 21 Q What was that research project about?
- 22 A That looked at developing a Manitoba risk
- 23 estimation system in Manitoba, and I did my thesis there on
- 24 families with chronic maltreatment problems who were
- 25 involved with the system.

- 1 Q And did that lead to the development of a risk
- 2 assessment tool?
- 3 A Yes. Yes, that led to the development of the
- 4 MRES risk estimation system.
- 5 Q The next one I want to ask you about, you are
- 6 the, the lead author. It's published in 2013, Managing the
- 7 successful implementation of family-centered practice.
- 8 A Um-hum.
- 9 O What was that about?
- 10 A So I had been invited to work with Dr. Barry
- 11 Trute and Dr. Diane Hiebert-Murphy on a project looking at
- 12 the implementation of family-centred practice in Manitoba
- 13 for families with children with special needs, and my focus
- 14 was on the organizational level of this implementation.
- 15 One of the outcomes of the research and analysis was this
- 16 publication that really is providing -- the idea is to
- 17 provide managers with a system of how to implement family
- 18 centred practice.
- 19 Q What is family-centred practice?
- 20 A Family-centred practice is really an approach to
- 21 social work where there's a real focus on engagement with
- 22 families, allowing the worker to build relationship,
- 23 finding -- working with family strengths, and really
- 24 focusing on enabling and empowering. And by enabling, I
- 25 mean creating opportunities, and empowering, the goal there

- 1 is through relationship to look at meeting needs in a
- 2 manner that allows for the individual -- or community
- 3 level, but individual to gain a sense of mastery and
- 4 control over their functioning.
- 5 Q What's the significance of that for protecting
- 6 children?
- 7 A Well, family-centred practice, from my
- 8 perspective, is good social work practice and I think, you
- 9 know -- I think there's a general agreement in terms of
- 10 that approach. And it seems that in terms of child welfare
- 11 practice on a direct level of service provision, certainly
- 12 a family-centred practice could take on a much more
- 13 important role of engaging with families, allowing the
- 14 social worker, for example, to engage with families in a
- 15 meaningful way, developing opportunities for empowerment,
- 16 and really positive outcomes for children and families.
- 17 Q And we'll, we'll come back to that as we go
- 18 through your paper.
- 19 A Okay.
- 20 Q The next paper, again you were the lead author,
- 21 2013, Supervision to enhance family-centred practice.
- 22 A Again, based on the analysis of the research
- 23 project that I did with Barry and Diane -- that's Dr. Trute
- 24 and Dr. Hiebert-Murphy -- one of the issues that came out
- 25 was supervision, and the importance of supervision in being

- 1 able to implement family-centred practice, so I wrote a
- 2 conceptual piece based on that.
- 3 Q When you say conceptual piece, that means as
- 4 opposed to a research --
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q -- piece?
- 7 A So as opposed to basing it purely on research
- 8 data. While the research data informed that writing, it's
- 9 more theoretical in presentation.
- 10 Q And I know we're going to come back and talk some
- 11 more about supervision as, as you discuss it in your paper,
- 12 but what were the findings or discussion in your paper
- 13 about the significance of supervision to family-centred
- 14 practice?
- 15 A Yeah, the -- it's, you know, really important for
- 16 workers to be able to have regular planned supervision with
- 17 their supervisor. It's important for a supervisor to be
- 18 skilled and have professional development in this area and
- 19 knowledge in this area. I mean, those are key, key
- 20 findings.
- 21 Q Right.
- 22 A Yeah.
- 23 Q Okay. Then in 2012 you authored, A conceptual
- 24 framework for child welfare service coordination,
- 25 collaboration, and integration. What did that cover?

- 1 A That again was based on, you know, sort of a --
- 2 that paper was informed of research projects in the past,
- 3 but what had been apparent in, for example, the disability
- 4 study I did with Gwen Gosek and Diane Hiebert-Murphy and
- 5 the kinship care project that I was on, really, the need
- 6 for collaborative and coordinated -- and maybe at times,
- 7 integrated -- processes in order to provide better for
- 8 children and their families from a system level. So
- 9 different organizations working together.
- 10 Q So that would be collaboration, coordination,
- 11 integration between the child welfare system and other
- 12 social service --
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q -- systems, for example?
- 15 A Yeah. Yeah. It can be within an organization to
- 16 ensure that services are sort of considered seamless, where
- 17 the transition for the family from one service to another
- 18 is fairly smooth, but it can also be within a broader
- 19 system across agencies.
- 20 Q Okay. In 2010 you did a paper entitled,
- 21 Responses to children in need in Scotland: Historical
- 22 challenges for social service planning, policy and
- 23 provision.
- 24 A Um-hum. This paper stemmed from my Ph.D.
- 25 research, and really tried -- it was sort of a chapter from

- 1 my Ph.D. research but really looked at a historical
- 2 analysis of planning for children in need from just prior
- 3 to the poor law system to kind of contemporary times, and
- 4 similar themes would come out. For example, the issue of
- 5 targeting for services versus universal services,
- 6 stigmatizing those who are receiving services, those types
- 7 of things.
- 8 Q And again, that, that reference to children in
- 9 need, that's based on the legislative definition in
- 10 Scotland that, that's broader than just children in need --
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q -- of protection in the child --
- 13 A Yeah.
- 14 Q -- welfare sense.
- 15 A Yeah, really children in need of support.
- 16 Q Whatever kind of support that might be.
- 17 A That's right. So it's very much a needs-based
- 18 assessment versus programs that are targeted specifically
- 19 for a particular group or category.
- 20 Q At the bottom of page 4, 2006 paper entitled,
- 21 Implementing family support policy: Empowering
- 22 practitioners. What did that cover?
- 23 A Again, that chapter really looked at the process
- 24 of policy implementation and how workers -- or supervisors
- 25 and managers, for that matter -- can analyze and understand

- 1 how a policy -- and plan for how a policy can be developed
- 2 and then implemented, or if it was implemented but maybe
- 3 not as expected, sort of understand maybe why not.
- 4 Q On the next page you're the lead author in a
- 5 paper published in 2011, Family-centred child welfare
- 6 practice. So this is, this is the same topic that you've
- 7 written on before. Did this appear in a textbook?
- 8 A This was in Dr. Brad Mackenzie's and Kathleen
- 9 Kufeldt's book, Child welfare: Connecting research, policy
- 10 and practice. And that chapter stemmed from my own
- 11 research and experience in the child welfare system where
- 12 it really seemed that the application of family-centred
- 13 tenets to child welfare seemed like a really good fit on
- 14 the direct practice level and on an organizational level.
- 15 Q When we talk -- when you, when you talk about
- 16 direct practice level, we've heard reference to case
- 17 management, delivery of, of front line services.
- 18 A Right.
- 19 Q That's the direct practice.
- 20 A That's what I mean, yeah.
- 21 Q Then in 2006 you were one of the authors of a
- 22 Final Report: Factors that contribute to positive outcomes
- 23 in the Awasis Pimicikamak Cree Nation Kinship Care Program.
- 24 A Right.
- 25 Q So what was that report about?

- 1 A So that project looked at kinship care in the
- 2 Awasis Cree Nation Child and Family Services agency, and
- 3 really the focus was trying to understand sort of strengths
- 4 and challenges to providing kinship care. And so children
- 5 and youth -- or youth, I guess, foster family providers,
- 6 kinship care providers, and then staff were interviewed and
- 7 asked just for their perceptions on kinship care.
- 8 Q What is kinship care?
- 9 A Kinship care is really focused on maintaining a
- 10 child's emotional bond within their community and extended
- 11 family. It can be blood relation, but it doesn't
- 12 necessarily have to be, but the family is viewed as
- 13 community-based and, and connected.
- 14 Q So that's an approach to --
- 15 A To alternate care. So for kids who do come into
- 16 care, the idea is it really maintains them within their
- 17 community and cultural context. Language context was a big
- 18 piece there, too.
- 19 Q Then finally, in 2010, you were the lead author
- 20 on a article entitled or study entitled, Professionals'
- 21 perspectives on organizational factors that support or
- 22 hinder the successful implementation of family-centered
- 23 practice. So what did that review?
- 24 A So again, that paper stemmed from the analysis of
- 25 the data on the family centred project that I did with Dr.

- 1 Trute and Dr. Hiebert-Murphy and, really -- one piece of
- 2 that was for me to focus on the professionals who were
- 3 involved in providing services: social workers,
- 4 supervisors, and then sort of key stakeholders on more of a
- 5 management level. But their perspectives on what worked in
- 6 order to implement family-centred and what were a hindrance
- 7 to that. So issues such as caseload or workload, lack of
- 8 supervision, a lack of support by the organization in terms
- 9 of management, those would be examples of factors that
- 10 hindered it.
- 11 Q Okay, that you've covered in, in your report.
- 12 A Yeah.
- 13 Q All right. And I think, I think that, that gives
- 14 us a good idea --
- 15 A Good.
- 16 Q -- of your background and experience, so we can
- move on.
- MS. WALSH: Unless, Mr. Commissioner, you have
- 19 any questions --
- THE COMMISSIONER: No.
- MS. WALSH: -- about that?
- 22 THE COMMISSIONER: I think, I think you've got
- 23 the right witness here for what we're dealing with.
- MS. WALSH: Good.

1 BY MS. WALSH:

- 2 Q Now, Dr. Wright, you originally prepared a best
- 3 practices review at the request of the co-chairs who were
- 4 appointed to conduct the external review, which led to the
- 5 report that we put into evidence which is entitled
- 6 Strengthen the Commitment.
- 7 A Right.
- 8 Q And in particular, my understanding is that it
- 9 was Billie Schibler who asked you --
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q -- to prepare that review.
- 12 A Yes.
- MS. WALSH: And that review is found at pages
- 14 three-thirty -- starting at page 335 of our disclosure. I
- 15 don't know that we have to bring it up -- oh, there it is.
- 16 Thank you.

17

18 BY MS. WALSH:

- 19 Q So that's, that's the original paper that, that
- 20 you prepared in 2006. And then at, at the Commission's
- 21 request, you prepared a paper that is a more comprehensive
- 22 review and discussion of best practice.
- 23 A Um-hum.
- Q That's right?
- 25 A That's right, yes.

- 1 Q And that's the paper that we have entered into
- 2 evidence now as Exhibit 42. And we asked for this paper to
- 3 provide a framework and a resource for the Commission as we
- 4 conduct our analysis and as the Commissioner reviews the
- 5 evidence and, and makes his recommendations.
- 6 Would you describe just briefly what's the
- 7 difference between the paper that you prepared in 2006 and
- 8 the paper that you prepared for this Commission?
- 9 A Okay. So the paper in 2006 was sort of -- much
- 10 less time went into it, for example. It really tried to
- 11 provide just a brief overview of issues related to best
- 12 practice in child welfare. This one that we're looking at
- 13 today, you know, has much more time. It's more extensive
- 14 in terms of the issues that are addressed. So, for
- 15 example, there's a greater focus on community intervention
- 16 and, you know, I updated in terms of more recent
- 17 publications and reports.
- 18 Q At no time, either when you prepared the review
- 19 in 2006 or when you prepared the paper for this Commission,
- 20 were you ever asked to look at the facts that were specific
- 21 to the delivery of child welfare services to Phoenix
- 22 Sinclair and her family.
- 23 A Right.
- 24 Q I understand -- you live, you live in Ottawa.
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q And I understand that you have not been following
- 2 the evidence as it's being reported in the media of this
- 3 Inquiry.
- 4 A That's right, yes.
- 5 THE COMMISSIONER: You're presently not on the
- 6 faculty?
- 7 THE WITNESS: I am on the faculty, but I'm on
- 8 leave. I'm in a position in Ottawa right now.
- 9 THE COMMISSIONER: Oh, oh.

- 11 BY MS. WALSH:
- 12 Q And, you know, I missed that --
- 13 A Yeah.
- 14 Q -- from your CV, where you're currently working.
- 15 A Right.
- 16 O You are the Executive Director of the Canadian
- 17 Association for Social Work Education.
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Which is what? What is that organization?
- 20 A It's the national body, national body in Canada
- 21 that accredits social work programs in universities across
- 22 Canada on B.S.W. -- Bachelor of Social Work and Master of
- 23 Social Work programs. And really, the focus is on social
- 24 work education to promote and sustain excellence, and
- 25 social work education both through the accreditation

- 1 process but also there's an annual conference and there's a
- 2 publication.
- 3 THE COMMISSIONER: So you're on leave from the
- 4 faculty?
- 5 THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's right.

7 BY MS. WALSH:

- 8 Q What was the process, then, that you followed to
- 9 prepare the, the paper for this Commission?
- 10 A Essentially, I did a review of the social
- 11 sciences literature and current reports that were
- 12 available.
- 13 Q On best practices.
- 14 A On -- sorry --
- 15 Q Which --
- 16 A On best practices in child welfare.
- 17 Q Okay.
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And before we get into the specifics of the
- 20 paper --
- 21 A Yeah.
- 22 Q -- just sort of by way of, of background, how do
- 23 you define child welfare?
- 24 A I guess I would define child welfare as a system
- 25 of service planning and delivery that really encompasses

- 1 policies and services aimed to support and protect children
- 2 and families.
- 3 Q I understand that you have described it more
- 4 broadly than referring simply to mandated legislated child
- 5 welfare services.
- 6 A Yes. I, I mean, I might be old-fashioned in this
- 7 one, but I do still see there's the protection component of
- 8 the child welfare system, but I also see a support
- 9 component, family support. I know there are some -- you
- 10 know, there are some who would say that the two should be
- 11 separated and that debate has been going on for a long
- 12 time, too. But certainly, from my perspective the child
- 13 welfare system encompasses both, whether it's one agency
- 14 that does both or whether it's multiple agencies.
- 15 Q You start your paper by describing the Canadian
- 16 child welfare system as a complex system.
- 17 A Um-hum.
- 18 Q What do you mean by that?
- 19 A I guess in terms of the complexity, you have
- 20 jurisdictional divisions and different funding bodies. You
- 21 also have, you know, a combination of private non-profit
- 22 agencies providing direct services, as well as government
- 23 services. So I think that complexity just on that
- 24 structural level can be, you know, at times difficult to
- 25 negotiate or to navigate, I guess. And then you do also

- 1 have, depending on the, the breadth that you're defining
- 2 the system, you can have multiple service providers
- 3 involved in the child welfare system.
- 4 Q Broadly speaking, what are some of the challenges
- 5 the child welfare system in Canada faces?
- 6 A I think one of the challenges is always funding
- 7 in terms of adequate funding and trying to find the balance
- 8 between limited resources and assessed needs. So meeting
- 9 needs that are assessed as opposed to just targeted
- 10 programming or planned programming.
- 11 I think with the history of colonization and the
- 12 effects of that on aboriginal communities in particular, I
- 13 think that has some really specific needs to Manitoba and
- 14 Canada as a whole. Jurisdictional divisions in terms of
- 15 who's responsible for what and the authority to do what, I
- 16 think, you know, can be very challenge, too. On a direct
- 17 practice level, the work itself can be really complex and
- 18 difficult and, and tough on frontline social workers and
- 19 supervisors. Yeah.
- 20 Q In terms of the paper that you prepared for the
- 21 Commission, you describe it as providing an overview of
- 22 principal elements in the topic of best practices. If
- 23 you've looking for where I'm getting that from, it's at
- 24 page 2, but I gather that this paper was not intended to be
- 25 an exhaustive discussion --

- 1 A That's right.
- 2 Q -- of the very -- of the numerous topics that you
- 3 raise in the paper.
- 4 A Yes. So what I really tried to do with the paper
- 5 -- because each of these topics can become unwieldy and,
- 6 and large and a book in their own right, but what I've
- 7 tried to do is structure it so that it follows an outline
- 8 that addresses specific issues -- for example, a structural
- 9 or systems level, then the community level, organizational
- 10 level issues, and direct practice level issues -- to try to
- 11 touch on key concepts or research or fundamental issues
- 12 that are really important for best practices in child
- 13 welfare.
- 14 A But it, it doesn't aim to be, you know, all
- 15 encompassing and I've really tried to identify different
- 16 texts, different people, even other researchers, who are
- 17 really focused in a specific area. I feel like it probably
- 18 hasn't done justice to people with special needs, for
- 19 example, or kids with special needs, or maybe kids with
- 20 sexual orientation, transgender issues. You know, I
- 21 haven't been able even to touch on those issues, but the
- 22 idea is that it would encompass all of that.
- 23 Q Okay.
- 24 A Yeah.
- 25 Q So in terms of how your paper is set out -- I'm

- 1 looking at, at page 3 in terms of how the paper is
- 2 structured. You begin with a definition of best practice,
- 3 you say, and "an explanation of an ecological and a
- 4 critical structural perspective." And we're going to
- 5 discuss what, what an ecological perspective is in more
- 6 detail, but, but what does that mean?
- 7 A In terms of -- what does best practice mean?
- 8 Q An ecological perspective.
- 9 A Okay.
- 10 Q We'll, we'll come to the definition of best
- 11 practice --
- 12 A Okay.
- 13 Q -- in a moment, but what, what does the reference
- 14 to an ecological perspective mean?
- MS. WALSH: And perhaps we can follow along with
- 16 Dr. Wright's paper.
- 17 THE COMMISSIONER: Just, just before she answers
- 18 that, I want to ask you one question. I studied -- I don't
- 19 know whether it was a draft or not -- of your paper. And
- 20 there, there seems to be just the odd difference, perhaps,
- 21 in Exhibit 42 from the paper I studied. For instance, on
- 22 page 37 of the paper I studied, there was no heading, Out-
- 23 of-Home Care. I now see there is just the heading. If I
- 24 stick to the paper I studied, with -- am I pretty much got
- 25 the same paper? In other words, did you make some final

- 1 revisions? Is that ...
- 2 THE WITNESS: I believe that the one --
- 3 MS. WALSH: It's very close.
- 4 THE WITNESS: -- that says -- yeah, they're very
- 5 close. The one that has the subtitles such as out-of-home
- 6 care --
- 7 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
- 8 THE WITNESS: -- there may be a few minor
- 9 revisions, but there isn't huge content difference.
- 10 THE COMMISSIONER: Just minor.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Yeah.
- 12 THE COMMISSIONER: That's fine, because I'm
- 13 following the one I read --
- 14 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- THE COMMISSIONER: -- and marked up. Thank --
- 16 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 17 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
- 18 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 19 MS. WALSH: I think revisions really have been
- 20 stenographic.
- 21 THE WITNESS: Right, yeah.
- MS. WALSH: Typos, that sort of thing.
- THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
- 24
- 25 BY MS. WALSH:

- 1 Q So you were going to, to explain for us the
- 2 meaning of the term, an ecological perspective, in the
- 3 context of, of child welfare or best practices.
- 4 A Okay. So Urie Bronfenbrenner in, I think it was
- 5 1977, came up with this term of an ecological perspective
- 6 based on the notion that you can't just look at one element
- 7 of a family or child without looking at the context in
- 8 which they live and reside, so community and the -- to the
- 9 larger societal or systems level. There's also, though,
- 10 the organizational level that really implements policies in
- 11 direct practice delivery. So that's an important piece to
- 12 look at, and how these different elements interact with
- 13 each other and influence each other.
- 14 Q So as we go through the paper, then, we're going
- 15 to go through the sections referring to system, community,
- 16 organizational, and direct practice levels. And each -- the
- 17 paper is structured very helpfully such that at the end of
- 18 each section you do have key points and recommendations to
- 19 summarize the commentary in, in the section.
- 20 You start off under the heading, Best Practices
- 21 in Child Welfare, by saying that:

- 23 "A basic tenet of this paper
- is that [best practice] should be
- 25 incorporated as a systemic

- 1 approach to child welfare
- 2 planning, provision, and
- 3 evaluation."

- 5 How do you define "best practice"?
- 6 A Best practice really reflects the most desirable
- 7 sort of service planning and delivery approaches in child
- 8 welfare and there are standards that can be used as
- 9 benchmarks for child welfare, service planning, and
- 10 delivery. The, the aim of best practices is to benefit
- 11 children and families and the communities within which they
- 12 reside through positive outcomes. So, for example, improve
- 13 family functioning or, you know, well-being on a community
- 14 level. You can sort of view best practices as best efforts
- 15 in terms of service planning and delivery, too.
- The concept of best practices isn't that mistakes
- 17 aren't made -- and there are mistakes that are made or
- 18 there are outcomes that maybe aren't foreseen or planned
- 19 for -- but it's a concept that argues that child welfare
- 20 practice and service delivery should be informed and should
- 21 be thought about, and that if mistakes are made, then
- 22 people learn from them and you integrate that knowledge,
- 23 ideally, to improve service planning and practice.
- I guess why, why it's important -- do you want me
- 25 to --

- 1 Q Sure.
- 2 A -- talk about that piece?
- 3 Q Yes.
- 4 A You know, best practices are important because I
- 5 think it provides an accountability, accountability to, to
- 6 service users, so to families and children. I think it can
- 7 provide, though, accountability to funders, too, who are
- 8 supporting these services and the broader community in
- 9 which it occurs.
- 10 I think best practices can result in positive
- 11 outcomes for children and families, or communities, and it
- 12 can set an expectation of consistency for service provision
- 13 across, you know, various jurisdictions or regions. It can
- 14 also help organizations in terms of identifying areas for
- 15 improvement, maybe gaps in services that, you know, require
- 16 reallocated funding or new funding. And I think it can be
- 17 used as an evaluative capacity, so if it wasn't met, why
- 18 wasn't it met, and how wasn't it met, things like that.
- 19 Q What's the relationship between best practices
- 20 and minimum standards of child welfare service delivery?
- 21 A I guess, you know -- I guess best practices -- I
- 22 guess the concept behind best practices is that it would
- 23 become incorporated from an organizational perspective as
- 24 the standard for service delivery and so there isn't
- 25 necessarily a conflict between minimal standards and best

- 1 practices. And, certainly, some minimum standards may
- 2 reflect best practices already, right, so there's not an
- 3 automatic tension there or conflict. An example is maybe,
- 4 on a direct practice level, meeting with family members
- 5 when you're doing an assessment. That would be a best
- 6 practice standard or, or expectation, but that certainly, I
- 7 think, would be a minimum standard. Does that make sense?
- 8 Q I think so.
- 9 A Okay.
- 10 Q So when you say meeting with, with family
- 11 members, you mean people who are in the house with the
- 12 child?
- 13 A Right. Right. So when you're doing your
- 14 assessment on a -- I know I'm jumping ahead here, but
- 15 meeting with the parents, meeting with siblings, meeting
- 16 with maybe the child who was the focus of the referral.
- 17 You know, best practices would require contact with
- 18 collateral service providers on an interagency level. I
- 19 would argue that minimal standards would, too. So I, I
- 20 don't see that as a conflict. I think there's a real
- 21 focus, though, on aiming to improve, you know, if --
- 22 improve services, improve planning and policy.
- 23 O So minimum standards should reflect best
- 24 practices. Is that, is that fair?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q You talk about evidence-based social work. What
- 2 is that?
- 3 A Evidence-based is really -- stems in the 1970s
- 4 from the concept of, you know, decision making or planned
- 5 intervention should be based on research, clinical evidence
- 6 or, you know, maybe the family's own sort of interpretation
- 7 of issues, too. But really, it should be planned in a
- 8 thoughtful way. It, it isn't -- some people think
- 9 evidence-based only refers to sort of research-based
- 10 decision making, but I think it's broader to include
- 11 clinical experience to -- or frontline experience as well
- 12 as sort of the, the family's perspectives.
- I added, Gilgun also has a fourth element of, of
- 14 evidence-based that includes the worker's own sort of
- 15 values and perceptions, but the concept of it is that
- 16 there's a planned process to decision making.
- 17 Q You also, at page 5, identify that there can be
- 18 certain -- a certain caution has to be used in approaching
- 19 evidence-based processes. What does that refer to?
- 20 A Yeah, I think, you know, organizations can be
- 21 under pressure to have good outcomes, so good -- so-called
- 22 good numbers, and funders, you know, want to demonstrate
- 23 that their funding is being used effectively. Depending on
- 24 the measurements used, it doesn't necessary reflect maybe
- 25 good work in terms of a process. It can also exclude

- 1 really necessary work that's time consuming, that doesn't,
- 2 you know, provide good numbers in six months. So things
- 3 like relationship building or developing -- engaging with
- 4 families really can be a long process, working with
- 5 community can really be a longer process, and I think you
- 6 need to be careful in terms of that. Another issue is
- 7 targeted funding where it only focuses on one group that
- 8 may, maybe responds really quickly to, to services, whereas
- 9 another group may, may need more longer term involvement.
- 10 Q The -- at the bottom of page 5 you start with the
- 11 heading, An Ecological Approach to Child Welfare Service
- 12 Planning and Provision, and this is, this is the approach
- 13 that you just explained to us. And if we turn to page 6
- 14 you say in the first -- or the second paragraph:

- "An ecological approach to
- 17 child welfare practice emphasizes
- 18 the relationship between the
- 19 service user, their family, the
- 20 broader community and the larger
- society and how a person adapts
- 22 to, and interacts with, their
- 23 environment [that] the focus of
- 24 child welfare practice from an
- 25 ecological perspective situates

1	the child within their environment
2	recognizing the various elements
3	of an individual's and family's
4	life"
5	
6	And you go on to talk about:
7	
8	"An ecological approach to working
9	in child welfare integrates
10	individual, familial, community,
11	environmental, and cultural
12	factors as important contributors
13	to, as well as solutions to, child
14	maltreatment."
15	
16	Can you talk a little bit more about that
17	approach?
18	A I guess really the focus of that approach is that
19	there isn't, for example, just one direct focus on maybe
20	family problems or not looking at the broader context
21	within which a family lives. Issues such as poverty or
22	racism, for example, might be really impacting a family
23	negatively, you know, creating stressors which can then
24	result in really maybe negative family functioning. So it
25	really tries to get away from a blaming approach and

- 1 looking at strength-based approaches to working with
- 2 families in communities.
- 3 Q And places, then, a contextual approach --
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q -- to protecting children?
- 6 A Yeah, it really looks at things from a context in
- 7 which, in which child welfare occurs.
- 8 Q Then you start at describing the system level.
- 9 What's the system?
- 10 A The system level really looks at broader
- 11 structural issues such as, you know, organizations or
- 12 policies or legislation that's in place related to families
- 13 and communities. Issues such as poverty have real impacts
- 14 on families on a direct level, so that could be an example
- 15 of a system level.
- 16 Q And, in fact, at the top of page 7 you say that:

- "In order to address issues of
- 19 child maltreatment, these larger
- 20 systemic and societal issues
- 21 cannot be ignored."

22

23 And you cite an author, Barter, from 2001:

24

25 "... poverty; the residual nature

1 of child protection work; little 2 investment in prevention and early intervention; power imbalances 3 that have detrimental effects on 4 5 women, children and minority groups; negative public attitudes 7 towards poor and disadvantaged 8 citizens; and being driven by 9 neglect and abuse investigations 10 rather than family strengthening."

11

What's the impact of that aspect of the system on protecting children?

I think, as I said in a couple of, of articles --14 15 both Pelton and Jonson-Reid, Drake and Kohl's -- that an 16 example would be where the concept is, for example, a poverty that the, the majority of, of people in low 17 18 socioeconomic status do not abuse or neglect their 19 children, it's a minority that do, but nevertheless there 20 is a higher proportion in that group. And I think these 21 two studies demonstrate that poverty increases stressors 22 and also there are fewer supports. As a result, that can really relate to poorer family functioning and problems 23 24 such as maltreatment may occur.

25 Q So you cite -- you refer to Barter and then you

- 1 cite the study that Pelton did. The Barter study is from
- 2 2001; the Pelton study is from 1978.
- 3 A Yeah.
- 4 Q So this, this concept or this notion of the
- 5 impact of the stressors that arise from living in poverty
- 6 and the impact that has on protection of children, those
- 7 aren't new concepts.
- 8 A No. And I guess at the beginning when you asked
- 9 me how I did this research, it wasn't just current. It was
- 10 also -- I included seminal pieces that it seemed to me were
- 11 really relevant --
- 12 O Um-hum.
- 13 A -- for this discussion, too. So that's an
- 14 example, yes.
- 15 Q Well, I think it's helpful to know how long a
- 16 given --
- 17 A Perspective.
- 18 Q -- perspective has been in, in effect.
- Then Pelton goes on to say, therefore, as, as
- 20 part of child welfare intervention:
- 21
- "[He] recommended the provision of
- 23 services to minimize the negative
- 24 effects of poverty through
- 25 concrete services such as advocacy

1 for better housing, child care, 2 and emergency cash." 3 Α Um-hum. 4 So those are possibly not obvious recommendations 5 Q 6 in terms of protecting children. Right. But at -- I guess within that ecological 7 Α perspective, a real focus is you have to look at the 8 broader context in which families and communities exist, 9 10 and that being able to alleviate as a society some of these 11 stressors can really have positive impacts on family 12 functioning and well-being. 13 Right. Then at the top of page 8, you say that: Q 14 15 "Poverty is of particular 16 importance when considering 17 Aboriginal children and women with 18 children. For example, a higher 19 percentage of Aboriginal children 20 reside in low-income families 21 compared to non-Aboriginal urban settings 2.2 children in 23 (excluding reserves). In 2006,

of non-Aboriginal children

lived in low income families

21%

24

```
compared with 57% of First Nation,
1
                  45% of Inuit and 42% of Métis
 2
 3
                  children.... A community without
                         capacity for economic
 4
 5
                  independence will be significantly
                  impaired relative to a community
                                           economic
 7
                  t.hat.
                           has
                                    an
 8
                  sustainability. This impairment
9
                  has negative impacts on families,
10
                  including children."
11
12
             Can you tell us a little bit more about that,
13
    please?
14
             I think those stats provide examples of where,
15
    you know, fewer resources and fewer opportunities within
16
    the community really can create greater stressors and often
    reflect fewer supports, which then involve -- can involve,
17
18
    you know, problems with family functioning within a
    community. So I guess part of what this is demonstrating
19
20
    is solutions to -- can include solutions that look at these
21
    issues and try to address them.
22
             So I think you've possibly answered the question,
23
    but how does a best practice approach address these
24
    concerns?
25
        A best practice approach would, would -- in terms
```

- 1 of working directly with a family or a community, for
- 2 example, really would, as part of the assessment, include
- 3 issues such as poverty or experiences of racism or sexism
- 4 and how that can negatively impact on the family
- 5 functioning. So that might reflect, then, policy makers
- 6 who develop a specific policy to address housing problems
- 7 in a certain area, for example; perhaps access to other
- 8 resources to support families of -- lone parent families
- 9 with children.
- 10 THE COMMISSIONER: If, if I can just ask this:
- 11 You make reference to a community that has an economic
- 12 sustainability. Let's look at a community that doesn't
- 13 have economic sustainability.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Um-hum.
- THE COMMISSIONER: What effect is that going to
- 16 have with respect to the child welfare system relating to
- 17 families that are trying to cope in that kind of an
- 18 environment without that economic sustainability?
- 19 THE WITNESS: Right. Based on, you know, the
- 20 analysis of what the authors have said, it seems to me what
- 21 that will, will result in is much greater stressors on the
- 22 community. So greater stressors on just basic needs in
- 23 terms of, you know, housing, food, clothing, that sort of
- 24 concept, but even greater stressors in terms of safe
- 25 communities, so engagement on a community level within --

- 1 between and within community people. You know, I'll act to
- 2 protect children and families, and then -- so the fewer
- 3 supports concept within that, too. And then from a child
- 4 welfare perspective, then, problems with family functioning
- 5 in a greater proportion that then require intervention.
- THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah, but do you expect to see
- 7 more children having to come into care being raised in that
- 8 kind of an environment?
- 9 THE WITNESS: I would expect a higher proportion
- 10 of kids being referred to child protection services, and
- 11 likely more community care, yes.
- 12 THE COMMISSIONER: And does your study or your
- 13 knowledge of the world around you tell you that there are,
- 14 under our reserve system in this country -- you have
- 15 referred to colonization this morning.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Um-hum.
- 17 THE COMMISSIONER: That there are a number of
- 18 reserves that don't have economic sustainability.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Sure. I mean, I can use my example
- 20 of the study in Cross Lake, for example. You know, there
- 21 was social work, the family service workers within the
- 22 community, and the foster parents, and they were doing
- 23 their best to provide really good services but they were
- 24 the only show in town in terms of any kind of family
- 25 support service. So it obviously puts huge stress on this

- 1 organization to provide services that then you prioritize
- 2 into Band-Aid approaches because you simply don't have the
- 3 resources to be able to provide family support that works
- 4 on engagement, on trying to empower individuals, but also
- 5 communities to make positive change.
- 6 And Cross Lake also -- I just remembered this --
- 7 they had instituted a crisis line. I think they had
- 8 something like 500 calls in six months on the crisis line,
- 9 that were all manned or peopled by the staff of the child
- 10 welfare agency. So, you know, there's a huge demand on
- 11 their organizational resources to support the community,
- 12 too.
- THE COMMISSIONER: Well, having raised as you
- 14 have in this paper on this page --
- 15 THE WITNESS: Yeah.
- 16 THE COMMISSIONER: -- the state of aboriginal
- 17 children, reference to urban centre, but --
- 18 THE WITNESS: Um-hum.
- 19 THE COMMISSIONER: -- the fact of the matter is
- 20 that with respect to aboriginal people, many who have their
- 21 start on reserves, as I understand it, we find the
- 22 statistics being grossly out of line not only with respect
- 23 to, to child -- children coming into care, but
- 24 incarceration --
- THE WITNESS: Um-hum.

- 1 THE COMMISSIONER: -- suicide rate, drug use --
- THE WITNESS: Um-hum.
- 3 THE COMMISSIONER: -- and that kind of thing.
- 4 Does, does -- do those things grow out of -- along with the
- 5 child-in-care problem from units that don't have economic
- 6 sustainability?
- 7 THE WITNESS: I, I think it's really important to
- 8 -- from, from my perspective, to go back to an ecological
- 9 perspective where the structural or system level issues
- 10 such as poverty absolutely impact that level of functioning
- 11 and can result in higher rates of all those issues you just
- 12 identified. I don't think it's the only issue, though, but
- 13 I do think that's a really important piece to look at, too.
- So there can also be interpersonal factors from
- 15 an -- on an individual level or on a family level, that
- 16 also negatively impact family functioning.
- 17 THE COMMISSIONER: But that is, as you say, an
- 18 important piece of --
- 19 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 20 THE COMMISSIONER: -- of what some of the
- 21 problems that society is facing in --
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 23 THE COMMISSIONER: -- our country today.
- 24 THE WITNESS: Yeah. And I think a real difficult
- 25 challenge for child welfare practice. Yeah.

- 1 THE COMMISSIONER: And might you speak to
- 2 solutions at some point?
- 3 THE WITNESS: Am I -- will we talk about that
- 4 then, or now?
- 5 MS. WALSH: Go ahead.
- 6 THE COMMISSIONER: No --
- 7 THE WITNESS: We --
- 8 THE COMMISSIONER: Is --
- 9 MS. WALSH: Go ahead. I mean --
- 10 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, please.
- MS. WALSH: Absolutely.
- 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. You know, I think, really,
- 13 trying to find a coordinated approach to where the outcome
- 14 is focused on benefits for families and children, so
- 15 almost, you know, a family-focused lens or a child-focused
- 16 lens, where there can be coordination in terms of policies
- 17 for, you know, early intervention support services to
- 18 families maybe who are experiencing low socioeconomic
- 19 status. There can be targeted interventions. For example,
- 20 substance abuse problems. But really where there's an
- 21 assessed need focus and then the services are provided for
- 22 that assessed need.
- 23 So I think when we talk -- when I talk about the
- 24 prevention levels -- primary, secondary, and tertiary -- it
- 25 seems that a child welfare system could look at all those

- 1 levels. You're all -- there will always be a need for the
- 2 protection level support and intervention. But it seems
- 3 that there's been such a focus on that level to the
- 4 detriment of early prevention and support to families, to
- 5 improve functioning prior to getting to that level. And
- 6 poverty of the -- alleviation would be a positive step.
- 7 MS. WALSH: Thank you.
- 8 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. I have an obvious
- 9 interest in that with respect to the responsibility I have
- 10 here, and that was the reason for my interjection. But,
- 11 please, carry on.
- MS. WALSH: Well, I think, I think Dr. Wright
- 13 has, has, through her dialogue with you, Mr. Commissioner,
- 14 very much expressed a focus of, of the Commission in terms
- 15 of how to protect children.

- 17 BY MS. WALSH:
- 18 Q And there is, then, sort of a dual aspect towards
- 19 protecting children. Can you comment on that?
- 20 A Yeah. I think in terms of protecting children,
- 21 that piece of family support or community support and, you
- 22 know, the breadth that can go with that is important not to
- 23 lose sight of. You know, the legislation still really
- 24 focuses on best interests of children, the focus on family
- 25 as the most important place for children to grow up in, so,

- 1 you know, as a society, it would seem logical that we would
- 2 then support those families in being able to raise children
- 3 in a really positive and functioning way.
- 4 Q In terms, as well, of protecting children,
- 5 protection can be looked at in, in a broader sense than
- 6 just protecting their safety. In fact, the legislation
- 7 speaks to safety and well-being. Can you talk about those
- 8 two aspects of protecting children?
- 9 A Yes. I mean, I think, you know, child growth and
- 10 development focuses on children being able to reach their
- 11 potential, which requires maybe a greater focus on well-
- 12 being as the outcome and safety is, is within that context.
- 13 O And I think this is a theme that we'll hear
- 14 throughout your evidence, but being able to focus on
- 15 promoting and protecting a child's well-being is time
- 16 consuming.
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q That be fair?
- 19 A Time consuming and, and requires -- it's time
- 20 consuming, it requires resources, and it requires skills
- 21 and knowledge.
- 22 Q Time consuming, but necessary.
- 23 A Yeah.
- 24 Q And we're going to talk about this some more, but
- 25 while we're talking about it, it would also involve the

- 1 development of the genuine relationship between a service
- 2 provider, a social worker, and the child.
- 3 A Yeah. The -- certainly, the literature is
- 4 identifying really the importance of relationship building
- 5 and engagement with individuals or families or community to
- 6 enable and empower them to make positive changes or
- 7 choices.
- 8 Q Where you said to the Commissioner that poverty
- 9 is not the, the only factor that can be a stress on a
- 10 family, you referred to interpersonal stresses. It would
- 11 be fair to say, though, that even there a condition of, of
- 12 living in a socioeconomically disadvantaged community would
- 13 have a negative impact on even interpersonal relationships.
- 14 A Certainly could. I mean, there's, there's also a
- 15 greater focus on resilience in the literature and how, you
- 16 know, individuals facing adversity are able to adapt and
- 17 overcome and really function well, so resilience is, is an
- 18 interesting area, too --
- 19 Q Um-hum.
- 20 A -- from that focus. But, yeah, I think, I think
- 21 the concept of stressors on family, on families -- and that
- 22 can be environmental stressors -- really can negatively
- 23 impact the ability of parents to care for children.
- 24 Q And as an aside, when we come to Phase 3 we're
- 25 going to be hearing evidence from the medical research --

- 1 research that's being done, for instance, out of Harvard
- 2 and, and across the, the globe -- about the effect of
- 3 socioeconomic stressors on brain development of --
- 4 A Right.
- 5 Q -- young children and, and how that can even have
- 6 an intergenerational effect, so -- and those would be
- 7 stressors of a socioeconomic nature.
- 8 A Yeah, and that importance of early intervention,
- 9 yeah.
- 10 Q Right. At page 8 of your paper still, just very
- 11 briefly, you refer to the United Nations Convention on the
- 12 Rights of the Child. What's the significant --
- 13 significance of that convention?
- 14 A Well, Canada is a signatory to the convention
- 15 and, again, focusing on, on concepts such as, you know, the
- 16 primary consideration of the child's best interests, the
- 17 child's views must be taken into account in decisions
- 18 affecting the child, also the importance of cultural and
- 19 spiritual development in, in providing services with
- 20 children and families.
- 21 Q In terms of domestic legislation, we know that
- 22 the, the declaration of principles in the Child and Family
- 23 Services Act refers to the importance of, of those values
- 24 and the, the Authorities Act refers to the importance of
- 25 culturally appropriate service delivery. But are you aware

- 1 of, of any domestic legislation other than the child
- 2 welfare legislation that specifically refers to the kinds
- 3 of things that you've identified the Convention on the
- 4 Rights of the Child protects?
- 5 A No, I'm not.
- 6 Q So then at page 10 towards the second paragraph
- 7 from the bottom, you talk about:

- 9 "The negative effects of
- 10 colonization on the Aboriginal
- 11 community, through government
- 12 sanctioned practices such as
- 13 residential schools and the
- 14 apprehension of children, continue
- to permeate the health and well-
- 16 being of Aboriginal families."

- So, just briefly, what's the relevance of that in
- 19 terms of your best practice review?
- 20 A I think the, the relevance really is, from a best
- 21 practice perspective on a community level, these types of
- 22 collective issues need to be addressed and cultural
- 23 identity supported. You know, focus -- I know later on in
- 24 the paper it talks about sort of community levels of
- 25 intervention, but the focus really is on empowering

- 1 communities to take control, to be responsible for the care
- 2 of, of their children and -- but within a, within a way
- 3 that, you know, results in positive outcomes and, really, a
- 4 sense of mastery in terms of being able to make choices or
- 5 decisions to benefit their community.
- 6 Q And you do cite studies by Trocmé and
- 7 Blackstock --
- 8 MS. WALSH: And, Mr. Commissioner, I can advise
- 9 that both of those individuals will be testifying before
- 10 the Commission, Cindy Blackstock next week and Dr. Trocmé
- 11 in Phase 3.

- 13 BY MS. WALSH:
- 14 Q At --
- 15 A Can I just add one --
- 16 Q Yes, please.
- 17 A You know, again, with the paper, I'm not sure
- 18 that it covered it sufficiently but diversity and cultural
- 19 diversity in Canada is a really important issue, too, and
- 20 while I really focused on the particular needs of
- 21 aboriginal communities, it is important also to recognize,
- 22 you know, the other diversity, for example, of newcomers to
- 23 Canada and, and being able to respect and work with
- 24 cultural differences, too.
- 25 Q Thank you for identifying that, because that is

- 1 very much something to keep in mind.
- 2 THE COMMISSIONER: Just before you leave that
- 3 paragraph --
- 4 THE WITNESS: Um-hum.
- 5 THE COMMISSIONER: -- let me just ask you this
- 6 question. You've been in the social work field now in
- 7 excess of 20 years, I gather, and, and involved with --
- 8 especially with respect to families and children. Relative
- 9 to what you say there about the negative effects of
- 10 colonization and so on, do you see any improvement
- 11 occurring?
- 12 THE WITNESS: Absolutely, yes. Yeah, so --
- 13 THE COMMISSIONER: Give, give me the -- where you
- 14 see the improvement.
- THE WITNESS: Well, I can talk from a social work
- 16 educator perspective now just for -- as an example, but
- 17 certainly in terms of the number of aboriginal people, you
- 18 know, going to get a social work degree, for example, and
- 19 working within their community. I also, though, see really
- 20 a greater recognition and integration of even some what
- 21 would be called traditional aboriginal practices within
- 22 social work that I think are mutually beneficial for, you
- 23 know, even non, non-aboriginal families or -- so the
- 24 integration, for example, of family group conferencing, of
- 25 really working with extended family members, too, those

would be on just a really practical level. 1 I know, though, even different organizations, 2 3 different child and family service agencies, aboriginal child and family service agencies, have really tried to 4 5 incorporate aboriginal approaches in terms of their policy planning and service provision. I, I can't comment 6 7 specifically on, you know, those functioning, but I'm sure others in Manitoba can. 8 THE COMMISSIONER: But looking at the last 9 10 sentence in that paragraph --THE WITNESS: Yeah. 11 12 THE COMMISSIONER: 13 14 ... "[the] long-term social and 15 economic impacts of colonization on 16 Indigenous family life," 17 do you, do you see an improvement with respect to the 18 19 matters referred to in the preceding sentence: 20 21 "... substance abuse, suicide, 2.2 family violence, mental health 23 issues and parenting." 24

Do, do you see a lessening of those evils, if I

- 1 can put it a way -- put it that way, in, in, in our society
- 2 of today than when you started out in your field of social
- 3 work?
- 4 THE WITNESS: I don't know if there's -- I can't
- 5 say if there's a lessening, but I can say that there's been
- 6 an increased awareness and growth of the need for
- 7 culturally appropriate service provision, respectful
- 8 service provision, and finding solutions not from an expert
- 9 perspective of telling people what to do, but from a
- 10 working, working in sort of relationship and partnership
- 11 with families or communities. So finding that strength
- 12 based from within, I think that's a very different kind of
- 13 focus and shift which is, you know, I think a positive
- 14 step. And incorporating culturally appropriate practices.
- 15 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
- THE WITNESS: Yeah, okay.
- MS. WALSH: Mr. Commissioner, having identified
- 18 that question for us, that was part of how this paper was
- 19 precisely to be used by you, we had hoped. You will then
- 20 be able to, to put some of those questions or we will hear
- 21 from some of the witnesses that follow to answer those same
- 22 questions.
- THE COMMISSIONER: Obviously, very helpful to all
- 24 of us.

1 BY MS. WALSH:

- 3 Aboriginal Justice Inquiry report which advocated for
- 4 increased funding for aboriginal agencies to ensure the
- 5 provision of protection and preventive services, and that
- 6 goes back to 1992, and echoed findings, you say, of
- 7 previous reviews such as those from 1987. And then you
- 8 talk a little bit about services to First Nations and
- 9 funding. Can you comment a little bit on that, please?
- 10 A Well, in terms of just how the funding works?
- 11 O And issues related to that.
- 12 A Okay. So I quess one of the key limitations of
- 13 funding for services for First Nations children and
- 14 families is that it's federally based and, based on data
- 15 that's been provided by Blackstock, you know, really
- 16 reflects a much lower per child level of funding when
- 17 compared with children in other jurisdictions. So there's
- 18 just the funding amount that can be problematic.
- There's also jurisdictional disputes of how
- 20 certain children get their costs covered and how much those
- 21 costs are covered for, which can, on a direct practice
- 22 level, can just be problematic and try to kind of just meet
- 23 the needs.
- The other issue I think, though, in terms of the
- 25 reserve focus is that there aren't many -- you know, and I

- 1 don't know all the reserves, but there aren't many
- 2 collateral service providers. So the child and family
- 3 service agency really is the only support agency available
- 4 in the community, which, you know, has a very detrimental
- 5 effect of burning people out, people whose intentions can
- 6 be really good, but burning them out within their own
- 7 service providing context.
- 8 The other thing that I think bears comment is
- 9 that staff who work in reserve agencies also often live in
- 10 the reserve agency, and that can also create a different
- 11 dynamic on sort of a day-to-day basis for them. So there's
- 12 sort of a real commitment there of improvement and, and
- 13 strength, I think, needs to be identified but it can result
- 14 in, in really stressing the resources of that community.
- 15 Q And you gave the example of Cross Lake --
- 16 A Yeah.
- 17 Q -- receiving -- the child welfare workers
- 18 receiving 500 calls in six months --
- 19 A Yeah.
- 21 specifically to child protection issues.
- 22 A Right.
- 23 O Even in Winnipeg we heard evidence from the
- 24 social workers who were working for Winnipeg Child and
- 25 Family Services that they felt that they were required to

- 1 be the, the be all and the end all, that, that they
- 2 couldn't say no because of their mandate and yet they were
- 3 being required to provide services beyond what they as
- 4 child welfare workers could be expected to provide, and
- 5 that's even in a community where there are more collateral
- 6 resources.
- 7 A Yeah, I'm -- I guess in terms of a workload
- 8 issue, if social workers are expected to engage with
- 9 families and develop relationship and, and sort of build
- 10 that trust piece to then enable some, some changes within
- 11 the family functioning, you need time and resources to be
- 12 able to do that. And if, if, you know, caseloads are so
- 13 high that that ability to, to really engage and do best
- 14 practice on a direct service level isn't allowed, it's,
- 15 it's very difficult. So then you really work from a case
- 16 management approach as opposed to sort of a family support
- 17 approach and, you know, you can end up, from a social
- 18 worker's perspective, just really scrambling to, to get
- 19 anything done.
- 20 Q So crisis response rather than real family
- 21 support.
- 22 A Um-hum.
- 23 Q Sorry, and, and because we create a transcript of
- 24 these proceedings the, the clerk has reminded me that --
- 25 A Oh, yes.

- 1 Q -- um-hum doesn't --
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q -- type well.
- 4 A Yes, sorry.
- 5 Q Just while we're on that, when you --
- 6 A Yes.
- 8 an urban setting the existence of collateral services in
- 9 non-child welfare support services, can you talk about the
- 10 importance of that?
- 11 A Yes. So whether those sort of family support
- 12 services are provided through collateral service providers
- 13 or within, maybe, the mandated agency, what, what is
- 14 important is that they are available and provided. And you
- 15 know, issues like wait lists -- so where a family may be
- 16 assessed and identified with a specific need but then has
- 17 to wait six months to get the service -- that's
- 18 problematic. So it's really important that based on the
- 19 worker's assessment -- this is on the direct service level,
- 20 I'm talking, that based on that assessment services are
- 21 then available and reflect the assessed need.
- 22 Q You talk -- I'm still on page 11. You talk about
- 23 prevention services and prevention being viewed as
- 24 occurring on three levels. Tell us a bit about that,
- 25 please.

- 1 A Well, I guess there's a growing consensus in the
- 2 literature that, you know, early intervention or prevention
- 3 on sort of the primary or secondary level really needs to
- 4 occur, as well as, obviously, having prevention on a
- 5 tertiary level. But the concept is, is that there's the
- 6 potential to reduce protection concerns through early
- 7 intervention support services for -- to improve child and
- 8 family outcomes.
- 9 Q Can you give us examples of primary, secondary,
- 10 and tertiary prevention?
- 11 A Sure. A primary level is often referred to as
- 12 more as a universal level, so might be a public awareness
- 13 campaign. I mean, it can be everything from sort of
- 14 physical activity to -- the Triple P Parenting Program has
- 15 one level that just talks about kind of good parenting
- 16 approaches. That might be an example.
- 17 The secondary level looks at sort of more
- 18 targeted areas, so it could be targeted at maybe youth with
- 19 substance abuse problems from an -- within the educational
- 20 system.
- 21 And then the tertiary level really focuses on
- 22 services for families that are identified as where there
- 23 are protection concerns.
- 24 Q Thank you, because that, that concept of the
- 25 three levels of, of prevention is something that we're

- 1 going to hear referred to, certainly, in Phase 3 when we're
- 2 focusing beyond the strict parameters of the child welfare
- 3 system and looking at what the community can do to protect
- 4 children.
- 5 MS. WALSH: And again, Mr. Commissioner, on that
- 6 page, on page 11, Dr. Wright does refer to Cindy
- 7 Blackstock's work and, as I said, she's going to be here to
- 8 testify on Monday.

10 BY MS. WALSH:

- 11 Q Moving to the next page, 12, you cite Bowlus,
- 12 saying:

13

- "In writing of the need for
- 15 government to increase investment
- in prevention and treatment
- programs, Bowlus [in 2003 and
- 18 colleagues] note that benefits to
- 19 society would result in a decrease
- in overall costs and reduce 'the
- 21 multiplier effects' of
- 22 maltreatment."

- Just tell us about that study, please.
- 25 A I believe Bowlus et al came out with a paper that

- 1 really was looking at the costs, identifying the costs of
- 2 child welfare involvement and, you know, consistent with
- 3 prior discussion in terms of poverty, alleviation, or, you
- 4 know, decreasing stress, the concept is, is that early
- 5 intervention approach to support families and to improve
- 6 family functioning will result in a decrease in funds
- 7 expended when problems are really at a much greater level.
- 8 So criminal justice issues, for example, substance abuse
- 9 problems, kids out of care -- or kids in care.
- 10 Q So investment -- public investment in the early
- 11 prevention system prevents or saves money from investing
- 12 in, in --
- 13 A In the longer term, yeah.
- 14 Q So aside from any notion of, of whether it's the
- 15 right thing to do to focus on prevention even from a
- 16 cost --
- 17 A Analysis.
- 18 Q -- benefit or cost --
- 19 A Yeah.
- 20 analysis, it, it has benefits.
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q At the end of that page, you say that:

- "On a systems' level, there
- 25 has been a call for a national

- 1 coordinated approach to monitor
- 2 and evaluate policies and services
- 3 for Aboriginal children across
- 4 Canada."

- Tell us a bit about that, please.
- 7 A Well, I, I -- the paper, you know, suggests that
- 8 federal approach to monitoring the -- and evaluating child
- 9 welfare policies and services would benefit Canadian
- 10 children and their families. You know, it can set a
- 11 standard, too, in terms of service provision expectations
- 12 which, again, results in greater accountability, probably
- 13 greater service provision for families and, and kids, and
- 14 also, I think, even from the social worker's perspective,
- 15 greater clarity in terms of expectations on their services.
- 16 THE COMMISSIONER: You, you talk about there
- 17 having been a call for a national --
- 18 THE WITNESS: Yeah.
- 19 THE COMMISSIONER: -- coordinated approach, and
- 20 you talk about how children would benefit. Has anything
- 21 happened?
- 22 THE WITNESS: I believe Trocmé has tried in his
- 23 research to get a national overview of, of, of his measures
- 24 for sort of child well-being, and then ...
- THE COMMISSIONER: He's going to be here, too, I

- 1 believe.
- 2 MS. WALSH: He is.
- 3 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
- 4 MS. WALSH: In Phase 3.
- 5 THE WITNESS: And then with the Looking After
- 6 Children model, that project, too, tried to get a national
- 7 sort of dataset but there hasn't -- as far as I'm aware
- 8 there hasn't been any federal commitment to sort of
- 9 developing, maintaining a system like that. Ball spoke
- 10 specifically of aboriginal services, but I think it's
- 11 relevant for child welfare services, generally.
- 12 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Witness.

- 14 BY MS. WALSH:
- 15 Q So then on page 13 where you summarize the key
- 16 points of consideration and recommendation regarding best
- 17 practice and the larger system level, I note that the last
- 18 point identifies:

19

- "The need for a comprehensive,
- 21 national strategy to resource,
- 22 monitor, maintain, and evaluate
- 23 the well-being of Canada's
- 24 children."

- 1 A Um-hum.
- 2 Q All children.
- 3 A Yeah, and I think that then reflects the
- 4 Convention on the Rights of the Child. Even just as an
- 5 example, when I worked in Ontario, essentially, children
- 6 who were 16 were no longer involved with the system. You
- 7 know, in Manitoba, they are. So just those types of
- 8 differences.
- 9 THE COMMISSIONER: But you'd agree with respect
- 10 to your first bullet, where you say what you do:

- 12 "The negative effects of
- 13 structural issues such as poverty,
- 14 sexism, and racism, including the
- impact of colonization on
- Aboriginal communities, children,
- 17 and families should be
- 18 acknowledged."

- THE WITNESS: Yeah.
- THE COMMISSIONER: You would agree, I'm sure,
- 22 that simply acknowledging is not enough.
- THE WITNESS: Right, right. Acknowledgement and
- 24 then development of plans to address that, absolutely.
- THE COMMISSIONER: Thanks.

2 <u>BY MS</u>. WALSH:

- 3 Q Then you move on to discuss the community level.
- 4 What do you mean by the community?
- 5 A Really, the community, I mean, can be specific to
- 6 geographic community, but it can also reflect more the sort
- 7 of sense of belonging based on shared values and interests
- 8 of the group.
- 9 Q You go on to the next page. You say that:

10

- "... communities have the right to
- 12 determine what is best for their
- children; and ... communities have
- 14 the responsibility to ensure their
- 15 children's well-being and
- 16 protection from harm. From a
- 17 community building approach,
- 18 children are 'a community
- 19 responsibility' in which
- 20 children's protection is a
- 'collective concern.'"

2.2

- 23 And that's something that the literature has
- 24 reflected.
- 25 A Yeah. And the concept of community intervention

- 1 reflects those two perspectives.
- 2 Q Can you give us just an outline, then, of how
- 3 community building and the significance of community to
- 4 best practice, a best practice approach to child welfare?
- 5 A Okay. So the idea really is to work with
- 6 community members in identifying strengths and partnering
- 7 for, you know, identified changes that really result in
- 8 outcomes for improved well-being for children and their
- 9 families. So that can result in increased services or
- 10 perhaps just community activities, but it enables the
- 11 engagement of community members with each other as well as
- 12 with formal service providers, but the focus is on
- 13 developing an informal support network to benefit families
- 14 and children.
- 15 Q How does that happen?
- 16 A Well, I think, you know, from an organizational
- 17 perspective there would be a commitment to developing
- 18 community approaches to intervention and really identifying
- 19 specific workers who would then be engaged with, you know,
- 20 those specific communities. But it's a long process.
- 21 Q Yes.
- 22 A It's -- there's got to be a commitment to that
- 23 process. It is based on relationship building and trust,
- 24 and, you know, development of sort of enabling
- 25 opportunities and empowering.

- When you talk about these kinds of things being 1 Q 2 long processes, does that mean, then -- I mean, your paper is full of, of papers, studies of various approaches. What 3 does that mean to -- or what's the, the effect in terms of 4 5 how, how long you have to wait to, to evaluate a given approach? Is there a concern, for instance, that, that 6 7 certain kinds of programs not be assessed too soon or --8 Α Well, again, from a best practices approach, the 9 concept is, is that there is a valuation built in to service provision and, and perhaps policy so that even if 10 11 -- you know, even if maybe the expected changes haven't 12 been identified immediately, part of that evaluation may 13 be, well, what's, you know, a reflection on what's going 14 on, a discussion of possible areas for improvement or 15 changes made, or maybe the decision made we need to wait. 16 You know, that needs to -- the process needs to continue to be allowed to happen prior to any final decision being 17
- 19 Q And at page 15 you do talk about Strong-Boag's 20 study, and recognizing that the welfare of children is the 21 responsibility of the community in which the child resides. 22 At page 60 you talk about a study by Jack and Gill, who 23 argue that:

18

made.

25 "... a key measure of child well-

being '... is the ability of a society to safeguard its children and young people from injury and abuse.'"

5

20

- Tell us a bit about their study, please.
- 7 Α They -- Jack and Gill tried -- or implemented a community approach and -- where they provided -- or they 8 evaluated sort of preventative services and activities to 9 10 look at individual and then family engagement in the, in 11 the local community. This was in England and they, they 12 really did try to focus on the development of informal 13 support networks. So, you know, they identify practices 14 that are really important just in terms of listening to 15 children and adults, and that means engaging in partnership with them, you know, looking at safeguarding activities 16 within the community and developing that, those capacities 17 18 within the local, the people who live in those communities, and then promoting partnerships that may be beyond the 19
- Q And again at page 17, you refer to several studies which have noted success with community approaches in child welfare, and you cite the Tilbury Safe Kids project in England.

community boundaries where appropriate.

25 A Um-hum.

What was that project? 1 Q Again, similar to the, to the one I 2 Α described, but where the, the focus was on community 3 development through linking activities, offering activities 4 5 to children and youth and parents, developing relationship, 6 and then sort of identifying even local community safety 7 needs, which then increased engagement with the sort of service providers, but looking at community strength for 8 areas to build on and to strengthen the informal networks 9 10 within communities. 11 And that would be sort of in the nature of a prevention action, I would think. 12 13 Yes, yeah. Α Further down on that page, 17, you talk about: 14 15 16 "... the data [in Canada showing] 17 an over-representation of 18 Aboriginal families involved with 19 the child welfare system, as well 20 an over-representation of as 21 Aboriginal children and youth in 2.2 the care of child welfare 23 agencies." 24

Can you talk a bit about the significance of a

- 1 community approach to child welfare in the context of that
- 2 over-representation?
- 3 A I guess in term, in terms of the reasons to
- 4 develop a community approach, it's to ultimately have a
- 5 community that is empowered to be able to make positive
- 6 choices and develop programs or activities, rely on the
- 7 informal support networks -- and maybe there are formal
- 8 support networks that are developed within the community,
- 9 but ultimately with that goal of providing the community
- 10 the control and responsibility and authority for their
- 11 children.
- 12 Q So from a practical perspective, do you know how
- 13 that would be done?
- 14 A Well, I know Winnipeg Child and Family Services
- 15 years ago had a community development section that, you
- 16 know, there were workers identified who would go out and
- 17 really try to engage with local -- there would be targeted
- 18 areas or neighbourhoods -- really try to engage with local
- 19 community members and develop informal supports. I mean,
- 20 I, I remember they provided things as basic as a clothing
- 21 depot, you know, really helped to engage in terms of
- 22 providing bus tickets, so some really basic needs were met
- 23 but, of course -- and that allows for the trust to be
- 24 building and allows for that group of service providers to
- 25 have a sense, too, of just family functioning. I don't

- 1 know, I don't know where that's going now, but it was --
- Q Well, and I do remember -- you've jogged my
- 3 memory that we heard evidence from Lance Barber from when
- 4 he was the head of Winnipeg CFS, that he had a program in
- 5 place where he had a worker housed in a unit in a --
- 6 A Um-hum.
- 7 Q -- social housing project --
- 8 A Um-hum.
- 9 for that very purpose.
- 10 THE COMMISSIONER: Now, Ms. Walsh, I notice the
- 11 time.
- MS. WALSH: Um-hum.
- 13 THE COMMISSIONER: Do you want to finish the, the
- 14 section on community level and then break or --
- MS. WALSH: That was my thinking, Mr.
- 16 Commissioner, so --
- 17 THE COMMISSIONER: All right.
- 18 MS. WALSH: -- just another few minutes and then
- 19 we would take a break.
- 20 THE COMMISSIONER: Then we'll take a mid-morning
- 21 break, fine.

- 23 BY MS. WALSH:
- 24 Q So then, Dr. Wright, your, your paper has a
- 25 fairly extensive review of social work practice with

- 1 aboriginal people or by aboriginal people, and I know that
- 2 we're going to hear from a number of witnesses coming
- 3 forward from the parties who have standing. But can you --
- 4 are there certain things that you want to just highlight
- 5 for us in terms of that aspect of your paper, which really
- 6 goes all the way to the end of, of this section.
- 7 A I guess the issue that I'd like to highlight is
- 8 just that there is, you know, a growing body of literature
- 9 that reflects different approaches and different real
- 10 initiatives to try to integrate aboriginal perspectives
- 11 within child welfare service planning and delivery, and
- 12 even within organizational governance and sort of
- 13 administration. So I think that is, you know, a body of
- 14 literature out there of studies or reports that are
- 15 positive impacts in terms of the potential for child
- 16 welfare.
- 17 THE COMMISSIONER: And have you identified those
- 18 in these pages that counsel has just referred to?
- 19 THE WITNESS: Um-hum.
- THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.

- 22 BY MS. WALSH:
- 23 Q What about -- because you raised it, what about
- 24 literature that looks at culturally appropriate services
- 25 for individuals from other cultures? You refer to, to new

- 1 Canadians.
- 2 A Right. For newcomers, for example. The paper
- 3 unfortunately doesn't really cover that area, but it's a
- 4 particularly relevant area for, I know, Winnipeg, but lots
- 5 of Canada, too.
- 6 Q Um-hum.
- 7 A So yeah, that, that's an important area.
- 8 Q And a developing area, I would imagine.
- 9 A Um-hum.
- 10 Q So just to summarize, then, your key points of
- 11 consideration and recommendation regarding best practice
- 12 and the community level, at page 23:

- 14 "The importance of community
- and seeing the child's needs and
- 16 strengths within the context of
- the family and community.
- 18 "The focus of community
- intervention on engagement and
- 20 empowerment and the necessity for
- 21 culturally respectful and
- 22 appropriate services for all
- service users.
- 24 "An acknowledgement of the
- 25 negative effects of colonization

- on Aboriginal people, families,
- 2 and communities and the need to
- 3 return to community to seek
- 4 solutions."

- 6 MS. WALSH: Mr. Commissioner, would you like to
- 7 take the morning break now?
- 8 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. Just let me ask you
- 9 this. And we are referenced to the need to return to
- 10 community to seek solutions, which you feel some progress
- 11 will be found in those various reports you referred to that
- 12 are beginning to address this matter.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Um-hum.
- 14 THE COMMISSIONER: Is that correct?
- THE WITNESS: Yes. And, and I believe, you know,
- 16 I believe even some of the child and family service
- 17 agencies in Manitoba are trying to integrate and, and apply
- 18 those approaches within their own services.
- MS. WALSH: And again, Mr. Commissioner, I think
- 20 Dr. Wright's comments will inform our questions as we hear
- 21 from the witnesses who are brought forward in Phase 2.
- 22 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, yes, I, I understand
- 23 that, and I, I perhaps have over-questioned myself this
- 24 morning, but --
- MS. WALSH: No.

THE COMMISSIONER: -- I --1 2 MS. WALSH: Not at all. THE COMMISSIONER: I find this very helpful and 3 that's why. We'll take a 15-minute break. 4 5 MS. WALSH: Thank you. 7 (BRIEF RECESS) 8 THE COMMISSIONER: Well, that was one of the 9 10 longest 15 minutes I've experienced. There may be -- there may have been a good reason, but I think we've got to try 11 12 to hit the mark with a little more precision, but I think 13 we all understand that. 14 MS. WALSH: Thank you. 15 16 BY MS. WALSH: 17 So we were at page 23 of your paper, the heading, Organizational Level. So what are the key organizational 18 19 topics related to best practice? 20 The -- when best practices are applied to an 21 organizational level, it really encompasses all parts, so 22 everything from sort of administration in terms of 23 governance, management, or human resources, program

services, looks at workload factors, even interagency

administration, planning and design, and programs

24

relations or community relations, and then information 1 2 technology. 3 Q You say that: 4 5 "Child welfare service planning and provision is challenging and frequently stressful ... and is 7 often described as 'crisis driven'." 8 9 10 What's the significance of, of that opening 11 sentence to this part of your paper? 12 Α I think it provides a context in which 13 organizations exist, so it's important not to, not to forget that there is -- that they exist within a context 14 15 that's often political, that often has, you know, funding issues or contestation about where funding goes and how 16 it's targeted or not, and that decisions are made within 17 those contexts about service planning and provision. 18 19 Q On the next page, 24, you say that: 2.0 21 "Best Practices stems from 2.2 administrative and management 23 approaches to organizational 24 functioning in order to address

the quality of services."

1 2 And you refer to: 3 "Terms such as 'Total Quality 4 5 Management', 'Quality Management', 'Continuous Learning' and 'Continuous Quality Improvement' 7 [referring] to organizations 8 which all staff have an active 9 ensuring 10 role in all 11 organizational components are 12 integrated and connected in a 13 continuous learning environment." 14 15 So just explain for us what you're talking about 16 there, please. 17 Okay. The best practices approach on 18 organizational level really looks at a perception where the 19 expectations are that there is this organizational learning 20 and any of the organizational activity is identified as 21 being the best practice when -- if outcomes aren't, aren't 22 as what was expected, you know, there's a learning process 23 that goes on with that, and then that new information is 24 added into the policy or the procedure or the service to

25

improve it.

- 1 Q So that's part of continuous quality improvement
- 2 or --
- 3 A Right. So what I think best practices from a
- 4 organizational perspective really does reflect is an
- 5 organizational culture that sets that as the tone of
- 6 planning and operations.
- 7 Q The tone being?
- 8 A The expectations that people in the organization
- 9 are applying a best practices approach to their work.
- 10 Q And that's a, that's a necessary aspect of the
- 11 organizational culture.
- 12 A Um-hum.
- 13 Q At the bottom of page 24, you say that:

- "One means to implement [best
- 16 practice] on an organizational
- 17 level is the adoption of
- 18 accreditation standards through
- 19 accrediting bodies such as the
- 20 Council on Accreditation ...
- 21 (founded by the Child Welfare
- 22 League of America and the Family
- 23 Service ...) for service delivery
- 24 planning, implementation, and
- 25 evaluation."

- 2 Can you talk to us about accreditation?
- 3 A Okay. In this context of accreditation, this is
- 4 based on the child welfare league of America standards.
- 5 This is an approach to accrediting organizations where
- 6 essentially most of the, most of the sort of operational
- 7 practices are based on their standards and it identifies
- 8 sort of measurable criteria that an organization would meet
- 9 in order to become accredited. And, and the CWLA, you
- 10 know, would argue that these are best practices, best
- 11 practices criteria in terms of organizational structure and
- 12 delivery of services and planning.
- 13 Q Do you know whether any child welfare
- 14 organizations in Manitoba are accredited in this way?
- 15 A No, I'm not aware. I'm not aware if any of them
- 16 are, or if any aren't.
- 17 THE COMMISSIONER: Who, who, who would be the
- 18 accrediting body?
- 19 THE WITNESS: It's through, I believe, the Family
- 20 Service America that actually is the accrediting body. And
- 21 from my understanding, this is an example of an accrediting
- 22 system. It -- you know, there are other ways that
- 23 organizations can do best practices, but this would be an
- 24 example of, you know, a body that provides infrastructure
- 25 and support in order that organizations meet these

1 criteria. It's certainly not the only way.

2

3 BY MS. WALSH:

- 4 Q You said this was one context of accreditation.
- 5 What's, what's another context?
- 6 A Oh, there's the academic level of accreditation
- 7 for university programs, for example, where I'm currently
- 8 employed, which looks at standards for social work
- 9 education.
- 10 Q Okay.
- 11 A Just wanted to differentiate that, yeah.
- 12 Q You discuss at page 25:

- "One Canadian study examined
- good practice in child welfare
- drawing on responses from close to
- 17 1,000 child welfare staff through
- the use of surveys and focus
- 19 groups. ... Based on results, the
- 20 definition of good practice was
- 21 expanded to include:
- 22 "... creating the capacity
- and conditions for positive
- change within families so
- 25 that children can maximize

their potential within stable 1 and safe environments. Good 2 3 practice must be based on strong, personal commitment 4 5 serve children and to families and dedication to 7 positive outcomes. Good 8 practice implies the creative 9 use of resources to support 10 each family's plan for their children.'" 11

12

Now, this reference to "strong, personal

14 commitment," is that something that you see recurring in

15 the literature?

16 A Certainly, in terms of the review of this

- 17 literature, it did stand out on the direct practice level,
- 18 and even on the community intervention level, that social
- 19 workers need to -- or should have and should be supported
- 20 in maintaining a personal commitment to the values of
- 21 working with families, building relationship, and
- 22 empowerment.
- 23 Q What does that look like? A strong, personal
- 24 commitment.
- 25 A I, I think, you know, it would all -- it's also

25

```
reflective of a family-centred approach to working with
1
    families but, really, it means valuing engaging with
2
 3
    families and working to building a trusting relationship,
    working to enable opportunities and to empower families.
4
    And, you know, building relationships and developing
5
 6
    relationships and trying to develop that trust
    challenging in this context, in child welfare context, and
7
    can be, you know, on a continuum of challenges. But it
8
    really can be challenging so, as a result, it's really
9
10
    important for -- in terms of recruitment, ongoing
11
    professional development. It's important to, to be able to
12
    ensure that social workers who are working with families
13
    and children in communities demonstrate that commitment,
14
    t.00.
15
             Thank you. The study, you say, went on to
    identify factors considered impediments to good practice,
16
    and you list them:
17
18
19
20
                  "- Caseload size, staff turnover,
21
                  and vacancies which negatively
22
                  impacted relationship-based work
                  "- Practice decisions based on
23
```

"- General resource limitation in

fiscal economizing

1		the service delivery system
2		"- A lack of recognition for good
3		practice: child protection social
4		workers feel vulnerable, fear
5		liability and lack confidence in
6		the employer's support should a
7		crisis occur
8		"- Inadequate and badly timed
9		training
10		"- Failure to implement
11		recommendations from previous
12		reports/projects
13		"- Front-line service provider
14		remuneration
15		"- Lack of supervisory expertise"
16		
17		
18		And then on page 26:
19		
20		"- Limited ability to do
21		relationship-based social work
22		practice."
23		
24	A	Um-hum.
25	Q	These are factors that were identified in this

- 1 particular study -- this is the Herbert study from 2007 --
- 2 as, as considered impediments to good practice through the,
- 3 the discussion with the social workers themselves.
- 4 A Um-hum. And I think these, you know, factors
- 5 would also be reflective of challenges in terms of being
- 6 able to perform family-centred practice, too. They'd be
- 7 very similar.
- 8 Q And these impediments referred to in, in this
- 9 report, are those things that the literature refers to on a
- 10 regular basis as impediments to providing best practices?
- 11 A Yes, absolutely. I mean, you know, caseload or
- 12 workload, staff turnover, the need for support from
- 13 management, professional development, supervisory expertise
- 14 or even availability, all of those have absolutely been
- 15 identified as problematic.
- 16 Q Let me ask you this: In terms of, of various
- 17 matters that can be viewed as impediments to implementing
- 18 best practice --
- 19 A Um-hum.
- 20 Q -- what is a social worker's responsibility in
- 21 that case in terms of carrying out the mandate of child
- 22 welfare work?
- 23 A Well, I think, at the very least, social workers
- 24 have a responsibility to raise issues. If they can't
- 25 perform their work as, as it's been contracted to do or

- 1 agreed to do, they need to be discussing this issue with
- 2 their supervisors. And, you know, from an organizational
- 3 perspective, supervisors have a responsibility to be
- 4 addressing this with managers. There has to be an
- 5 organizational context to address problems which obviously
- 6 impact on the larger system in terms of funding or
- 7 resources or policies, whatever the issue may be.
- 8 Having said that -- so I think there is that
- 9 responsibility, but I also think, you know, from a
- 10 professional social work perspective, too, there is a code
- 11 of ethics that they follow and that certainly needs to be
- 12 respected. And if they're, if they're struggling in terms
- 13 of, of that, again, you know, perhaps the college or the
- 14 professional social work context needs to be made aware of
- 15 these issues, too, in terms of, you know, on a collective
- 16 level of what's going on.
- So I, I don't think it's any one responsibility,
- 18 but having said that, at the very least, an individual
- 19 social worker needs to be addressing these issues with
- 20 their supervisor. I, I don't know, but I -- you know, I
- 21 would also wonder the role of their own -- if there is a
- 22 union, what role that plays in it, too, but ...
- 23 Q But on the direct service level --
- 24 A Yeah.
- 25 Q -- you would expect that a worker would identify

- 1 an impediment to their supervisor.
- 2 A Yeah, absolutely.
- 3 Q With the goal being what?
- 4 A Well, the goal being to address either ways to
- 5 overcome the impediment to how they can provide, you know,
- 6 service, or needing to find a solution. And a solution
- 7 that's sanctioned through an authority that -- who has that
- 8 responsibility to make that decision.
- 9 Q At page 27 you discuss education and training.
- 10 You say:

- 12 "The importance of qualified
- staff in child welfare services
- 14 has also been found as important
- 15 to ensure effective services and
- is a relevant [best practice]
- 17 issue. The need for improvement
- in child welfare staff education
- 19 and training has been noted in
- 20 previous reviews and inquiries" --

21

22 going back 2003, 1985, 1975,

- "... and more recently, in their
- examination of good practice in

```
child welfare, the CASW (2003)
1
                echoed the need for 'competent and
2
3
                qualified staff' with opportunity
4
                      specialization in
                                           child
5
                protection and
                                         regular
                professional development
7
                opportunities."
8
9
            So the -- this need is not a new concept.
```

- 10 Α No.
- 11 Q What's the relationship between training and
- 12 maintaining a stable workforce?
- 13 Α Staff need to be supported through their
- organization to be able to perform their work well, and 14
- 15 that requires orientation, it requires ongoing professional
- development, it requires regular supervision and, you know, 16
- supervision that can occur on a one -- on one level or a 17
- 18 group level. I mean, there can be different ways of
- 19 addressing those needs. It, it requires knowledge transfer
- 20 opportunities, so maybe if a new approach comes out how
- 21 does that get transferred within the organization to the
- 22 front line. But -- so it can go from top down but it also
- 23 has to allow for staff feedback to be incorporated within
- 24 the organization to improve policies or practices, too.
- 25 In terms of, of maintaining a stable workforce,

- 1 then, what, what do you mean?
- 2 A Well, I mean, I guess then issues such as burnout
- 3 or stress in terms of a culture -- an organizational
- 4 culture, certainly ones that are supportive of staff, that
- 5 do try to manage workload, you know, even within high
- 6 caseloads but still try to make them manageable, that
- 7 provide the supports necessary to implement best practices
- 8 or good practices. You know, I think that really can
- 9 benefit in terms of decreasing staff illness or
- 10 absenteeism, and staff turnover, and, you know, maintain
- 11 support.
- 12 There are also other, you know -- focus of
- 13 research has been the need to also allow for sort of a
- 14 career planning approach for social work staff so that, you
- 15 know, there is a focus in terms of improvements and gaining
- 16 new knowledge and skills and, really, performance-related
- 17 opportunities.
- 18 Q What's the significance of, of that kind of
- 19 planning and a stable workforce to best practice delivery
- 20 of services?
- 21 A If, if there's a stable workforce -- really, the
- 22 focus of all of this is on improved services for children
- 23 and their families, and the benefits to children and their
- 24 families, so stable workforces result in the, in the
- 25 capacity for social workers to develop relationships and

- 1 maintain ongoing relationships. It allows for smooth
- 2 transitions, whether it's between workers or even case
- 3 closures. But where it's done in a planned and deliberate
- 4 manner as opposed to just sort of a suddenly there's a new
- 5 worker on the scene.
- It also allows, though, I think, for that
- 7 organization to develop a real learning culture where
- 8 there's this sort of continuous feedback capacity to
- 9 improve services and planning.
- 10 Q Sorry. Did you --
- 11 A Yeah, I'm done.
- 12 Q Thank you.
- 13 A Yeah.
- 14 Q Further down on page 27 you say:

- "Given the complexity of the work,
- the requirement of a B.S.W. degree
- is one means to ensure a minimal
- 19 level of knowledge and abilities,
- 20 which include the development of
- 21 critical judgment and analysis,
- 22 knowledge, and practice skills."

- Tell us a bit about that, please.
- 25 A Well, certainly, you know, reviewing the

- 1 literature, it does suggest that a minimum requirement of a
- 2 B.S.W. provides basic knowledge and skills necessary to be
- 3 able to perform child welfare work. You know, the -- I've
- 4 also talked about the importance of the ongoing training
- 5 and also there does have to be some recognition of,
- 6 perhaps, social workers who may not have a B.S.W. but who
- 7 need to receive the training and education in order to be
- 8 able to perform the job appropriately, so that would be
- 9 part of a performance evaluation with a planned, you know,
- 10 training and education piece to that.
- 11 Q What's the importance of the development of
- 12 critical judgment and analysis to delivery of best practice
- 13 services?
- 14 A It's really important to remember that social
- 15 work isn't just about -- it's not just a skill-based
- 16 capacity, and that there is a real element in all the work
- 17 of needing critical judgment and the ability to analyze,
- 18 you know, various contexts and information that's coming
- 19 in.
- 20 Q In the last paragraph on that page, you, you use
- 21 this phrase again, the, the "personal commitment" -- I
- 22 think previously it was the "individual commitment."

- The personal commitment of
- 25 individual workers that valued

1 their work and the goal of 2 empowering families was found to be an important variable in the 3 and retention 4 recruitment social workers ..." 5 7 What, what are you referring to there? Again, sort of the values of the individual 8 Α 9 worker who's committed to working, really, relationship with families and developing that trusting 10 11 relationship and engaging with them. 12 What's the impact of continuity of, of consistent 13 worker-service user relationship to developing 14 relationship with a family and to best practice delivery? 15 Having a consistent relationship with a worker 16 allows for the development of partnership. It allows for the relationship to start, including trust within it, and 17 to have expectations met from both sides. It really allows 18 19 for the setting up of, you know, a family plan, service 20 plan, or contract, some might use the word, but to identify 21 areas for change, means and methods for change, or other 22 areas for support. That consistency, though, really

25 ensuring their needs are met.

23

24

provides the family and the, and the children with,

ideally, someone they can trust and depend on in terms of

- 1 Q On the next page, 28, you have a section on
- 2 workload. What's the significance of that to best practice
- 3 delivery?
- 4 A Yeah, workload, workload is a big issue and, you
- 5 know, certainly, the topic of caseloads has been found to
- 6 be problematic in terms of providing best practices in a,
- 7 in a lot of different areas. I think it's important for
- 8 organizations and for funders when they're, when they're
- 9 planning this, to think about, you know, the importance of
- 10 workers being able to spend time building relationships and
- 11 developing that context, and as a result -- as opposed to
- 12 just case management approach, really a family -- shifting
- 13 to that family support approach.
- 14 And, you know, the Child Welfare League of
- 15 America provides numbers in terms of sort of ideal workload
- 16 numbers, but -- so an example is the 12 cases per month per
- 17 social worker working in assessment and investigation or 17
- 18 active family cases. There, there does have to be a
- 19 recognition that workload impacts that ability.
- 20 Q And examples of that would be because of the, the
- 21 various time-consuming aspects --
- 22 A Yeah.
- 23 Q -- of a best --
- 24 A So --
- 25 Q -- practice service that you discussed?

- 1 A Right. So caseload might just look at the number
- 2 of families on someone's list; workload really addresses
- 3 how much time is involved. You might have a family with,
- 4 you know, five, five siblings where the worker needs to be
- 5 engaged with all five. That has a real -- and where
- 6 there's, you know, maybe an active, acute incident going on
- 7 that needs to be dealt with immediately. That has a real
- 8 different impact than someone who's stable and, you know,
- 9 maybe has one child or ... That's just an example.
- 10 Q Is it fair to say that workload has been an issue
- 11 for child welfare service delivery from the beginning of
- 12 time?
- 13 A I, I don't know about from the beginning of time,
- 14 but --
- 15 Q Well --
- 16 A -- it's -- as long as I've been involved, it's
- 17 been an issue.
- 18 Q Are you aware of solutions to address that issue?
- 19 A Well, you know, again, I think looking at it from
- 20 a context of all aspects, you know, a shift or an increase
- 21 in the prevention and family support opportunities I think
- 22 can have some really positive effects on family functioning
- 23 and engagement. And then I do think there are going to be
- 24 protection cases that require, you know, intense
- 25 involvement, but even then allowing the worker to get

- 1 involved and engaged on a relationship base as opposed to
- 2 just case management I think would prove to be a positive
- 3 step.
- 4 Q You've used the term "case management" and you
- 5 contrasted that with a family support approach.
- 6 A Right.
- 7 Q Tell us a little bit more about that distinction.
- 8 A Well, I'm, I'm using that distinction today,
- 9 really, to clarify that sort of from a family -- or a case
- 10 management perspective. It's really providing the barest
- 11 minimum of, of services in order to meet assessed needs,
- 12 and really relying on collateral service providers to maybe
- 13 develop a trusting relationship or more engagement with
- 14 families or enabling or empowering families. A family
- 15 support approach to social work really is more about that
- 16 main social worker developing that relationship with
- 17 families. So collateral services may very well be
- 18 involved, too, but it would be that person having a real
- 19 relationship with the family is important, too.
- 20 Q And what's the relationship between the
- 21 development of a long-term trusting relationship and
- 22 outcomes? Do you know?
- 23 A Really, in terms of certainly family-centred
- 24 practice, research suggests that the benefits of developing
- 25 a long-term trust-based relationship that builds on family

- 1 strengths, that works in partnership with families,
- 2 ultimately result in improved outcomes for families. And
- 3 the concept is based on the idea that families are unable
- 4 to identify and use greater opportunities, but also are
- 5 empowered so that their needs are met through increased
- 6 sense of mastery and control over their own abilities to
- 7 improve family functioning.
- 8 Q At the bottom of page 29, you refer to
- 9 supervision.
- 10 A Um-hum.
- 11 Q What's the significance of that to best practice
- 12 delivery?
- 13 A Yeah, supervision is a key piece of best
- 14 practices from an organizational perspective. Really,
- 15 supervisors, you know, can act in terms of setting the tone
- 16 of the organization or reflecting that and modelling that,
- 17 and also ensuring just appropriate service provision and
- 18 accountability, accountability both to the organization,
- 19 funders, and other stakeholders, but really, importantly,
- 20 accountability to families and children who are receiving
- 21 the services. So super --
- 22 Q How does that work?
- 23 A Pardon me?
- Q How does that work?
- 25 A Well, supervision -- in a supervisory process,

- 1 for example, you know, if services aren't being offered as
- 2 they should be, that provides an opportunity for the
- 3 supervisor to, you know, determine with the worker what's
- 4 going on, to plan for necessary changes, and then also to
- 5 do that follow-up piece of ensure that has been done. That
- 6 would be an example.
- 7 Q At the direct practice level you talk about
- 8 supervision providing an opportunity for a supervisor to --
- 9 I can't remember your exact words, but to look at what,
- 10 what's being done by a worker. Would it be fair to say
- 11 that it's not only an opportunity but it's a responsibility
- 12 of the supervisor?
- 13 A Yes, yeah, absolutely it is. And, you know,
- 14 ensuring that the social worker has the skills and
- 15 abilities and knowledge necessary to perform the job.
- 16 Q Midway through the page, on page 30, you say
- 17 that:

- 19 "From an organizational
- 20 perspective, good supervision is
- one means to ensure accountability
- 22 to service users through the
- 23 identification of poor service
- 24 provision and the implementation
- of necessary improvements to

1		service."
2		
3		So that's what
4	А	Um-hum, um-hum.
5	Q	what you've told us about.
6	А	Yeah.
7	Q	And then you go on to say that:
8		
9		"Supervisors must also have
10		sufficient education and training
11		to supervise This includes
12		ongoing professional development
13		opportunities, as well as
14		their own regular supervision."
15		
16		Can you talk a little bit more, please, about
17	what super	visors need in order to be able to fulfil their
18	role?	
19	А	Right. You know, supervisors do need to be to
20	have suppo	rt, too, in terms of being able to do their work
21	appropriat	ely and so that means initial, you know, capacity
22	to take or	ver the supervisory role, but in education or
23	profession	al development, but also ongoing professional
24	developmen	t opportunities. And also they require, you
25	know, some	supervisory capacity to ensure that they're able

- 1 to function well and support their staff well.
- 2 Q From the, the evidence that we heard when we
- 3 asked the question who within the agency was expected to
- 4 know what was happening on a given file -- the number of
- 5 times a file had been opened, whether a child had been
- 6 seen, that sort of thing -- the answer was typically the
- 7 worker and the supervisor. Would that be consistent with
- 8 your understanding of who within an agency would have the
- 9 direct knowledge of what's happening on a given file?
- 10 A That would be my understanding.
- 11 Q And so is it fair to say, then, that, that the
- 12 role of the supervisor is a form of quality assurance in
- 13 terms of the direct practice delivery?
- 14 A Um-hum. Um-hum. Absolutely.
- 15 Q And, and an important form of ensuring compliance
- 16 with, with the mandate of the legislation.
- 17 A Um-hum. Yes.
- 18 Q The next section that you have starting at page
- 19 31, Inter-professional coordination, collaboration, and
- 20 integration, what -- very briefly, what is that section
- 21 referring to?
- 22 A This, this piece really focuses on the concept of
- 23 benefiting families and service users through sort of,
- 24 really, to professional coordination and collaboration and
- 25 at times integration, but essentially it's the system

- 1 working together to minimize transitions in terms of
- 2 services to, you know, share information that's necessary
- 3 appropriately -- you know, with signed releases of
- 4 confidentiality -- but not -- but really for the benefit --
- 5 the focus is on the benefit of the children and families.
- O Does that refer, then, to coordination within the
- 7 agency and with itself and between the agency and other
- 8 social service agencies?
- 9 A Yeah, so it can be intra-organizational or extra-
- 10 or inter-organizational and, you know, it can be between
- 11 units so maybe somebody transferred from intake to family
- 12 services, but it can also be a family services worker
- 13 working with the education system.
- 14 Q Then you talk about evaluation. What's the
- 15 significance of that to best practices?
- 16 A The concept is, is that within best practices
- 17 there's an evaluative component to all aspects of the
- 18 organizational's work and, really, it allows for the
- 19 monitoring and improvement of service planning and
- 20 delivery.
- 21 Q So at page 33, then, you summarize your
- 22 considerations and, and recommendations regarding the
- 23 organizational level:

25 "- Recruitment and hiring

1 requirements suggest a B.S.W. w	ith
2 a child welfare and Aborigi	nal
3 practice focus as well as ongo	ing
4 opportunities for professio	nal
5 development and regular, qualif	ied
6 supervision.	
7	
8 A Um-hum.	
9 Q	
10 "- Workers should have a perso	nal
11 commitment to empower children	and
12 families and workloads that al	low
13 them to develop and maint	ain
14 relationship-building w	ith
15 children, families,	and
16 communities.	
17 "- Organizational leadership m	ust
18 be supportive of the context	of
19 direct practice and the w	ork
20 challenges through workl	oad
21 assignments, flexibility	in
service provision, and accessib	le,
23 relevant service options.	
24 "- The importance of evaluation	as
25 an integral component of serv	ice

1			planning and delivery."
2			
3		A	Um-hum.
4		Q	The last section that you cover, the last area
5	that	you	cover in your paper is the direct practice level.
6	What	does	that refer to?
7		А	So the direct practice level really refers to
8	when	the	social worker is involved and providing services
9	with	serv	ice user, individual or family.
10		Q	So at page 34 you say that:
11			
12			"Some studies report that [best
13			practice] is predominantly
14			grounded in the social worker -
15			service user relationship."
16			
17		А	Um-hum.
18		Q	And that studies and going back to, to '98:
19			
20			" describe [best practice] as a
21			process in which service is client
22			focused, and a genuine
23			relationship exists between
24			service users and the social
2.5			worker in which both share their

1 efforts to make real change." 2 3 Α Um-hum. So this is again -- you're talking again about 4 5 the, the significance of the genuine relationship between 6 the worker and the, the user, the --7 Α Yeah. -- the family or the child. 8 9 Really working toward the enabling and empowering 10 of the service user. 11 Q Then going over to page 35, this is where you 12 reference your work on the family-centred approach. 13 Α Um-hum. 14 Q And you say that: 15 16 "Based on a review of family 17 centered and child welfare 18 literature, [you] produced 19 conceptual paper that integrates a 2.0 family-centered ... approach to 21 child welfare practice." 2.2 23 Do you, do you want to comment a little bit more 24 about that work?

Well, I think the focus was on how family-centred

1	a family-centred approach to service or child welfare
2	practice really can benefit workers working within the
3	child welfare system. It emphasizes the relationship based
4	piece and, you know, it emphasizes working honestly even if
5	the involvement is with involuntary service users. So, you
6	know, I think the point is not to ignore sometimes the
7	difficult or challenging cases, but it is really focusing
8	on strengths, safety, and, you know, trying to work to
9	empower families.
10	Q Go on to say:
11	
12	There are six general stages to
13	child welfare practice: intake,
14	investigation, assessment
15	(including risk assessment),
16	service provision, evaluation, and
17	closure (or transfer for continued
18	services as assessed).
19	
20	And you say that:
21	
22	"Throughout the service stages,
23	workers should ensure that they
24	document relevant information and

are familiar with any previous

involvement."

- 3 And you cite a 1993 study.
- 4 A Um-hum.
- 5 Q What, what's the significance of that to best
- 6 practice?
- 7 A You know, assessment is based on information
- 8 gathered, and so that information gathered can be,
- 9 obviously, the face-to-face piece, but there are certainly
- 10 circumstances where families have had previous involvement
- 11 with child welfare agencies and it's important for the
- 12 workers, when they're preparing to work with the family, to
- 13 be aware of what that previous involvement is. That then
- 14 becomes integrated, if appropriate, into the, you know,
- 15 assessment plan with the family.
- 16 Q How does that previous involvement inform the
- 17 assessment plan?
- 18 A Well, you know, depending on previous
- 19 involvement, but it really could be an area for just
- 20 continued services in terms of what was previously assessed
- 21 and issues that still may need to be addressed. It could
- 22 really be a focus on strengths, of positive changes that
- 23 have been made, maybe next steps in terms of working from
- 24 that positive change, any kind of, I guess, changes within
- 25 the family system and context. There may be new additions

- 1 to the family or members may have left the family. So, you
- 2 know, just really being up to date on changes. Often,
- 3 within -- when providing those direct services, you know,
- 4 changes can really impact emotionally so those may be areas
- 5 that require further follow-up or work with the family.
- 6 Q You go on to say:

- 8 "If an allegation of maltreatment
- 9 is reported, the worker proceeds
- 10 with an investigation and meets
- 11 with family members to discuss
- 12 concerns. Incongruities between
- the parents and child or other
- 14 relevant parties should be
- 15 considered as areas requiring
- 16 further investigation to ensure
- 17 the safety of the child."

- 19 And you cite a 1983 study for that.
- 20 A Yes, a text of child investigation, yeah.
- 21 Q So that's, that's not a new concept.
- 22 A No.
- 23 0
- 24 "The attachment between the child
- and the parental figure is also an

```
A.S.C. WRIGHT - DR.EX. (WALSH)
                                                APRIL 24, 2013
1
                  important element of the
 2
                  assessment process."
 3
             And then you say:
 4
5
                  "When necessary, the child should
                  be examined by a physician."
7
8
9
             THE COMMISSIONER: What page are you on?
10
             MS. WALSH: I'm on page 35.
11
            THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. Carry on.
12
13
    BY MS. WALSH:
14
             Then towards the bottom of the page, you cite
15
   Swift and Callahan, who raised:
16
17
                  "... valid concerns about the
18
                  pervasiveness of risk and the
19
                  assessment of risk throughout
20
                  social services. Nevertheless" --
21
22
  you say,
23
24
                  ... a risk assessment ... tool can
```

be useful to systematically

1	address variables that impact
2	child well-being as part of the
3	broader assessment focus. Risk
4	assessment in child welfare requires
5	the assessment of the vulnerability
6	of the child; the probability of
7	future instances of abuse or
8	neglect; and the probable severity
9	of any future instances of abuse
10	or neglect.
11	
12	You cite a 1987 study, and you go on to say that:
13	
14	"A [risk assessment] tool is not
15	in conflict with cultural
16	appropriate practices,"
17	
18	but you say then that:
19	
20	" it is simply one piece of
21	larger assessment, intervention
22	and evaluation, provided
23	within the context of culturally
24	appropriate service planning and
25	delivery."

1 2 And you cite Christianson-Wood as noting that: 3 "... risk assessment must be 4 5 accompanied by 'good clinical judgment' in order to effectively 7 plan with families and can [be] held 'to reduce the 8 negative 9 effects of idiosyncratic 10 assessment or poor supervision.'" 11 12 Α Um-hum. Tell us what you're saying about, about risk 13 14 assessment and, and how it's used for best practices --15 Right. 16 -- or what best practices say about risk 17 assessment. Okay. And certainly, to be clear, I know 18 Sigurdson's and Reid's risk assessment tool the best, so 19 20 I'm, I'm certainly speaking on that. But the concept of 21 risk assessment is to identify whether a child is at risk 22 of a future incident of abuse or neglect in the future, so there is a predictive element. And if you're assessing 23 24 that there is a possibility of a future incident, then to

what severity is it likely going to be. I think those are

- 1 really key.
- 2 But, you know, I think risk assessment tools can
- 3 be very helpful in providing a piece of a larger assessment
- 4 tool and can really help in terms of identifying specific
- 5 areas for, you know, work that needs to be done, or changes
- 6 that need to be made, or strengths that exist within the
- 7 family and that can be built upon.
- 8 So I, I do not see them as incompatible and that
- 9 risk assessment is problematic to a broader assessment, but
- 10 it is important to remember that risk assessment cannot be
- 11 some sort of computational model that neglects to have, you
- 12 know, professional judgment in terms of a case.
- 13 Q What about the use of history to risk assessment?
- 14 What's the significance of that, if any?
- 15 A In terms of what's gone on before?
- 16 Q Yes.
- 17 A Well, certainly with the MRES, you know, the
- 18 predictive validity increases based on prior history of
- 19 abuse or neglect.
- 20 Q So history has a predictive value.
- 21 A Yeah.
- 22 Q And you go on to say:

- 24 "The assessment process
- 25 should include the service user's

2.2

23

24

services.

(i.e. all family members) 1 2 understanding of the situation and 3 the referred problem, as well as the identification of strengths, 4 5 problems, and potential areas for changes. In addition, other 7 identified issues such as food, housing, or educational needs should 8 9 be incorporated into the plan [and 10 that] assessments should always 11 include the identification of 12 strengths...." 13 14 Why should, should an assessment include both the 15 food, housing, and educational needs, and also 16 identification of strengths? 17 You know, working with families on a really basic level, basic needs need to be met, and in, in order to 18 19 develop and support families to improve outcomes 20 children, that's pretty basic in terms of, of, of a positive intervention. And, I guess, have you all, all of

25 Sometimes just giving someone a bus pass can make

that within the context of building relationship and

engaging and providing some really, sort of, viable, useful

- 1 a big difference?
- 2 A Yeah, yeah.
- 3 Q Then you talk at the bottom of the page about
- 4 developing a service plan. What's the significance of
- 5 that, and, and how does that look?
- 6 A So, so based on the assessment and in, in
- 7 partnership with the family, really, a service plan would
- 8 be developed where people would identify what things need
- 9 to be changed, how is it going to be changed within the
- 10 context -- timeline, sort of responsibilities for maybe
- 11 following up on specific activities or, or something.
- 12 Q And --
- 13 A And then -- sorry, and then that service plan is
- 14 the basis for kind of, you know, meeting again and talking,
- 15 okay, how are things going, what's worked, what hasn't
- 16 worked, and then revising accordingly, but, really, the
- 17 identification of needs and strengths and, and supporting
- 18 those changes.
- 19 Q And then if, if a service plan is developed, does
- 20 it need to be monitored by anyone or --
- 21 A Well, so that -- those would be the regular
- 22 meetings between the worker and the family, to talk about
- 23 how the plan and the identified tasks and roles are being
- 24 met. Yeah.
- 25 Q Now, still on page 37, the first full paragraph

```
1 you say:
2
 3
                      "Within the context of the
                  initial and ongoing assessments,
 4
5
                  it is crucial that the worker has
                  physical access to the children,
                  and is able to meet with them as
7
8
                  part of the assessment and
9
                  intervention process to ensure
10
                  their well-being."
11
12
    And you cite studies from 1987 and 1993, so that's not a
13
    new concept.
14
             No, it's not a new concept.
15
             Then you go on to say:
16
17
                  "Changes in the family's
18
                  composition (for example, a new
19
                  partner) and the impact on
2.0
                  children should also be included
21
                  as key parts of ongoing
                  assessment."
2.2
23
24
   And again you refer to a 1993 study.
25
             Then you say:
```

1 2 "When working with involuntary 3 service users in which past child maltreatment has occurred, the 4 5 withdrawal of parents from contact with social workers and other professionals, also requires 7 further assessment." 8 9 Again, you refer to Reder, Duncan, and Gray, 1993. Is that 10 11 a textbook? 12 A No, it was -- let me just refer to this. 13 Yeah, it was the book that really provided a 14 summary of child abuse tragedies where children were killed 15 in England -- or in Britain. 16 Q Based on your, your work as an educator Manitoba, is this textbook which was published in 1993, is 17 this something that has been made known and available to 18 19 social workers in -- or social work students, in any event, 2.0 in Manitoba? 21 A I, I'm -- I can't answer that. I don't know. I 22 know certainly, in terms of my own lectures, I would make 23 reference to them. I --

THE COMMISSIONER: Which book -- which textbook

24

25 are you talking about?

- 1 MS. WALSH: This is Reder, Duncan, and Gray,
- 2 1993.
- 3 THE COMMISSIONER: I see it. Okay. I just
- 4 wasn't sure. Yeah. Your question was, is that text made
- 5 available to the students?
- 6 MS. WALSH: Yes.
- 7 THE COMMISSIONER: What was the answer?
- 8 THE WITNESS: Well, I know when I teach the child
- 9 and family services course, I would make reference to these
- 10 points in terms of, you know, family assessment issues and,
- 11 and reference them, but I can't answer in terms of others.

- 13 BY MS. WALSH:
- 14 Q In terms of the, the family assessment concepts
- 15 that are referenced in, in that 1993 textbook, are those
- 16 concepts that were known by other authorities within the,
- 17 the profession or are they something unique to Reder,
- 18 Duncan, and Gray?
- 19 A I'm not sure I totally understand the question.
- 20 Q Are they -- how well known are those concepts,
- 21 generally? Even if someone hadn't read that specific
- 22 textbook, is that, is that a concept within best practice,
- 23 these assessments and aspects of assessment that you're
- 24 referring to in this paragraph?
- 25 A Yeah, certainly in terms of an assessment

```
1 process, meeting with family members, which includes
   potentially new members of the family, yes, that would be
2
3 consistent.
4
        Q
          Okay.
5
        A
           Yeah.
 6
        Q And then you go on to say:
7
                 "In the context of families who
8
9
                 avoid contact and have had a
10
                 previous maltreatment concern
11
                 workers must take an
12
                 'authoritative and decisive'
13
                 stance to ensure the child is
                 protected, regardless of the
14
15
                 discomfort a worker may have in
16
                 taking on this role."
17
18
       Α
          Um-hum.
19
            And finally, you say that Wright -- is that you,
        Q
   Wright --
20
21
       A Yes.
22
        Q -- in the paper?
          Yeah.
23
        Α
24
        Q In your 2011 study:
```

"... note that even in the context 1 2 of involuntary service users, 3 workers should maintain honesty in their work with families, and work 4 5 respectfully with families reminding 'the service user of 7 his/her right to non-compliance 8 and related consequences.'" 9

10 Α Right.

11 So what are you talking about there?

12 Well, I know that it's difficult working with Α 13 families, particularly where -- in the context of where 14 maybe someone doesn't want to be involved at all with child 15 welfare and I know there can be times where workers may be 16 very intimidated by that. But I guess in terms of, you know, best practices and, and sort of working with families 17 18 to engage and to do an assessment, it's really important to 19 ensure that issues of child safety are prioritized. And if 20 the point is that there needs to be a clear statement to 21 that effect, you know, just being honest about what you can 22 or can't do is, is really part of that engagement process.

- That's what Diane and I were hoping to connect from family 23
- 24 centred to child welfare.
- 25 Okay. Then you go on to talk about out-of-home

- 1 care. What's the significance of this discussion in the
- 2 paper?
- 3 A Really, what I tried to do in this section was
- 4 just identify that one piece of child welfare includes out-
- 5 of-home care. It's a really important area in terms of
- 6 service provision and caring for children and ensuring they
- 7 are cared for, but, you know, I didn't go into a whole lot
- 8 of detail on that so I tried to just identify key issues
- 9 there.
- 10 Q And what are the key issues?
- 11 A Oh, that family, you know, is viewed as, as the
- 12 best place for children in terms of out-of-home care in the
- 13 alternate care sort of arrangements, that kinship care and
- 14 connection to community or at least to extended family is
- 15 really important. Kufeldt, in that Looking After Children
- 16 project, you know, is really a positive step in terms of
- 17 trying to ensure there are best practices associated for
- 18 kids in care.
- 19 Q Page 39, you refer to the study that you did with
- 20 respect to kinship care provision?
- 21 A Yeah.
- 22 Q And that's, that's the work you did in Cross
- 23 Lake.
- 24 A Yeah.
- 25 Q And what was your findings? What were the, the

most significant findings from that study? 1 I think it was --2 Α 3 THE COMMISSIONER: Page 38, you're on? MS. WALSH: Thirty-nine, now. 4 5 THE COMMISSIONER: Thirty-nine. 6 MS. WALSH: Yes. 7 THE WITNESS: I think there was -- what was 8 really impressive and positive was the importance 9 kinship care and community, and maintaining the community's 10 children within the community by community members. 11 that value was really strong in there. Challenges include, 12 you know, overextending community members to care for these 13 kids and, you know, burnout and associated factors related 14 to that. 15 16 BY MS. WALSH: 17 At the bottom of page 39, you make reference to a 18 diagram which you say: 19 2.0 " . . . provides a visual 21 representation of the direct 2.2 practice process identifying five 23 stages and some associated tasks." 24

That diagram is at page 41. If we could look at

- 1 it and you could walk us through how to read it, please.
- 2 A Okay. Really, what I tried to do was just
- 3 visually present different stages of direct practice. They
- 4 aren't all separated and, you know, distinct stages, but
- 5 the main focus was to ensure that there's this sort of
- 6 circularity and the feedback loop throughout the process.
- 7 So as new information or new activities, new changes come
- 8 into the family context, that's integrated within the
- 9 assessment and the plan and, you know, the service
- 10 provision may be modified. But there's this sort of
- 11 continual process that's the idea of it.
- 12 Q Then you summarize points for consideration and
- 13 recommendations regarding best practice on the direct
- 14 practice level as including:

- The focus of direct practice
- should be on child and family
- 18 health and well-being with the
- 19 goal of empowerment."

- 21 A Um-hum.
- 22 Q
- The importance of the
- 24 relationship between the social
- 25 worker and service user should be

1	emphasized and supported, based on
2	trust and respect, and culturally
3	appropriate services.
4	"The importance of meeting
5	with all family members,
6	particularly children and their
7	parents and the necessity of
8	incorporating regular feedback
9	into the intervention process,
10	modifying goals and services as
11	necessary.
12	"The importance of minimizing
13	disruptions for children and
14	families throughout, and across,
15	the service continuum.
16	"The importance of national
17	standards for children in care,
18	and the implementation of an
19	approach to service such as the
20	LAC model."
21	
22	Now, we didn't discuss that today, but it's, it's in your
23	paper.
24	A Yes.
25	Q LAC refers to?

- 1 A Look After Children.
- 2 Q And:

- 4 "The maintenance of family-
- 5 based care as the preferred form
- of alternate care and the
- 7 development and support for
- 8 kinship care."

- 10 Can I just ask you, when you talk about the
- 11 necessity of incorporating regular feedback into the
- 12 intervention process and, and making the service users a
- 13 partner in the work that, that the, the social worker is
- 14 doing, first of all, would you agree that that's, that's
- 15 important?
- 16 A Um-hum.
- 17 Q And to that end, I mean, for instance, as lawyers
- 18 we have to keep our clients informed. We have to phone
- 19 them; we have to send them letters; we have to give them
- 20 copies of documents. Doctors are required to give patients
- 21 copies of their charts. What's the obligation with respect
- 22 to how social workers keep their clients informed?
- 23 A You know, from a family support perspective,
- 24 really, it's an ongoing sort of planned involvement and
- 25 relationship so there is, there is continual updating or

- 1 connecting, whether that may be at times over the
- 2 telephone, but there is -- you know, you would have planned
- 3 meetings, face-to-face meetings to address the issues as
- 4 identified in your sort of service plan.
- 5 Q And can service users' families be given -- is
- 6 there any reason why they can't be given copies of service
- 7 plans, copies of assessments, the, the contents of, of the
- 8 files that are about them and their children?
- 9 A I, I do not have special knowledge of, you know,
- 10 privacy laws --
- 11 Q Right.
- 12 A -- but, certainly, I don't see -- I mean, really,
- 13 those service plans are made in -- with the family so I, I
- 14 would always leave a copy, for example, with the family.
- 15 So I don't --
- 16 Q Would that be important for --
- 17 A Yeah.
- 18 Q -- for a family to have?
- 19 A Yeah. Yeah, from, from this perspective, yes.
- 20 Q What about assessments that are, that are made
- 21 about a family? Would it be important for a family to know
- 22 what assessments have been made about them by service
- 23 providers?
- 24 A Well, I think in terms of -- depending on the
- 25 assessment that's made and depending on the content that's

- 1 within the assessment, I think it would be appropriate for
- 2 judgment to determine who that should be shared with, you
- 3 know, in -- but, I mean, generally, if it's an individual
- 4 person, it's my understanding is it belongs to them. And I
- 5 guess my focus would be more from a service delivery focus
- 6 of engaging on identifying strengths, areas for change, how
- 7 can that information be used, you know, to improve the
- 8 family's and child's health and well-being or family
- 9 functioning.
- 10 Q So is, is a goal in service provision, then, to,
- 11 to make the, the family, the service user, a partner in
- 12 whatever the work is?
- 13 A Um-hum.
- Q Sorry, the, the um-hum we --
- 15 A Yeah, sorry.
- 16 Q -- can't type.
- 17 A Yeah. Yes.
- 18 Q I'm sorry.
- 19 A And, you know, I know within the context of child
- 20 welfare there are going to be involuntary service users who
- 21 don't want partnership.
- 22 Q Right.
- 23 A And I think it's really important, though, to be
- 24 clear, then, if you don't want partnership, this is a
- 25 consequence of that. And the consequence may be that you

- 1 have to be far more intrusive in terms of an intervention
- 2 approach.
- 3 Q What else does best practice say about families
- 4 who don't want intervention?
- 5 A I think best practices would really strive to
- 6 keep focusing on that relationship building and engagement,
- 7 and work honestly with families and, you know, identify
- 8 what you can and can't do.
- 9 Q Then you, you summarize your recommendations --
- 10 final recommendations at page -- starting at page 43 and I
- 11 think, really, they, they repeat much of what --
- 12 A Um-hum.
- 13 Q -- what you discussed previously in the paper.
- MS. WALSH: Mr. Commissioner, I have about ten
- 15 more minutes of questions for this witness. If we could at
- 16 least finish my questioning of the witness?
- THE COMMISSIONER: We'll, we'll do that, and then
- 18 we won't return till later in the afternoon.
- MS. WALSH: Thank you.

- 21 BY MS. WALSH:
- 22 Q In going through your paper, then, Dr. Wright,
- 23 tell me if it's fair to say that, that the following themes
- 24 come out -- and I may miss some, but I see accountability
- 25 as a theme in, in your paper?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Relationship building?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q The importance of prevention?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Empowerment?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And the delivery of culturally appropriate
- 9 services.
- 10 A Yes. I, I also think there's one more that --
- 11 Q Yes.
- 12 A -- is really -- I think there is the importance
- 13 of social workers on an individual level to be committed to
- 14 the process of family support.
- 15 Q The last area that I want to ask you about -- and
- 16 I think you touched on this -- is the idea of outcomes. I
- 17 think, I think you said that even when best practices are
- 18 implemented, outcomes aren't always what is expected.
- 19 A Um-hum.
- 20 Q Is that right?
- 21 A That's right.
- Q That fair?
- 23 A Yeah.
- 24 Q You can't predict every tragedy, clearly.
- 25 A Right.

- 1 O But what does best practices say you need to do?
- 2 A I think from a best practices perspective, even
- 3 if the outcomes aren't what were intended, then on an
- 4 organizational level and a service delivery level there are
- 5 processes in place to really learn from what happened, you
- 6 know, identify areas for improvement, modify as necessary,
- 7 make changes. But the point is, is that all of these --
- 8 these are considered opportunities for learning and to
- 9 improve services. So whether it's on a direct practice
- 10 level with a social worker or supervisor or through
- 11 management, or on a broader organizational or policy level,
- 12 the goal is, from a best practice, is to learn from it and,
- 13 and, you know, improve. Make improvement.
- 14 Q So that where, for example, an unexpected or
- 15 adverse outcome occurs, is the expectation that the workers
- 16 involved will be made aware of what happened?
- 17 A Well, from looking at sort of the supervisory
- 18 role, for example, it would be appropriate for a supervisor
- 19 to address, you know, issues in terms of performance, talk
- 20 about, you know, maybe what was learned, how things could
- 21 be improved, so, yes, yeah.
- 22 Q We often -- is it fair to say that, that after a
- 23 social worker has, has closed a file and finished working
- 24 with a family, they don't know what happens to the family?
- 25 A Um-hum.

- 1 Q That be fair?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q So if, if there is an adverse outcome or an
- 4 unexpected outcome, in order for them to learn from that
- 5 outcome, what has to happen?
- 6 A I quess it depends on what adverse outcome is,
- 7 but there certainly can be a system where, you know, in
- 8 supervision, for example, where you could have a discussion
- 9 about, you know, a difficult case and what, what are the
- 10 options in terms of providing services to this family and
- 11 how could things have improved. So really turning it into
- 12 a learning opportunity to develop better practices. That
- 13 could be carried up, though, even to develop better
- 14 policies or procedures, you know, from an organizational or
- 15 system level, too.
- 16 Q Is it fair to say if, if a worker is not made
- 17 aware of what the outcome of their involvement was, it's
- 18 difficult or impossible for them to learn from that
- 19 outcome?
- 20 A It would -- I think it would be difficult to
- 21 learn from it.
- 22 Q And then finally, you said that, that a worker
- 23 can't control the outcome necessarily of their work, but
- 24 what, what should be within their control?
- 25 A I think from a best practices perspective on, on

- 1 a direct service level, the worker really works with the
- 2 concept of best interests for the child -- and, and the
- 3 family, but for the child -- with the concept of sort of
- 4 ethical professional practice and family support in terms
- 5 of striving to develop opportunities for engagement and to
- 6 build trust and to see strengths. So I think the worker
- 7 has the responsibility on those levels. Or if there are
- 8 problems, also to report back to the supervisor or the team
- 9 and, and discuss how things could be improved.
- 10 MS. WALSH: Thank you. Those are my questions.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 12 THE COMMISSIONER: Well, thank you, Ms. Walsh.
- We're obviously going to have to bring you back
- 14 this afternoon, Witness.
- 15 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 16 THE COMMISSIONER: There will be -- some of the
- 17 lawyers will have questions for you.
- So it's, it's quarter to, to one, so we'll
- 19 adjourn till 2:15, but we may well sit towards five
- 20 o'clock. I won't sit beyond, but to not get too far
- 21 behind, that may happen. So we'll rise now till 2:15.
- 22 MS. WALSH: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. Unless
- 23 you want to come back at two.
- THE COMMISSIONER: Well, if everyone's available
- 25 to come at -- ready to come at two, I'll be here. Check

PROCEEDINGS APRIL 24, 2013

```
1
    with your counsel. Do they, do they accept that?
 2
             MS. WALSH: Can we do that?
              Looks like people prefer 2:15.
 3
              THE COMMISSIONER: 2:15, it'll be. All right.
 4
 5
             MS. WALSH: Thank you. Thank you, Dr. Wright.
             THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
 7
             THE WITNESS: Thank you.
             THE COMMISSIONER: You can leave the stand.
 8
 9
              THE WITNESS: Okay, thanks.
10
              THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
11
12
                  (WITNESS STOOD DOWN)
13
14
                  (LUNCHEON RECESS)
15
16
             MS. WALSH: Mr. Commissioner, as you can see, we
    now have a different witness seated next to you. Dean
17
    Frankel is going to be led through his evidence and
18
    available for cross-examination.
19
                                         We think that his
20
    evidence will be able to be finished -- started and
21
   finished before the afternoon is over, and then Dr. Wright
22
    will come back and the cross-examination will begin, and to
23
   the extent that her cross-examination is not completed --
24
    some counsel have asked for extra time to prepare -- she is
25
    prepared to make herself available either through video
```

PROCEEDINGS APRIL 24, 2013

```
1 conference or by flying back, but she was not available to
```

- 2 stay tomorrow. She's got a work commitment back in Ottawa
- 3 tomorrow.
- 4 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Welcome, Dean.
- 5 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 6 THE COMMISSIONER: And Mr. Globerman.
- 7 MR. GLOBERMAN: Thank you, sir.
- 8 THE CLERK: Sir, if you could just stand for a
- 9 moment.
- 10 THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry.
- 11 THE CLERK: It's okay. Is it your choice to
- 12 swear on the Bible?
- 13 THE WITNESS: I'd rather not.
- 14 THE CLERK: Or, or affirm to tell the truth
- 15 without the Bible.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Without.
- 17 THE CLERK: All right. Just state your full name
- 18 to the court.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Harvy Frankel.
- THE CLERK: And spell me your first name, please.
- THE WITNESS: H-A-R-V-Y.
- THE CLERK: And your last name.
- THE WITNESS: F-R-A-N-K-E-L.

24

25 **HARVY FRANKEL**, affirmed, testified

1 as follows:

2

3 THE CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated.

4

5 <u>DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GLOBERMAN</u>:

- 6 Q Good afternoon, Dr. Frankel.
- 7 A Good afternoon.
- 8 Q You are currently the dean of the Faculty of
- 9 Social Work at the University of Manitoba?
- 10 A That's correct.
- 11 Q You've held this position since 2008?
- 12 A That's right.
- 13 Q Just briefly, if you could describe for the
- 14 Commissioner what is involved in being dean of the Faculty
- 15 of Social Work?
- 16 A Basically the dean is, is akin to the chief
- 17 executive officer of the faculty. I'm responsible for the
- 18 academic and administrative operations of the faculty,
- 19 which includes monitoring, curriculum, supervising staff,
- 20 managing the budget, those sorts of things.
- 21 Q I understand that you received your Bachelor of
- 22 Social Work degree from the University of Manitoba in 1976?
- 23 A That's correct.
- 24 Q And you received your Master of Social Work
- 25 McGill University in 1981?

- 1 A That's right.
- 2 Q You obtained your Ph.D. in social welfare from
- 3 the University of California Berkley in 1988?
- 4 A That's right.
- 5 Q And since that time you have been at the
- 6 University of Manitoba?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q I understand that you were the associate dean at
- 9 the university for roughly nine years?
- 10 A That's right.
- 11 Q And after, after that you became the dean?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q I understand that you are also a full professor
- 14 at the University of Manitoba.
- 15 A That's correct.
- Q What courses have you taught?
- 17 A I teach -- when I do teach, I teach largely in
- 18 the area of clinical practice. In the graduate program
- 19 specifically, practice with families. I also teach
- 20 clinical research and research methods.
- 21 Q What is your academic focus at the university?
- 22 A My academic focus is, broadly, children and
- 23 families under stress. I have an interest specifically in
- 24 family and fetal alcohol syndrome, families and -- what was
- 25 called family preservation and placement prevention, and

- 1 I've done some work lately on program adoption.
- 2 Q What do you mean by program adoption?
- 3 A Program adoption is, is the idea of taking a
- 4 program from one jurisdiction or one setting and
- 5 implementing it in another setting.
- 6 Q Have you practised at all since you've been an
- 7 academic?
- 8 A I've practised in a couple of ways. It's been
- 9 pretty minimal. I've, I've done my own direct practice.
- 10 I've also practised in the sense that I supervise graduate
- 11 students who are practising, and I've also taught a course
- 12 that involves direct supervision of students who are
- 13 practising with families.
- 14 Q You mentioned that you have your own direct
- 15 practice. What does that involve?
- 16 A It's essentially private practice. I've done it
- 17 most commonly through an employee assistance program and it
- 18 might be one or two families a month. And I, I haven't
- 19 done that since my appointment as dean.
- 20 Q I understand that you are also an approved
- 21 supervisor with the American Association of Marriage and
- 22 Family Therapists.
- 23 A Yeah. This, this was an organization that
- 24 basically accredits therapists. It's a voluntary
- 25 organization, American based, but there is a Manitoba

- 1 chapter of the organization. This enables me to provide
- 2 supervision to individuals who want to become clinical
- 3 members of the association. It also enables me to
- 4 supervise individuals who are seeking to become approved
- 5 supervisors.
- 6 Q It is my understanding that you're also involved
- 7 in a transition board which has been established to help
- 8 create a college of social work in Manitoba?
- 9 A Right. I've been appointed by order-in-council
- 10 on the transition board for the College of Social Workers.
- 11 This has to do with, with Bill 9 which passed but hasn't
- 12 been acclaimed until some procedures are put in place to
- 13 establish the college.
- 14 Q And who sits on this board with you?
- 15 A The board is chaired by Ovide Mercredi. The
- 16 executive director of Ma Mawi Chi Itata is, is on the
- 17 board, a representative from the aboriginal social work
- 18 society, a representative from the Manitoba Institute of
- 19 Registered Social Workers, and a practising social worker.
- THE COMMISSIONER: Is there anything to do, with
- 21 the bill unproclaimed?
- 22 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, I don't understand the
- 23 question.
- THE COMMISSIONER: Well, the bill has not been
- 25 proclaimed.

- 1 THE WITNESS: Right.
- 2 THE COMMISSIONER: And this is a transition
- 3 committee to get ready for when it is?
- 4 THE WITNESS: Exactly, exactly. So there, there
- 5 was some -- as people may know, there was some controversy
- 6 with the extent of consultation that the professional
- 7 association did prior to the bill so there were, there were
- 8 a number of objections and the Minister chose to go the
- 9 route of a transition board rather than simply establishing
- 10 the college.
- 11 THE COMMISSIONER: Well, then, where's, where's
- 12 the bill at?
- 13 THE WITNESS: As I understand it, the bill is, is
- 14 awaiting proclamation, if that's the term, after this
- 15 transition board reports to the Minister.
- 16 THE COMMISSIONER: And how soon will that be?
- 17 THE WITNESS: The transition board is to report
- 18 before July of this year.
- 19 THE COMMISSIONER: Thanks, Dean.
- THE WITNESS: Sure, thank you.
- 21
- 22 BY MR. GLOBERMAN:
- 23 Q How is the board going about establishing a
- 24 college of social work?
- 25 A I can tell you, I can tell you that generally the

- 1 board is looking at criteria for inclusion in the college
- 2 and governance of the college. The, the details are -- I'm
- 3 not at liberty to share the details at this point. The
- 4 agreement is that the details remain confidential until we
- 5 report to the Minister.
- 6 Q And just briefly, in your view, what is the
- 7 significance of establishing a college of social work in
- 8 Manitoba?
- 9 A Well, I think, broadly, it, it does a couple of
- 10 things. One of the things it does is puts Manitoba in line
- 11 with the other provinces around the mobility agreement, so
- 12 the, the federal mobility agreement.
- 2 Can you --
- 14 A So this would allow social workers to seek
- 15 registration in other provinces if they're registered in
- 16 Manitoba. The legislation is really what's called
- 17 protection of title, so it has to do with who can legally
- 18 call themselves a social worker, and I, I think in Manitoba
- 19 it's been a longstanding issue and, and this will bring
- 20 some closure to the issue of who, who can and can't call
- 21 themselves a social worker.
- 22 Q Do you have any understanding as to what
- 23 parameters the college will be using to determine who will
- 24 and will not be able to call themselves a social worker?
- 25 A I, I can tell you that, that the principle that's

- 1 been agreed upon is, is a principle of inclusivity, meaning
- 2 that there has to be some way to include those who are
- 3 practising social work but don't have the typical
- 4 credentials, which would be a degree from an accredited
- 5 school. So there, there's a commitment to, to look at that
- 6 issue and find a solution to it.
- 7 Q You mentioned registration earlier. Would the
- 8 college of social work have any role in actually
- 9 registering social workers?
- 10 A What it would mean is that the only people who
- 11 could call themselves social workers are people who are
- 12 registered with the college, and anyone who calls
- 13 themselves a social worker would be required to register.
- 14 Q And just briefly, do you have any thoughts on the
- 15 registration of social workers?
- 16 A Well, I think protection of title is a specific
- 17 kind of registration so we're, we're talking about a pretty
- 18 narrow group. For example, the province employs not social
- 19 workers but family service workers, mental health workers.
- 20 Those people could choose not to register as social workers
- 21 so, so I think there's some difficulty there.
- 22 I think the, the other issue is, is that social
- 23 work is somewhat unique in that its scope of practice
- 24 overlaps with a number of other professions. That's not
- 25 true, for example, of medicine or law. So, you know, there

- 1 -- it's, it's a more complex issue that, that needs to be
- 2 worked out.
- 3 Q I understand that you also sit on a board
- 4 involving the Canadian deans and directors of social work?
- 5 A Actually, I, I'm a member of the Canadian Deans
- 6 and Directors. This is an organization of all the deans
- 7 and directors of accredited programs in Canada, and I sit
- 8 as their representative on the board of the Canadian
- 9 Association for Social Work Education, which is primarily
- 10 the accrediting body for university-based social work
- 11 programs.
- 12 Q I'd like to ask you a few questions about the
- 13 program offered at the University of Manitoba.
- 14 A Sure.
- 15 Q And you just mentioned accreditation. Is the
- 16 Faculty of Social Work program at the University of
- 17 Manitoba accredited?
- 18 A The, the bachelor's and master's programs are
- 19 fully accredited. Ph.D. programs, in general, are not
- 20 accredited.
- 21 Q And what's the significance of, of being
- 22 accredited?
- 23 A I guess the significance is that the
- 24 accreditation assures that we're adhering to a number of
- 25 principles and practices about how to deliver social work

- 1 education. It, it deals with content of curriculum,
- 2 structure of programs, number of hours, credentials of
- 3 faculty, those sorts of issues. Also, many employers
- 4 require a degree from an accredited school in order to, to
- 5 be hired. And I guess the, the third thing is, is
- 6 academically, that in order to, to register in a graduate
- 7 program of social work, typically you need an undergraduate
- 8 degree from an accredited school.
- 9 Q And I believe you said that the accrediting body
- 10 in Canada is the Canadian Association for Social Work
- 11 Education?
- 12 A That's correct.
- 13 Q And just briefly, what else does this body do?
- 14 A Well, this body basically is the national voice
- 15 for, for social work education. In terms of activities, it
- 16 publishes a journal, it organizes an annual conference, and
- 17 it, it administers the accreditation process for, for all
- 18 Canadian programs that are university based.
- 19 MR. GLOBERMAN: Madam Clerk, if you could please
- 20 pull up Commission disclosure 2109, page 44691?

- 22 BY MR. GLOBERMAN:
- 23 Q Now, this is a document we received from your
- 24 counsel?
- 25 A Yeah.

- 1 Q It's entitled Canadian Association for Social
- 2 Work Education, Standards for Accreditation, May 2012. Are
- 3 you familiar with this document?
- 4 A Yes, I am.
- 5 Q Does this document contain the current standards
- 6 for accreditation?
- 7 A These are the current accreditation standards.
- 8 Q Does accreditation pose any problems or
- 9 limitations for the faculty?
- 10 A It does pose limitations in that it, it
- 11 prescribes certain course content, certain structures,
- 12 certain numbers of hours devoted to particular things. It
- 13 also speaks to the issue of governance for a faculty. And
- 14 I guess, you know, the other -- the, the major limitation
- 15 is really a financial one in that the accreditation process
- 16 has a financial cost connected with it.
- 17 Q I just have a few questions about the population
- 18 that's enrolled at the Faculty of Social Work --
- 19 A Sure.
- 20 Q -- program. How many students are currently
- 21 enrolled in the faculty of social work program?
- 22 A I believe at last count, it was 979.
- 23 Q Do you know how these figures compare with other
- 24 faculties of social work in Canada?
- 25 A I do know that in terms of numbers of students,

- 1 we're the largest faculty in the country, second to Ryerson
- 2 University in Toronto.
- 3 Q And just briefly, if you can, can you describe
- 4 for the Commission what the entrance requirements are for
- 5 the Faculty of Social Work?
- 6 A It's a bit complicated. The entrance
- 7 requirements for the undergraduate program in our main
- 8 program are essentially 30 credit hours, which, which
- 9 equates to one year of university study. We admit some of
- 10 our students -- the majority of our students through simply
- 11 grade point average, and the other proportion of students
- 12 are admitted through a combination of grade point average
- 13 and membership in a specified minority group. Now, that
- 14 applies to our main program at the Fort Garry campus.
- 15 There are variations in our other delivery sites and
- 16 formats.
- 17 Q Is admission competitive into the Faculty of
- 18 Social Work program?
- 19 A Admission to the undergraduate program is
- 20 competitive at about three applicants to each spot.
- 21 Q And what about the Master and Ph.D. level?
- 22 A The graduate program, it, it ranges from one and
- 23 a half to two applicants for each spot.
- 24 Q And how long does it take a student to obtain
- 25 their Bachelor of Social Work degree?

- 1 A In terms of full-time study, it would take them
- 2 four years after the one year -- I'm sorry, three years
- 3 after the one-year admission requirement. So a total of
- 4 four years.
- 5 MR. GLOBERMAN: Madam Clerk, if you could please
- 6 pull up Commission disclosure 2107, page 44657? And this
- 7 document runs through to page 44687, just for the record.

9 BY MR. GLOBERMAN:

- 10 Q Now, this is a document we received from your
- 11 counsel. It appears to be the academic calendar for the
- 12 year 2012-2013.
- 13 A That's correct.
- 14 Q Are you familiar with this document?
- 15 A I am.
- 16 Q Would this document contain all of the courses
- 17 offered by the Faculty of Social Work program at the
- 18 University of Manitoba?
- 19 A It would contain all of the courses, including
- 20 some courses that we refer to as topics courses. So the
- 21 topic would change annually, but the course would still be
- 22 included there. So it might be called, you know, Current
- 23 Issues in Social Work, for example.
- 24 Q But the issues would change from --
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q -- year to year.
- 2 A Exactly.
- 3 THE COMMISSIONER: Is this bachelor's and
- 4 master's, too, both?
- 5 THE WITNESS: This, I believe, is the
- 6 undergraduate academic calendar.
- 7 THE COMMISSIONER: Right.

- 10 Q Are students enrolled at the Faculty of Social
- 11 Work program required to take courses on child welfare?
- 12 A Students are certainly required to take courses
- 13 that have content relevant to child welfare --
- 14 Q Can you --
- 15 A -- so, for example, child development. Students
- 16 who are in a field placement in a child welfare agency are
- 17 required to take a course -- a companion course
- 18 specifically around child welfare.
- 19 Q You mention courses related to child welfare like
- 20 child development. What other courses in that regard are
- 21 all students enrolled at the faculty required to take?
- 22 A There are a range of courses. All students have
- 23 to take a course in -- called Human Behaviour in the Social
- 24 Environment, which is really a course that applies social
- 25 science theory to, to working with people, so this would

- 1 include child welfare content. All students take an
- 2 Introduction to Social Work Practice. All students take a
- 3 communications course, a research course, a social policy
- 4 course, a course related to aboriginal peoples in social
- 5 work practice, and a course related to feminist
- 6 perspectives on social work practice. So that would
- 7 constitute sort of the core of the required courses.
- 8 Q Does the Faculty of Social Work offer specialized
- 9 courses or a concentration in child and family services?
- 10 A We have designed a specialized concentration. We
- 11 have offered it primarily in our distance education
- 12 programs where we deliver the same curriculum, the Bachelor
- 13 of Social Work degree, to cohorts throughout the province.
- 14 Q Why is that the case, that, that it's being
- 15 delivered in those areas?
- 16 A Well, these are really -- these tend to be
- 17 partnerships with, with agencies, largely child welfare
- 18 agencies, so we, we're able to design the course schedule
- 19 and delivery around the needs of the students. And in, in
- 20 these cases, the partnerships are with child and family
- 21 service agencies so, so the focus on, on a specialization
- 22 is indicated.
- 23 MR. GLOBERMAN: Madam Clerk, if you could just
- 24 pull up Commission disclosure 2108, page 44689.
- Oh, pardon me, you have page 4679 there.

- 1 THE CLERK: Oh, sorry.
- 2 MR. GLOBERMAN: That's okay.
- 3 Thank you.

- 6 Q This is a document entitled B.S.W. Concentration
- 7 in child and family services --
- 8 A Exactly.
- 9 received by your counsel. Are you familiar
- 10 with this document?
- 11 A Yes, I am.
- 12 Q Now, what exactly does this document contain?
- 13 A So, so this describes the courses that would have
- 14 to be taken in order for, for a student to graduate with a
- 15 B.S.W. and a designation that says concentration in child
- 16 and family services. So it's a combination of required
- 17 courses in the Faculty of Social Work, a number of
- 18 electives in the faculty, and relevant electives throughout
- 19 the university.
- 20 Q Does the Faculty of Social Work program offer any
- 21 courses on addiction and mental health issues?
- 22 A We do. In, in our undergraduate program, there's
- 23 a six credit hour course on, on addictions. There's also a
- 24 six credit hour course on mental health.
- 25 Q Are those courses compulsory?

- 1 A Those courses are, are compulsory for students
- 2 who are involved in related field placements and -- but can
- 3 be taken by, by other students.
- 4 Q But they don't have to be taken by --
- 5 A They don't have to --
- 6 Q -- by everybody, okay.
- 7 A -- taken, that's right.
- 8 Q Does the faculty offer courses on or relating to
- 9 detecting child abuse or neglect?
- 10 A We would offer that both in our Introduction to
- 11 Practice course and in the, the specific child welfare
- 12 course.
- 13 Q And I believe you said the Introduction to
- 14 Practice course was required?
- 15 A Yes, yes.
- 16 Q Yes. Does the faculty offer any programs related
- 17 to performing risk and safety assessments?
- 18 A Again, there would be specific material in, for
- 19 example, a family violence course, which is related again
- 20 to a practice field placement, and again in the
- 21 Introduction to Practice course because, really, all of
- 22 social work practice involves some form of risk assessment.
- 23 Q I believe earlier you mentioned that students are
- 24 required to take a course on aboriginal peoples.
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q Is that unique to the program in Manitoba?
- 2 A I'm not familiar in detail with, with the
- 3 curricula across the country. I know it was unique at the
- 4 time we, we introduced it. Other programs may have, may
- 5 have adopted a similar policy.
- 6 Q And what does that course involve?
- 7 A That, that's a course that really -- it's, it's a
- 8 six credit hour course which means it runs both terms --
- 9 and we have very few of those so that, that sort of
- 10 indicates the emphasis. It looks at both social policy and
- 11 social work practice with aboriginal peoples.
- 12 Q Does the university offer an indigenous-based
- 13 program of social work?
- 14 A We are -- we have proposed an indigenous-based
- 15 master's of social work program and that program -- that
- 16 proposal is making its way through, through the university
- 17 processes. So it first has to be approved by the Faculty
- 18 of Graduate Studies, then by university Senate, and then
- 19 there's the issue of funding the program.
- 20 Q How will this program differ from the standard
- 21 Bachelor of Social Work program?
- 22 A Well, two things. It's, it's a master's level
- 23 program so people coming in will, will have a Bachelor of
- 24 Social Work. But it's, it's a program that, that really is
- 25 based on aboriginal perspectives and, and indigenous

- 1 knowledge rather than sort of a mainstream program that's
- 2 based on -- largely on, on traditional social science
- 3 knowledge that allows space -- and then allows space for
- 4 aboriginal knowledge. This program really centres
- 5 indigenous knowledge, but allows space for, for
- 6 conventional, you know, social science content. This --
- 7 there's a lot of emphasis on what's a growing practice
- 8 area, sort of indigenous orientations to, to practice, to
- 9 healing, that kind of thing. The program also incorporates
- 10 elders into the academic program, which is, which is really
- 11 quite unique, so, so courses are delivered really with
- 12 teams of academics and elders.
- 13 Q Just briefly, what is the significance of this
- 14 type of program in the context of child welfare in
- 15 Manitoba?
- 16 A Well, I, I guess there are a couple of things.
- 17 One is, as I'm sure the Inquiry has heard, child welfare in
- 18 Manitoba is predominantly aboriginal child welfare and,
- 19 and, you know, I think that's important because that's not
- 20 true of all provinces. I think the other thing is that if
- 21 we're expecting to see changes in the child welfare system,
- 22 we have to develop leaders who really have a different
- 23 paradigm, who come with a different basis for practice.
- 24 In, in many ways that's what this program is aimed at, is,
- 25 is, really, the, the future leaders in, in child welfare

- 1 and social work practice.
- 2 MR. GLOBERMAN: Madam Clerk, if you could please
- 3 pull up Commission disclosure 2133, page 45066.
- 4 THE WITNESS: Yes.

- 7 Q This is a document that we received from your
- 8 counsel --
- 9 A Yeah.
- 10 Q -- entitled Faculty --
- 11 A I'm familiar with it.
- 12 Q -- of Social Work, aboriginal students by degree
- 13 program, 2010-11, and then below that Aboriginal Full-Time
- 14 Faculty Members. Are you familiar with this document?
- 15 A I am.
- 16 Q Was this prepared by the Faculty of Social Work?
- 17 A The, the top portion, the portion related to
- 18 students would be prepared by the office of institutional
- 19 analysis at the university, so it would relate to self-
- 20 declared -- self-declarations of aboriginal status for
- 21 students who are in the faculty in the 2010-11 academic
- 22 year.
- 23 Q And can you explain the figures you see here?
- 24 A So these, these are students who on their
- 25 admissions forms have declared that they, they consider

- 1 themselves to be aboriginal. So the first line around
- 2 B.S.W. says that about 28 percent of our student population
- 3 in the Bachelor of Social Work program is aboriginal. The
- 4 next line speaks to our Master of Social Work program,
- 5 which, of course, is much smaller, but about 22 percent of
- 6 the, the population are, are aboriginal students.
- 7 Q And do you know how these figures compare to
- 8 other faculties of social work in Canada?
- 9 A My impression from, from talking to other deans
- 10 and directors is, is it's considerably higher than most
- 11 faculties.
- 12 Q And can you explain the numbers with respect to
- 13 the faculty members?
- 14 A So this is actually produced by my office and,
- 15 basically, at that point there were 30 full-time faculty
- 16 members, seven of which report aboriginal status.
- 17 Q And how do these figures relate to other
- 18 faculties of social work
- 19 THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Globerman, just speak up a
- 20 bit, will you, please?
- MR. GLOBERMAN: Pardon me.

- 24 Q How do these figures relating to the full-time
- 25 faculty members relate to -- or, pardon me, compare to

- 1 other faculties of social work?
- 2 A Again, there is no national database but my
- 3 impression is we, we're certainly at the high end, but
- 4 there, there might be a program -- for example, University
- 5 of Regina -- that may have more aboriginal faculty members.
- 6 But we would certainly be at the high end of that.
- 7 Q And this document lists students and faculty
- 8 members as of 2010-2011?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Are these figures similar to the current figures?
- 11 A They are. The current figures have, have come
- 12 out very recently and they're very close. And, and the
- 13 faculty status will not have changed.
- 14 Q Earlier you mentioned field placements. Are
- 15 students of the Faculty of Social Work program required to
- 16 take field placements?
- 17 A In, in the B.S.W. program, actually, two-thirds
- 18 of -- I'm sorry, one-third of the curriculum is devoted to
- 19 field placements so students have to spend a minimum of 450
- 20 hours in, in supervised practice twice, so a total of 900
- 21 hours.
- 22 Q And, and just briefly for the Commission, what
- 23 exactly is a field placement?
- 24 A So this is, this is essentially a combination of
- 25 models. It's both, both -- sort of draws on a mentorship

- 1 model and on an academic model. So students -- this is the
- 2 student's opportunity to apply theory to practice in a
- 3 social agency under the supervision of a practising social
- 4 worker, and, and this activity is, is also monitored by the
- 5 university.
- 6 Q What is the importance of requiring students to
- 7 do a field placement?
- 8 A Well, we know that the vast majority, if not all,
- 9 of our students are going to end up practising so this,
- 10 this is really part of -- this is a traditional part of
- 11 social work programs that, that we, we devote a good
- 12 portion of the curriculum to, the application of theory to
- 13 practice, and we give students an opportunity to practice
- 14 in, if you will, a sort of a protected environment.
- 15 Q Will students taking course in child welfare or
- 16 the concentration in child and family services do field
- 17 placements at child welfare agencies?
- 18 A Yes, the concentration is designed and specifies
- 19 that they take one field placement in a mandated child
- 20 welfare agency, so a child and family service agency;
- 21 another placement in a non-mandated agency. So this would
- 22 be an agency that is working with the same population but
- 23 is providing support services, counselling services, that
- 24 kind of thing.
- 25 Q Are graduates of the Bachelor of Social Work

- 1 program able to work for a child welfare agency if they
- 2 have not taken specialized programming or courses in child
- 3 welfare?
- 4 A They are. There's nothing to, to constrain them
- 5 from practising in a child welfare agency.
- 6 Q In your opinion, is that appropriate?
- 7 A That's a complicated question. I think given the
- 8 labour force demands, it's essential, and, and given the
- 9 number of graduates we produce with, with a specialization,
- 10 it's, it's just essential that people be able to do
- 11 that. It also depends on the extent to which the agencies
- 12 mentor and supervise their, their new employees.
- 13 Q And I'm going to come back to mentorship and
- 14 supervision in a moment. I'd just like to ask you a few
- 15 questions first about other social work programs --
- 16 A Um-hum.
- 18 program at the University of Manitoba. I understand that
- 19 the university has an ACCESS program out of the William
- 20 Norrie Centre?
- 21 A We have an ACCESS program out of the William
- 22 Norrie Centre, and another ACCESS program in Northern
- 23 Manitoba that operates out of Thompson, Manitoba.
- Q And what are the ACCESS programs for?
- 25 A So ACCESS programs are, are aimed at what

- 1 educators refer to as non-traditional students, students
- 2 who would not typically qualify for university study,
- 3 wouldn't have that sort of preparation. And the thing
- 4 that's different about ACCESS programs is they include
- 5 academic and personal supports, they tend to have smaller
- 6 classes and sort of a more supportive atmosphere than, than
- 7 your typical large university. In Manitoba, most of our
- 8 ACCESS students are either aboriginal -- well, the majority
- 9 are certainly aboriginal. The other group that tends to,
- 10 to be included in ACCESS programs are new Canadians,
- 11 immigrants, refugees, those populations.
- 12 Q And do these programs have the same curriculum as
- 13 the standard program at the university?
- 14 A The curriculum is somewhat enriched, but the
- 15 degree is the same degree. Students in those programs
- 16 receive a Bachelor of Social Work from the University of
- 17 Manitoba, and there's no specific designation that says
- 18 they attended an ACCESS program.
- 20 ACCESS program, the program is enriched. What do you mean
- 21 by that?
- 22 A Our field placements may last a little longer.
- 23 Courses may go beyond the, the usual 13, you know -- I'm
- 24 sorry, 39 hours in a term. There may be special tutorials,
- 25 those, those sorts of things.

- 1 Q Are there any other programs the Faculty of
- 2 Social Work offers outside of Winnipeg?
- 3 A We, we have quite a large distance education
- 4 program and that has, has really two methods of delivery.
- 5 One is online delivery so, so the degree is available
- 6 completely online, and we offer that in partnership with
- 7 the extended education division. So we have students in
- 8 rural and northern Manitoba as well as across the country,
- 9 and we've been really a leader in, in that method.
- 10 We also do face-to-face delivery with, with
- 11 groups throughout the province. So when I was talking
- 12 about the cohort in Brandon, that would be one of the
- 13 locations. And these are one-time deliveries of the
- 14 Bachelor of Social Work curriculum really tailored to the
- 15 needs of, of the student group. And these have
- 16 traditionally been students who have had some involvement
- 17 in -- I'm sorry, in practice -- in social work practice.
- 18 So, you know, we will -- part of the admission criteria is
- 19 that they have one year of social service experience, now,
- 20 within the last five years.
- 21 Q We've heard evidence from certain social workers
- 22 at this Inquiry about diplomas and certificates.
- 23 A Um-hum.
- 24 Q Is the university involved in that at all?
- 25 A We're involved, again, in partnership with the

- 1 continuing education division in one, one diploma which is
- 2 -- well, two diplomas. One in aboriginal child and family
- 3 services. It's a 60 credit hour diploma. There's also a
- 4 diploma in community wellness. Diplomas are made up
- 5 totally of academic courses, so courses that can be used
- 6 for credit towards a degree and, in fact, both of these
- 7 diplomas allow 60 credit hours, which would be the
- 8 equivalent of two years towards a B.S.W. if the student
- 9 completes the entire diploma and is admitted to the
- 10 faculty.
- 11 There are also a number of certificates, and
- 12 certificates can be a combination of academic and non-
- 13 academic courses. They typically are shorter, last a year
- 14 at the most, and so we, we have an entry level certificate
- 15 which, which was really designed to give people sort of a
- 16 primer on child welfare practice, and it is specific to
- 17 child welfare.
- 18 Q Now if somebody has one of these certificates but
- 19 does not have a Bachelor of Social Work degree, can they
- 20 practise, for example, at a child welfare agency?
- 21 A Absolutely. There's, there's no requirement
- 22 currently that, that someone who practises have a degree of
- 23 any kind, really.
- Q Do you have any comment on that?
- 25 A Well, well, certainly, as a social work educator

- 1 I have, I have a strong bias that says child welfare
- 2 practice is typically best done by somebody who has a
- 3 social work education and, you know, from an accredited
- 4 social work program.
- 5 Q Are there any other social work faculties in
- 6 Manitoba?
- 7 A There is. Booth College, which is located in, in
- 8 Winnipeg, offers a Bachelor of Social Work degree. The
- 9 degree is not accredited but, you know, again, there's no
- 10 requirement that they be accredited.
- 11 Q Are you familiar with the child welfare
- 12 initiative of the Aboriginal Justice Inquiry?
- 13 A I am.
- 14 Q Did that initiative result in any new programming
- 15 being offered through the Faculty of Social Work at the
- 16 University --
- 17 A It did.
- 18 O -- of Manitoba?
- 19 A It did. At the William Norrie Centre, we did, I
- 20 believe, four intakes of students based on funding from the
- 21 province, and these students were -- took a curriculum that
- 22 had more focus on child and family services -- in some
- 23 ways, was the precursor to our specialization -- and as I
- 24 recall, these were exclusively aboriginal students. And so
- 25 we did, I believe it's four, four intakes of students. So,

- 1 you know, takes several years for students to move through
- 2 the program, but the program lasted as long as there were
- 3 students moving through it.
- 4 Q And what was the focus of that program?
- 5 A The focus was, was particularly on child welfare,
- 6 so field placements, electives, were organized around child
- 7 welfare.
- 8 Q Is this program still being offered?
- 9 A The program is, is no longer offered as a
- 10 distinct program. At the William Norrie Centre, which,
- 11 which, again, is an ACCESS program, when the, when the
- 12 child welfare initiative program was offered, these
- 13 students were actually separate from our other ACCESS
- 14 students. What's evolved over time is the province has
- 15 continued its funding commitment but they've asked us
- 16 essentially to double our intake, so now we have all of the
- 17 students in, in the ACCESS program. But the effect was we,
- 18 we've doubled the intake and certainly many of those
- 19 students end up practising in child welfare.
- 20 Q Was this program successful, in your opinion?
- 21 A I think it was. I think there was a good
- 22 graduation rate, about 80 percent, I think. And moreover,
- 23 the students ended up working in child welfare agencies.
- 24 The vast majority did.
- 25 Q You mentioned a graduation rate of about 80

- 1 percent for the ACCESS program out of William Norrie
- 2 Centre.
- 3 A Right.
- 4 Q How does that compare with the, with the
- 5 graduation rate for students enrolled at the Faculty of
- 6 Social Work program?
- 7 A Okay. Now, I was talking specifically about the,
- 8 the aboriginal child welfare initiative --
- 9 Q Okay.
- 10 A -- being at around 80 percent. That compares
- 11 pretty favourably. I think on, on the Fort Garry campus
- 12 it's around 85 to 89 percent, so that, that compares quite
- 13 favourably.
- I will say that ACCESS programs in general have,
- 15 have a somewhat lower graduation rate and, and that's to be
- 16 expected because these are students who are facing many
- 17 more challenges. It's always -- it's difficult to specify
- 18 a graduation rate because many students return and, and do
- 19 finish their programs, but it might be five or six years
- 20 later.
- 21 Q Now, we heard evidence at this Inquiry with
- 22 respect to how certain practising social workers that have
- 23 not completed their Bachelor of Social Work degree are
- 24 taking courses towards that degree.
- 25 A Right.

- 1 Q Can you explain how that works?
- 2 A Well, I -- many of our undergraduate students are
- 3 employed so these may be individuals who are already
- 4 working for, for child welfare agencies and have chosen,
- 5 for a variety of reasons -- it may be related to the, the
- 6 licensing legislation -- have chosen to come, come to
- 7 school and, and get their degrees. To -- some of these
- 8 students would be supported by their agencies. Some would
- 9 be -- either, either financially or in terms of flexible
- 10 work times. Some of these students would be doing it on
- 11 their own.
- 12 Q And in your opinion, is it appropriate for
- 13 somebody to be working in child welfare or child protection
- 14 work who does not have a Bachelor of Social Work degree?
- 15 A I really do make that distinction between child
- 16 welfare and child protection. I see child welfare as a
- 17 much broader practice area having to do really with child
- 18 well-being. I see child protection really focusing on, on
- 19 child safety. I, I would say certainly the typical
- 20 qualification for child protection work should be a
- 21 Bachelor of Social Work degree from an accredited school.
- 22 Some jurisdictions in Canada have chosen to specify that in
- 23 their, their regulations. Manitoba hasn't.
- 24 Q Do you know which provinces require that
- 25 designation?

- 1 A I'm quite sure Ontario has that requirement.
- 2 Q So they require workers working in the child
- 3 protection field to have --
- 4 A B.S.W. from an accredited program.
- 5 Q What type of work do the majority of graduates,
- 6 the Faculty of Social Work program, do upon completing
- 7 their program?
- 8 A The vast majority of our graduates end up, of
- 9 course, doing what we call line work, direct service.
- 10 About 40 percent of them work in the area of child welfare
- 11 or child and family services. So -- I'm, I'm defining it
- 12 quite broadly. Another almost 40 percent end up working in
- 13 health and mental health. And then the remainder, which is
- 14 about 20 percent, are distributed among Corrections,
- 15 juvenile justice, community agencies, community development
- 16 work, policy work. So there's, there's quite a range.
- 17 Gerontology. So there's, there's really quite a range.
- 18 Q You distinguished between child welfare and child
- 19 protection earlier. Do you know how many graduates are
- 20 working or what percentage of the graduates work in child
- 21 protection?
- 22 A I actually don't know how, how many graduates
- 23 work in, in child protection specifically.
- 24 Q Has it been difficult to attract social work
- 25 graduates to child welfare work?

- 1 A Again a complicated question. Some, some
- 2 students come into the faculty having decided that this is
- 3 the work they want to do. These are typically, but not
- 4 exclusively, people who've had experience in the child
- 5 welfare system in a variety of ways. They may have been
- 6 clients, they may have known somebody, you know, that kind
- 7 of thing. These students, I think, are quite focused and,
- 8 and they, they remain focused on child welfare.
- 9 There's another group, I think a larger group of
- 10 students, who aren't sure what area of practice they, they
- 11 want to engage in, and for these students it's, it's more
- 12 of a challenge. I think fewer and fewer of these students
- 13 are, are choosing child welfare work as, as their calling.
- 14 Q Why do you think that is the case?
- 15 A Again, I think there are a number of factors. I
- 16 think this is very difficult and challenging work that,
- 17 that requires a lot of emotional strength, and some people
- 18 just make up their minds they're not suited to it. I think
- 19 it's, it's been pretty clear that, that working conditions
- 20 are not always optimal in terms of caseload sizes,
- 21 supervision, that kind of thing, and students get that
- 22 word. And I think child welfare, specifically child
- 23 protection, is under considerable public scrutiny and that
- 24 certainly has an effect on students.
- 25 Q Has anything been done to help attract these

- 1 students to child protection work?
- 2 A We've, we've begun some initiatives. One of the
- 3 things that's happening now is we're having child welfare
- 4 workers and managers and chief executive officers talk to
- 5 our students about a career in child welfare, and that,
- 6 that actually -- that makes quite a difference. We also --
- 7 you know, we, we are following this Inquiry pretty closely
- 8 and, and talking with students about it. I think that has
- 9 some impact. So those are beginning initiatives.
- 10 Q In your opinion, what else can be done to attract
- 11 graduates to child protection work?
- 12 A Well, I think a lot of it has to do with changing
- 13 the work environment so -- when I say that, I guess I'm
- 14 really talking about something I mentioned earlier:
- 15 mentorship, supervision, support for, for new graduates. I
- 16 think funding is also important. So supporting specially
- 17 those who are currently employed but don't have the benefit
- 18 of a degree, supporting them both financially and in terms
- 19 of flexible work hours to, to get their degrees. I think
- 20 that, that would make a tremendous difference. I think
- 21 just a closer association between the faculty and those who
- 22 manage the child welfare system is beginning to make a
- 23 difference and we need to do more of that.
- 24 Q And I believe you touched upon this briefly, but
- 25 are there any unique challenges that work in the child

- 1 protection field presents in Manitoba?
- 2 A Well, again, I, I did mention earlier that child
- 3 welfare in Manitoba is aboriginal child welfare, by and
- 4 large. And I'm not saying a hundred percent, but we
- 5 certainly know that most -- the majority of families
- 6 involved in our child welfare system are, are indigenous
- 7 families. So that's a challenge.
- 8 Most of the families involved in child welfare
- 9 are families living in poverty and, and that's a special
- 10 challenge. So, you know, that's a long way of saying the
- 11 work is really difficult and requires, requires a person
- 12 who really wants to do it. And again, certainly the work
- 13 can be made easier in a number of ways, but when it comes
- 14 down to it, it's difficult work to do, it's, it's important
- 15 work but, you know, not all areas of social work practice
- 16 involve life and death decisions. And, you know, while a
- 17 worker doesn't make that decision on their own, they're
- 18 still involved in, in those kinds of decisions and that's,
- 19 that's difficult.
- 20 Q What do graduates of the Faculty of Social Work
- 21 require to enter the workforce in the child protection
- 22 field?
- 23 A What do they require. That's a tough one. Are
- 24 you asking in terms of sort of academic training?
- 25 Q Academics and training.

- 1 A Well, what do they require. I, I guess they
- 2 require a knowledge of child development, a knowledge of
- 3 crisis intervention, a knowledge of how to work with
- 4 families who are, are really in multiple difficulties, a
- 5 knowledge of the child welfare legislation and child
- 6 welfare policies and procedures. I, I would say that's
- 7 sort of the basic requirement.
- 8 Q Does the Faculty of Social Work prepare its
- 9 graduates for work in the child welfare field?
- 10 A I think we -- the short answer is yes, keeping in
- 11 mind that an undergraduate degree in social work is, is a
- 12 generalist degree, and that's according to our
- 13 accreditation standards. Specialization tends to, to occur
- 14 at the master's level. So we do expect that the, the
- 15 employer provides training, you know, field specific
- 16 training, supervision, hopefully mentorship.
- 17 Q Is it the Faculty of Social Work's expectation
- 18 that its graduates will receive some sort of mentoring or
- 19 apprenticeship prior to being given a full caseload at a
- 20 agency?
- 21 A It is our expectation. I'd say that's fair.
- 22 Q Whose responsibility is it to ensure that
- 23 graduates are practice-ready for work in child welfare?
- 24 A I think it really is a partnership between the
- 25 faculty and, and the employer, the social work community.

- 1 I would not -- I would certainly not say that -- a B.S.W.
- 2 graduate with no prior experience in the field, I would not
- 3 say that person is practice ready. I think that person is
- 4 at a point in a developmental process where they're ready
- 5 to, to work in an agency, and that developmental process
- 6 involves some specific training, some, some supervision,
- 7 some mentorship.
- 8 Q Does the Faculty of Social Work program maintain
- 9 contact with its graduates to see how they're finding life
- 10 in the profession?
- 11 A We do in an informal way. We don't have a formal
- 12 mechanism for doing so.
- 13 Q In an informal way, are you aware of what the
- 14 graduates working in the child welfare field say about
- 15 their experiences?
- 16 A Again, I, I think it's quite variable. I, I've
- 17 heard from students who are very happy with what they're
- 18 doing, this is what they wanted to do, and they, they are
- 19 feeling supported and, and will continue to do so. I've
- 20 also heard from students who, who feel that, you know, this
- 21 is really the last place they want to work and it's been a
- 22 real mistake and they want to move on to another area.
- 24 about workload and caseload issues. Has --
- 25 THE COMMISSIONER: Workload and what?

1 MR. GLOBERMAN: Caseload issues.

2

- 4 Q As dean of the Faculty of Social Work, are you
- 5 made aware of these issues?
- 6 A I, I am made aware of them. I'm not sure it has
- 7 to do with my role as dean as much as the fact that I, I'm
- 8 involved in, in the area broadly of child welfare.
- 9 Q Does the University of Manitoba have any
- 10 responsibility with respect to this information?
- 11 A Oh, I would say we do. I think we, as a faculty,
- 12 see ourselves as supporting and advocating for good social
- 13 work practice, effective social work practice. Also, part
- 14 of our mission is, is basically to advocate for those who
- 15 are socially marginalized, so we do see a role there.
- 17 faculty can better prepare its graduates for work in the
- 18 child welfare field?
- 19 A I do, and I think we've, we've actually responded
- 20 to some of that. The faculty is, is redesigning its
- 21 Bachelor of Social Work program. Again, in the university
- 22 that doesn't happen instantly so that will take a couple
- 23 years to, to actually come to fruition. But we, we did
- 24 survey our, our community, meaning our students, our
- 25 employers. One of the things we heard is that there was a

- 1 desire for more, more emphasis on practice skills. So in
- 2 the redesigned Bachelor of Social Work program, there will,
- 3 indeed, be more an emphasis on practice skills, and, and I
- 4 think that's pretty important.
- 5 I think, also, the, the specialization in child
- 6 and family service could be emphasized more. Students --
- 7 one of the difficulties or challenges with it is students
- 8 have to really make a decision they want to pursue the
- 9 specialization quite early in their career as students.
- 10 Q How early?
- 11 A Actually, in, in their first year, and, and
- 12 depending on their credentials coming in, they may actually
- 13 have had to make that election before the first year. I'm
- 14 speaking there of -- some of our students come in with,
- 15 with Bachelor of Arts degrees already, meaning they,
- 16 they've met the requirement for most of their electives.
- 17 So in some cases, students would have to take extra
- 18 courses. So I think we have to examine that.
- 19 Q You mentioned that the program is being
- 20 redesigned.
- 21 A Yeah.
- Q When is that coming to fruition?
- 23 A I think the earliest would be in two years.
- 24 Again, it's difficult to predict. I know the faculty will
- 25 finish its work this spring, and then it has to be approved

- 1 by the university, so it has to go through university
- 2 Senate and ultimately be approved there.
- 3 Q I'd like to just ask you a few questions about
- 4 how the Faculty of Social Work interacts with the various
- 5 child welfare agencies and authorities.
- 6 A Um-hum.
- 7 Q How would you characterize the relationship
- 8 between the Faculty of Social Work and the child welfare
- 9 agencies in Manitoba?
- 10 A I think -- I mean, the relationship's at a couple
- 11 of levels. I think at the level of individual faculty
- 12 members with the agencies, it's quite good, and by that I
- 13 mean faculty members are involved in research with the
- 14 agencies and consultation with the agencies. I think at
- 15 the, the level of field placements, it's also very good. I
- 16 think at the level of curriculum, it's, it's improved but
- 17 it, it has certainly been, been strained, I think is the
- 18 word I would use to characterize it, I think.
- 19 Q Can you explain what you mean by "strained"?
- 20 A I think there's -- there hasn't been -- there was
- 21 a period where there wasn't much conversation, meaningful
- 22 conversation, between the faculty and the child welfare
- 23 agencies about the kind of content that should be included
- 24 in, in the Bachelor of Social Work program. But I think
- 25 that has improved and, and will continue to improve.

- 1 Q And when was it that that relationship was
- 2 strained?
- 3 A I would think certainly in, in the early 2000s
- 4 there, there was more of a strain. I -- you know, this
- 5 isn't an unusual thing, you know, talking to my, my
- 6 colleagues across the country and, in a sense, it's, it's
- 7 not exclusive to child welfare. Faculties of social work
- 8 often hear from practice communities that we're not doing
- 9 enough in their particular area so, you know, I think it
- 10 ebbs and flows. I -- you know, I can talk about my term as
- 11 dean and, and, you know, it's been one of my intentions and
- 12 focuses to, to involve the child welfare community in our
- 13 curriculum discussions.
- 14 Q And I believe you said that the faculty meets
- 15 with representatives of child welfare agencies. How often
- 16 do those meetings take place?
- 17 A We, we're now committed to meeting with what we
- 18 call the Standing Committee on Child Welfare, which is made
- 19 up of all the, the direct -- the CEOs of the Authorities
- 20 and, and the province. We meet with them twice a year, is
- 21 our commitment. We did meet with them more frequently, but
- 22 I, I think we're now at a point where, where twice a year
- 23 probably makes sense.
- Q And what do you meet about?
- 25 A Basically the relationship between child welfare

- 1 and the faculty, labour force demands, curriculum demands,
- 2 research possibilities.
- 3 Q What is the child and family services strategic
- 4 committee for education and training?
- 5 A This, this is in a committee, obviously -- a name
- 6 we gave to a committee that Elsie Flette and I initiated.
- 7 Elsie Flette is the CEO of the Southern Authority. And it,
- 8 it was an attempt to involve, really, all of the major
- 9 stakeholders in, in child welfare, and the university, in,
- 10 in determining what the labour force needs were for, for
- 11 child welfare in this province and in trying to develop
- 12 some, some measures for meeting those needs both in the
- 13 short term and the long term.
- 14 Q And what has this group done, exactly, with
- 15 respect to the educational needs of social workers?
- 16 A Well, I think -- I mean, the committee was quite
- 17 ambitious and we probably didn't accomplish everything we
- 18 set out to. I think one of the things that we did
- 19 accomplish was the development of some entry level
- 20 certificates that are delivered through the continuing
- 21 education division. I think the other thing we
- 22 accomplished was a survey of current employees in, in all
- 23 of the child welfare authorities in terms of their
- 24 educational backgrounds, work experience, educational
- 25 needs, if you will.

- 1 MR. GLOBERMAN: Okay. Madam Clerk, can you
- 2 please pull up Commission disclosure 2132, page 45060? And
- 3 just for the record this document runs through to page
- 4 45064.

- 7 Q This is a document we received from your counsel
- 8 entitled, CFS SCET Survey Results November 2010. Are these
- 9 the results of the survey you were just speaking about?
- 10 A They are. They're the -- a summary of the
- 11 results.
- 12 Q And you're familiar with this document?
- 13 A I am.
- 14 Q Was this document prepared by the Child and
- 15 Family Services Strategic Committee for Educational
- 16 Training?
- 17 THE COMMISSIONER: I don't hear you, Mr.
- 18 Globerman.
- MR. GLOBERMAN: Oh, pardon me, sorry.
- I'm just asking the witness if this document was
- 21 prepared by the Child and Family Services Strategic
- 22 Committee for Education and Training.
- 23 THE WITNESS: It, it was prepared by a staff
- 24 member of the committee, so the, the university, the
- 25 authorities, and the province collaborated on funding a

- 1 position -- a research coordinator position for two years,
- 2 and, and this was prepared by that individual.

- 5 Q And what exactly was the focus of this survey?
- 6 A This, this was an attempt to get a snapshot of
- 7 the educational credentials of workers and whether or not
- 8 they were involved in, in further education and also in
- 9 what they identified as, as needs for further education.
- 10 Q And what were the results of this survey, if you
- 11 can distil it for me.
- 12 A Well, there were a lot of results. The results
- 13 were that about half the workers in, in -- very largely in
- 14 the system had B.S.W. degrees or were pursuing them, but
- 15 there were certainly differences among the, the
- 16 authorities, so there were many more -- the vast majority
- 17 of workers in the General Authority had at least a Bachelor
- 18 of Social Work. That was less true for the Southern
- 19 Authority and even less true for the Northern Authority.
- 20 The Métis authority, I believe, was second. So it gave us
- 21 a picture of, of who had a B.S.W. degree, who was working
- 22 on a B.S.W. degree, who had other sorts of credentials.
- 23 And we then looked at sort of areas that people
- 24 identified in terms of needing more education, and that
- 25 varied really across agencies, which led us to, to the

- 1 conclusion that we really needed to work with the
- 2 authorities individually rather than an initiative across
- 3 all the authorities.
- 4 Q And has that been done since November of 2010?
- 5 A Has that been done? To, to a limited extent, the
- 6 faculty committed to offering what we call two cohorts of
- 7 our Bachelor of Social Work program in the north and two in
- 8 the south. I believe we have offered annually two in the
- 9 south. I can't say the same is true in the north, there
- 10 have been difficulties in, in organizing that, but our
- 11 commitment remains there.
- 12 Q And what would have been done with the results of
- 13 the survey?
- 14 A Really, the -- as far as we're concerned, the
- 15 authorities have the ownership of, of the data on their, on
- 16 their employees, so each authority has, has, I think, dealt
- 17 with it differently and I'm really not aware of what
- 18 they've done with it.
- 19 Q I'd just like to ask you a few questions about
- 20 your academic focus and, and the research that goes on at
- 21 the Faculty of Social Work. Are you directly involved in
- 22 any of the research that goes on at the Faculty of Social
- 23 Work?
- 24 A Am I personally involved?
- 25 Q Yes.

- 1 A Not in the last five years, no.
- 2 Q Has your faculty done any research with respect
- 3 to child protection or apprehension related issues?
- 4 A Oh, certainly. It's, it's been a real strength
- 5 in our faculty. So we've had faculty members involved in,
- 6 in research with the General Authority, with the Northern
- 7 Authority. This would be research on alternative programs.
- 8 There's really been a wide range of research. We have one
- 9 faculty member who, who does research on the relationship
- 10 between clients and child welfare workers. So there,
- 11 there's really been a broad range, and I, I think the
- 12 Inquiry has heard from some of our faculty members and will
- 13 hear from more.
- 14 Q We heard evidence at this Inquiry about how the
- 15 number of children in the care of a child welfare agency in
- 16 Manitoba has increased over the last number of years.
- 17 A Um-hum.
- 18 Q Has your faculty done any research as to why that
- 19 is the case?
- 20 A I don't think specifically, no.
- 21 Q You mentioned research with respect to
- 22 alternatives.
- 23 A Um-hum.
- Q Can you explain what you meant by that?
- 25 A Certainly the, the -- all of the authorities have

- 1 engaged in, in programs really aimed at what I would
- 2 consider diverting children from the substitute care
- 3 system, from, from placement outside of the family. So,
- 4 for example, Dr. Judy Hughes did some work with some
- 5 agencies in the Northern Authority, looking at whether
- 6 those programs were successful. I know Dr. Brad McKenzie,
- 7 who you'll be hearing from, did some research with the
- 8 General Authority on, on the success of -- and --
- 9 implementation and success of those programs. And, and
- 10 there may well be others.
- 11 Q Has your faculty done any research with respect
- 12 to an increased focus on child protection or apprehension?
- 13 A I'm not sure what you're asking.
- 14 Q Has, has your faculty done any research that
- 15 demonstrates that there has been an increased focus among
- 16 child welfare agencies on child protection and
- 17 apprehension?
- 18 A I, I don't think we've done research in the area
- 19 but that certainly would be the observation of, of a number
- 20 of our faculty members, and they would have written about
- 21 it.
- 22 Q Do you have any thoughts on, on why that focus
- 23 has changed?
- 24 A Well, I mean, my own opinion, as someone who does
- 25 some academic work in the area, is that part of what

- 1 happens is when funding is constrained, the system tends
- 2 to, to move towards protection because if, if you're going
- 3 to do less, it's more likely you're going to do less in, in
- 4 the areas of prevention and support than you are in terms
- 5 of protection because protection, after all, is, is, is the
- 6 bottom line. So, so I think that's, that's part of what
- 7 happens.
- 8 I think in Manitoba there's been a real sort of
- 9 separation between the protection and what I call family
- 10 service sort of orientation to child welfare, so protection
- 11 tends to happen with the child and family service agencies,
- 12 a lot of the supportive work has tended to happen with
- 13 agencies that don't carry a child welfare mandate. And
- 14 that varies by province, to be honest.
- 15 Q I, I believe I just have one last question for
- 16 you.
- 17 A Okay.
- 18 Q Do you know if professors at the Faculty of
- 19 Social Work at the University of Manitoba have discussed
- 20 Phoenix's -- Phoenix Sinclair's case with their classes so
- 21 that they may learn from it?
- 22 A I don't know that systematically. I do know --
- 23 so in that sense, I haven't asked the question. But
- 24 certainly in discussion with faculty members and the
- 25 students, we've, we've certainly used it in a couple of

- 1 ways. I think some faculty members have required
- 2 assignments that have to do with the Inquiry, and certainly
- 3 students have, have asked questions and talked to faculty
- 4 members about what's, what's happening in the Inquiry. So
- 5 it's certainly present, but I haven't systematically, you
- 6 know, surveyed the faculty about that.
- 7 MR. GLOBERMAN: I believe those are all of my
- 8 questions for this witness, Mr. Commissioner.
- 9 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Globerman.
- Now, there'll be some cross-examination, I
- 11 assume. Do you want to do that before we break, or are you
- 12 prepared to carry on, Dean, or --
- 13 THE WITNESS: Oh, absolutely.
- 14 THE COMMISSIONER: Who's coming first?
- Mr. Gindin. Thought they might let you go last
- 16 this time.
- MR. GINDIN: I might go, I might go last, but I'm
- 18 just conveying the impression that we would like a break
- 19 for a few minutes.
- THE COMMISSIONER: All right. We'll take the 15
- 21 minute mid-afternoon break now.

23 (BRIEF RECESS)

24

MR. GINDIN: Thank you.

- 2 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GINDIN:
- 3 Q Dr. Frankel, I represent Kim Edwards and Steve
- 4 Sinclair.
- 5 A Um-hum.
- 6 Q My name is Jeff Gindin. I do have a few
- 7 questions for you. You were talking about Bill 9 --
- 8 A Right.
- 9 earlier, and that's a bill that has not been
- 10 proclaimed yet.
- 11 A Correct.
- 12 Q And I presume that's a bill that you're basically
- 13 in favour of.
- 14 A Basically. I, I might been at different
- 15 (inaudible) but, yes.
- 16 Q Now, we've heard from various witnesses -- social
- 17 workers in particular -- some of whom have told us that
- 18 they're registered.
- 19 A Um-hum.
- 20 Q Some have told us they're not. Many couldn't
- 21 tell us why they were one way or the other, or what the
- 22 advantages were of being registered or not. Your position
- 23 on registration is that it's a good thing?
- 24 A My general position is that it's a good thing,
- 25 but also that it, it has to be inclusive. And by that, I

- 1 mean what I stated earlier, that there has to be a way to
- 2 include people who don't come in in the traditional route.
- 3 Q So people who have had a certain amount of
- 4 experience --
- 5 A Um-hum.
- 6 Q -- shouldn't simply be let go.
- 7 A Exactly.
- 8 Q And I take it that the holdup seems to be centred
- 9 around the definition of who should be a social worker and
- 10 who should be included.
- 11 A That's one major area. The other area has to do
- 12 with a position put forth by the Aboriginal Social Workers
- 13 Society which, which really has to do with the whole
- 14 discipline process and who should be involved in that
- 15 process, who should administer that process, especially for
- 16 aboriginal social workers.
- 17 O We've heard some evidence that one of the
- 18 benefits of registration would be that there would be a
- 19 complaint process that would be organized so that people,
- 20 if they had a complaint about a social worker, would have a
- 21 board to go to or to make a complaint to.
- 22 A Um-hum.
- 23 Q And is that something that you would welcome, I
- 24 presume?
- 25 A It's something I would support, but under the

- 1 current legislation, as I understand it, that would apply
- 2 only to people who chose to define themselves as social
- 3 workers.
- 4 Q So we're back to how to define that.
- 5 A Exactly.
- 6 Q And that's one of the holdups.
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q I see. Now, you were talking also about what
- 9 courses are offered in the child welfare field.
- 10 A Um-hum.
- 11 Q Are there any prerequisites or courses that focus
- 12 on, for example, child psychology?
- 13 A Yes, the Human Behaviour in Social Environment
- 14 course would, would include aspects of child psychology.
- 15 Q There is no prerequisite, for example, that
- 16 someone have taken a course in child psychology before they
- 17 go into social work?
- 18 A No, there is no prerequisite.
- 19 Q Do you think that might be a good idea?
- 20 A Not really.
- 21 Q No?
- 22 A I think part of what we offer students in our
- 23 curriculum is a view of child psychology from the
- 24 perspective of social work practice. I mean, the fact is,
- 25 many of our students coming in do have that kind of

- 1 background just by virtue of what they do in their first
- 2 couple years in university.
- 3 Q But there is a difference between, for example,
- 4 questioning adults about --
- 5 A Um-hum.
- 6 Q -- certain types of situations and knowing how to
- 7 question children.
- 8 A Oh, absolutely.
- 9 Q And that takes a certain understanding of human
- 10 behaviour.
- 11 A Um-hum, agreed.
- 12 Q And, and certain aspects of child psychology,
- 13 right?
- 14 A Yes, but not something that would be covered,
- 15 say, in an Intro Child Psychology course. You're talking
- 16 about a pretty advanced course.
- 17 Q Okay. Is that something that is covered in, in
- 18 your faculty?
- 19 A To some extent, but also through the field
- 20 placements.
- 21 Q To a limited extent?
- 22 A To a limited extent, depending on the student.
- 23 Q And are there courses or segments of courses that
- 24 deal with the dynamics of domestic violence, for example?
- 25 A Oh, certainly.

- 1 Q Yes?
- 2 A Both in our required courses and in very specific
- 3 courses. There's a, there's a course on family violence.
- 4 Q Now, we heard some things about parental
- 5 capacity.
- 6 A Um-hum.
- 7 Q And how that could be assessed and when it should
- 8 be assessed. Are there courses that deal with assessing
- 9 things like parental capacity?
- 10 A Absolutely. That, that would be a component of a
- 11 course. It --
- 12 Q Which course?
- 13 A -- wouldn't be --
- 14 Q Which course would that --
- 15 A -- an entire course. Certainly, the -- what we
- 16 call the Field Focus of Practice, child welfare course.
- 17 Q Is that an optional course or ...
- 18 A Again, that's the course that's required of
- 19 students who are doing a field placement in child welfare,
- 20 so for some students it's optional.
- 21 Q Now, you were talking about field placements.
- 22 A Um-hum.
- 23 Q How much time is actually spent by students doing
- 24 that?
- 25 A A minimum of 900 hours over -- that would be two

- 1 placements, and all students have to do two placements.
- 2 Q And that's over the course of the three-year --
- 3 A Yeah, actually --
- 4 Q -- program?
- 5 A -- two years, yeah.
- 6 Q Two years. Is that something you think is
- 7 sufficient, or should be more or less?
- 8 A I think it's sufficient, and it certainly -- I
- 9 mean, it is the national standard.
- 10 Q Um-hum. And you talked about part of that
- 11 process being that there's people who act as mentors.
- 12 A Yes.
- 0 Out in the field.
- 14 A Um-hum.
- 15 O And that would be social work.
- 16 A Yeah. The minimum requirement is, is a B.S.W.,
- 17 and several years of practice.
- 18 Q And how are these mentors picked?
- 19 A Really through a process between the agency and
- 20 our field coordinator. So the agency sort of nominates
- 21 individuals. These might be individuals who have expressed
- 22 an interest to the agency.
- 23 Q Are they, are they interviewed by the faculty?
- 24 A They are interviewed and they have to take a
- 25 course, so they're not simply put together.

- 1 Q I see.
- 2 A They --
- 3 Q So to be a mentor to students in field placement,
- 4 there's a course you have to take.
- 5 A Yeah. They must complete a course. It's a non-
- 6 credit course.
- 7 Q What is that course?
- 8 A It's a course specifically for field instructors,
- 9 so it goes over the process of developing learning
- 10 contract, it goes over what kind of experiences are
- 11 appropriate for students, how to deal with problematic
- 12 students. So it's a course led by one of our field liaison
- 13 people and one of the coordinators of our field program.
- 14 Q So these mentors would have to, I guess, take
- 15 time off --
- 16 A Yes.
- 18 A Oh, absolutely. It's --
- 19 Q -- and take this course.
- 20 A It's a contribution from their agencies.
- 21 Q And is it a one-year?
- 22 A No, it's a course that goes over about eight
- 23 weeks.
- Q Eight weeks.
- 25 A Yeah.

- 1 Q Now, you mentioned that the degree, Bachelor of
- 2 Social Work, is -- I think the term used was a generalized
- 3 degree.
- 4 A Generalist, yes.
- 5 Q Yeah, generalist degree. Now, for example, some
- 6 people can say I have a degree from the Asper School of
- 7 Business, I specialized in marketing.
- 8 A Um-hum.
- 9 Q When it comes to social work, is there such a
- 10 designation?
- 11 A Not at the undergraduate level.
- 12 Q So there's no way of specializing in a particular
- 13 area --
- 14 A Well --
- 15 Q -- as you have in other faculties?
- 16 A There is, in terms of, of the concentration I
- 17 referred to earlier, so that will result in a designation
- 18 on their degree, which is B.S.W. with a concentration. We
- 19 also offer an option on aging which is an inter-faculty --
- 20 intra -- no, inter-faculty initiative, and that has a
- 21 designation. So it is possible, for sure.
- 22 Q Is it similar to the concept of majoring in a
- 23 particular --
- 24 A Yeah, I, I would, I would say it's quite
- 25 analogous to a major.

- 1 Q You were asked about the fact that less and less
- 2 students seem to be going into the child protection type of
- 3 work.
- 4 A Um-hum.
- 5 Q And you told us that one of the reasons may be
- 6 that people who do that kind of work are under considerable
- 7 public scrutiny.
- 8 A Um-hum.
- 9 Q So this idea of being under public scrutiny is
- 10 clearly something well-known to the students when they come
- 11 in?
- 12 A Yes, I would think so.
- 13 Q Yeah. And, of course, there are some who still
- 14 choose it?
- 15 A Oh, for sure.
- 16 Q But they certainly know that if you choose it,
- 17 it's going to be under public scrutiny.
- 18 A Hmm, yeah.
- 19 Q You were asked about the relationship between the
- 20 faculty and various agencies, and you talked about how in
- 21 some areas it was good --
- 22 A Um-hum.
- 24 respect to the curriculum --
- 25 A Um-hum.

- 1 Q -- area. And I take it that one of the reasons
- 2 curriculum is discussed is it would be important to know
- 3 what social workers wished they knew --
- 4 A Absolutely.
- 5 Q -- before they went to work.
- 6 A Yes, for sure.
- 7 Q Right? That would be important to know.
- 8 A Um-hum.
- 9 Q And have you had some feedback as to the kinds of
- 10 things that most of them feel they wish they took or wish
- 11 they learned --
- 12 A Um-hum.
- 13 Q -- before they went out to work?
- 14 A We have, in the sense that in preparation for our
- 15 curriculum review we surveyed social workers. We surveyed
- 16 our graduates, as well as others, and that's where we heard
- 17 about the desire for an increased emphasis on practice
- 18 skills and we heard, for example, that they were -- it'd be
- 19 nice if we did some training in suicide detection and
- 20 prevention, which is actually something we've, we've
- 21 adopted. So we do get that kind of feedback.
- 22 Q Anything else that you can be specific about?
- 23 A I think, you know, the whole area of practice
- 24 skills really spoke to, to what I would consider the area
- 25 of crisis intervention. And I, I think that is consistent

- 1 with, with a bit of a shift in the field.
- 2 Q So that's something that social workers have
- 3 specifically made known to the faculty, that they wished
- 4 they were better prepared for crisis intervention.
- 5 A Some, some graduates, yeah.
- 6 Q Do you have any idea how they could be better
- 7 prepared? What, what could, what could the faculty do
- 8 to --
- 9 A Well --
- 10 Q -- achieve that?
- 11 A I -- you know, I think we -- in redesigning our
- 12 curriculum, we've really added a number of -- I would, I
- 13 would say sort of lab-based courses where students get to
- 14 practice some of the skills even before they enter their
- 15 field placements. We've also included what we're referring
- 16 to as sort of an integration seminar that, that really --
- 17 that students will take as part of their field experience.
- 18 So it's, it's, it's that issue of connecting, you know,
- 19 theory and practice that's going to get a little more
- 20 emphasis.
- 21 Q Do, do any social workers take part in lecturing
- 22 at the faculty? Like, we have sectional lectures at the
- 23 law --
- 24 A Oh, absolutely.
- 25 Q -- school, for example.

- 1 A Yeah.
- 2 Q They do involve themselves in that?
- 3 A They do, and it's, it's, it's really been our
- 4 practice to use experienced practitioners for the
- 5 undergraduate practice-oriented courses.
- 6 Q And what kind of courses do they teach? Are they
- 7 very practical, down to earth?
- 8 A They're, they're a combination of theory and
- 9 practice, but they -- the thing about practitioners is they
- 10 can draw on their practice experience as examples, and as,
- 11 as ways of teaching. So, you know, for example, we have a
- 12 social worker employed by the school division who teaches a
- 13 course in the school of social work. We -- our child
- 14 welfare course is always offered either by one of our full-
- 15 time faculty who has child welfare experience as a
- 16 practitioner or, or by a sessional instructor who has
- 17 experience.
- 18 Q Is this, is this something you think there should
- 19 be more of, or do you -- are you satisfied with the present
- 20 system?
- 21 A I'm pretty satisfied with the balance at this
- 22 point.
- 23 Q Now, you were talking about research and some of
- 24 the research your -- the faculty members are involved with
- 25 now --

- 1 A Um-hum.
- 3 do with relationships between clients and the social
- 4 worker.
- 5 A Um-hum.
- 6 Q Have you got, have you gotten any feedback in
- 7 terms of what they've learned or what their research shows?
- 8 A I know Dr. Hughes has published in the area.
- 9 O Um-hum.
- 10 A I, I wouldn't pretend to, to have a detailed
- 11 knowledge of her work in that area.
- 12 Q Are there any other research projects that might
- 13 be helpful to us here to know about?
- 14 A I think we provided a list to counsel for the
- 15 Inquiry, of all of our research.
- 16 Q Any other ones come to mind other than the one
- 17 you mentioned?
- 18 A Well, certainly Dr. McKenzie's work with the
- 19 General Authority, Dr. Hughes' work with the Northern
- 20 Authority. Dr. Taylor-Henley also did some work with one
- 21 of the northern agencies.
- 22 Q Okay.
- 23 A So these tend to be fairly program-specific bits
- 24 of research that are fed back to the agencies, with the
- 25 exception of the work on, on client relationships which was

- 1 a Social Science and Humanities Research Council grant. So
- 2 that, that would receive --
- 3 Q That's still going on?
- 4 A I believe it is, yeah.
- 5 Q And just one more point. You were asked about
- 6 the effect this Inquiry might be having out at the faculty.
- 7 A Um-hum.
- 8 Q You told us about the fact that it's discussed
- 9 and it's present.
- 10 A For sure.
- 11 Q I think you mentioned that there were perhaps
- 12 some assignments that students were doing about the
- 13 Inquiry.
- 14 A I think that's quite likely.
- 15 Q Are you able to be more specific and tell us what
- 16 kind?
- 17 A I -- you know, I really can't. I mean, I can
- 18 imagine that, that it's really the connection of the
- 19 Inquiry to what students are learning in their practice
- 20 courses. I can't be more specific.
- 21 Q Has it been -- has the idea come up that students
- 22 could always come down --
- 23 A Oh, absolutely.
- 24 Q -- here and --
- 25 A Yes.

- H. FRANKEL CR-EX. (GINDIN)

 H. FRANKEL CR-EX. (BOWLEY)

 APRIL 24, 2013
- 1 Q -- listen to certain parts of the evidence?
- 2 A Absolutely.
- 3 Q Has that happened; do you know?
- 4 A You know, I don't know.
- 5 Q But it might be, might be a good idea.
- 6 A Oh, I think it's a great idea. It's a problem of
- 7 being in class and being in your field placement and being
- 8 here.
- 9 THE COMMISSIONER: Well, if they haven't been
- 10 down, they've missed a lot.
- 11 THE WITNESS: That's right.
- MR. GINDIN: Those are my questions, thank you.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Thanks.
- 14 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Gindin.
- 15 Ms. (inaudible)?
- 16 MS. BOWLEY: Good afternoon, Mr. Commissioner.
- 17 As the lawyer for Diva Faria at this Inquiry, I would like
- 18 your permission to ask this witness some questions.
- 19 THE COMMISSIONER: Permission granted.
- MS. BOWLEY: Thank you.

- 22 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. BOWLEY:
- 23 Q Dean Frankel, as you heard, my name is Bernice
- 24 Bowley and I'm representing a witness in this Inquiry. I
- 25 just want to review with you a couple of points that were

- 1 brought out in your direct examination.
- 2 First, you spoke about a specialized
- 3 concentration in child and family services, and I just want
- 4 to be sure that was with respect to being offered in your
- 5 distance education program; is that right?
- 6 A Well, it's offered specifically in our distance
- 7 program, but it's, it's really -- it's open to any student
- 8 in that they can satisfy the requirements of a
- 9 concentration also on campus, but we don't offer a specific
- 10 cohort for students on the Fort Garry campus.
- 11 Q When did that begin to be offered?
- 12 A I believe two years ago.
- 13 Q And you were asked about courses that had content
- 14 about detecting child abuse and neglect. Do you recall
- 15 that?
- 16 A Um-hum. Yes.
- 17 Q And you mentioned there being some content in the
- 18 course called Intro to Practice.
- 19 A Right.
- MS. BOWLEY: And, Madam Clerk, if you could
- 21 please call up page 44609, this is from Commission
- 22 disclosure number 2104.
- 23
- 24 BY MS. BOWLEY:
- 25 Q This is the undergraduate calendar for 2009-2010.

Um-hum. 1 A 2 And I'm sorry, I don't have the page reference for the current academic year. But if you look at that 3 page, there's a course entitled Introduction to Social Work 4 5 Practice. Is that the course that you meant? 6 A That is the course I meant, yes. 7 Q And so in that course: 8 introduces students to 9 It 10 ecological and other generalist 11 based practice frameworks and the 12 role of professional social workers. The course emphasizes 13 14 values and knowledge in context of 15 a rational approach to problem 16 solving which includes problem 17 definition, assessment, 18 contracting, intervention and 19 evaluation. 20 21 A Um-hum. 22 Q That's the course? 23 Α Yes. 24 Q And somewhere in there, there is detecting child

25 abuse and neglect.

- 1 A Um-hum. Yes. Certainly, the, the assessment
- 2 area would include that.
- 3 Q Are there any other required courses that have
- 4 content relating to child abuse and neglect?
- 5 A There would be some content in Social Work 2090,
- 6 Human Behaviour and Social Work Practice.
- 7 Q I'm sorry, can you say that again? I didn't hear
- 8 you.
- 9 A Social Work 2090, Human Behaviour and Social Work
- 10 Practice.
- 11 Q And so in that course:

- 13 "Students are introduced to a
- 14 broad range of theories and will
- develop an understanding of how
- 16 people and environments
- 17 reciprocally affect each other.
- 18 Particular emphasis is placed on
- 19 understanding how gender, race,
- ethnicity, socioeconomic factors,
- 21 age, ability, and sexual
- 22 orientation contribute to and
- influence human behaviour
- throughout the lifespan."

- 1 A Exactly.
- 2 Q Are there any other required courses that have
- 3 content relating to the detection of child abuse and
- 4 neglect?
- 5 A At, at the policy level, certainly the
- 6 Introduction to Social Welfare Policy Analysis and ...
- 7 Q Wait, before you go on, can you tell me what the
- 8 number is?
- 9 A Social Work 1310, I believe.
- 10 Yeah, right at the --
- 11 Q And am I reading this number right, because it
- 12 says 1310 that's a first year course?
- 13 A That's, that's a course available -- it's a
- 14 required course in the B.S.W. program. It's also available
- 15 to first-year university students in general.
- MS. BOWLEY: All right. And for the record,
- 17 that's on page 44608 of, of the Commission disclosure.

- 19 BY MS. BOWLEY:
- 20 Q And as well, I'll just put this into the record,
- 21 as well.

2.2

- This course examines social
- 24 welfare policy as the end product
- of ideologies. There are

- 1 introduction of elements of
- 2 ideology and the comparison of
- 3 competing ideological systems.
- 4 The relationship of economic,
- 5 political and ethical views of
- 6 society and their manifestations
- 7 in societal responses to human
- 8 need and social services.

- 10 A Right. So again, this would be at the broad
- 11 policy level.
- 12 Q And then students may or may not get further
- 13 knowledge as to detection of child abuse and neglect,
- 14 depending on their field placement; is that right?
- 15 A Depending on their field placement and the
- 16 electives they choose.
- 17 Q When did the 900-hour field placement come into
- 18 effect?
- 19 A I honestly have no idea. It's been in effect
- 20 certainly since I joined the faculty in 1988 and probably
- 21 well before that. Well, let me retract that. It was in
- 22 effect when I was a student in 1976.
- 23 Q Thank you. And you may hear this more today, but
- 24 I just want to use it as a starting-off point. A B.S.W. is
- 25 a generalist degree?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q In what areas can you obtain concentrations?
- 3 A At this point, child and family services ...
- 4 It's not called a concentration, but in aging, social work
- 5 in aging, so this is the option on aging. And those are
- 6 the two areas right now.
- 7 Q So the only one where you can get a concentration
- 8 after your B.S.W. is in child and family services?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And that is child and family services in general?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q There is no specific concentration for child
- 13 protection services?
- 14 A No, there is not.
- THE COMMISSIONER: That's after your BMW --
- 16 B.S.W., is it?
- 17 THE WITNESS: No, it's as part of the degree.
- MS. BOWLEY: As part of your Bachelor of Social
- 19 Work.
- THE COMMISSIONER: That's what I understood --
- MS. BOWLEY: Yes.
- 22 THE COMMISSIONER: -- but I thought you said
- 23 after, I'm sorry. It, it --
- MS. BOWLEY: I'm not sure --
- 25 THE WITNESS: You did say --

- 1 MS. BOWLEY: -- if I said after, Mr.
- 2 Commissioner. I didn't mean to. What I --
- THE COMMISSIONER: No.
- 4 MS. BOWLEY: -- meant to ask the witness --
- 5 THE COMMISSIONER: It's part of.
- 6 MS. BOWLEY: Yes.
- 7 THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah.
- 8 MS. BOWLEY: The only time you get a
- 9 concentration -- here's what I said -- after the B.S.W.,
- 10 that you get to put after your name, is if you've done a --
- 11 THE COURT: Oh.
- MS. BOWLEY: -- concentration in the general
- 13 category of child and family services.
- 14 THE COMMISSIONER: And I thought you, I thought
- 15 you meant after you got the degree, but I follow you.
- 16 THE WITNESS: So --
- 17 MS. BOWLEY: The letters after the name.
- 18 THE COMMISSIONER: Yeah.
- 19 THE WITNESS: So let me clarify. You will get a
- 20 designation on your academic transcript.
- MS. BOWLEY: Right.
- THE WITNESS: Not on your, your degree. Your
- 23 actual degree will simply say Bachelor of Social Work.
- 24
- 25 BY MS. BOWLEY:

- 1 Q So to the world, it's still a generalist
- 2 degree --
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 O -- a Bachelor of Social Work.
- 5 A Yeah.
- 6 Q So you'd agree with me, then, that the B.S.W.
- 7 degree does not confer particular child abuse or neglect
- 8 expertise on a graduate?
- 9 A I'm not sure I'd agree with that statement
- 10 because it does, it does include some content in those
- 11 areas.
- 12 Q Well, isn't "some content" different than
- 13 expertise?
- 14 A Well, think about medicine, a general
- 15 practitioner in medicine.
- 16 Q Well, a general practitioner in medicine does a
- 17 lot more than a three-year generalist degree.
- 18 A I'm not sure that's the case.
- 19 Q Are you saying that someone who becomes a general
- 20 doctor of medicine has only done three years of
- 21 university?
- 22 A No, but I'm saying they haven't done much more in
- 23 terms of --
- THE COMMISSIONER: You're saying they don't come
- 25 out as a specialist.

- 1 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I -- thank you. They, they
- 2 really don't come out as a specialist in the same sense
- 3 that a general practitioner of social work doesn't come out
- 4 as a specialist.

6 BY MS. BOWLEY:

- 7 Q That was my point.
- 8 A Yeah.
- 9 Q Thank you.
- 10 A But I would not agree that there's no content.
- 11 Q Oh, I wasn't suggesting that there was no
- 12 content. I'm just saying that B.S.W.s do not come out
- 13 with, for example, a concentration that's listed on their
- 14 transcript as to child in protection -- child protection
- 15 services.
- 16 A No, not unless they elect that program -- oh,
- 17 child protection, no, you're correct.
- 18 Q Okay. And just so we're clear, again, there is
- 19 no special concentration in child protection services --
- 20 A That is correct.
- 21 Q -- for someone with a B.S.W. from --
- 22 A Yeah.
- 23 Q -- the University of Manitoba.
- 24 A Yeah. Or any other B.S.W. program in the
- 25 country.

APRIL 24, 2013

- H. FRANKEL CR-EX. (BOWLEY)
- H. FRANKEL CR-EX. (PAUL)
- 1 Q And would you agree that after graduation, in
- 2 order to do child protection work a graduate with a B.S.W.
- 3 from the University of Manitoba would need on-the-job
- 4 training in order to broaden their knowledge base?
- 5 A I, I would agree they need -- they would need
- 6 training, yes, and supervision and mentorship.
- 7 MS. BOWLEY: Thank you, sir. Those are my
- 8 questions.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Sure.
- 10 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Ms. Bowley.
- 11 Mr. Paul?

- 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PAUL:
- 14 Q Good after, Dean Frankel. My name's Sasha Paul.
- 15 I am one of the lawyers for the Department of Family
- 16 Services and Labour, as well as for Winnipeg Child and
- 17 Family Services --
- 18 A Um-hum.
- 19 and I just have a few brief questions for you.
- 20 Again, my understanding of your evidence is that
- 21 you've been an academic since 1988?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And I understand from your direct this morning
- 24 that essentially you've been a full-time academic since
- 25 that time?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q And that your connection to practice has been, I
- 3 think you said, limited to an employee assistance program?
- 4 A In terms of -- if we're talking about my own
- 5 direct practice --
- 6 Q Yes.
- 7 A -- with clients, but it's broader than that if
- 8 you consider my supervision of graduate students who are
- 9 doing practice. And I, I do mean clinical supervision, not
- 10 supervision of their academic work.
- 11 Q Okay, fair enough. It's fair to say that you're
- 12 not involved in the administration of a child welfare
- 13 agency operating in Manitoba? That's fair to say?
- 14 A That's fair to say.
- 15 Q Right.
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And, of course, my understanding of your
- 18 testimony is that your academic interests are centred upon
- 19 family practice and human behaviour?
- 20 A Okay, that's fair.
- 21 Q Fair enough?
- 22 A Um-hum.
- 23 Q And that your academic interest isn't directing
- 24 you to the administration of child and family service
- 25 agencies, per se?

- 1 A That would be correct.
- 2 Q Sorry?
- 3 A That's correct.
- 4 Q Okay. And we're expecting to hear evidence that
- 5 there has been the institution of a new funding model for
- 6 child and family services agencies. Can I take it that
- 7 that's not something that you're personally aware of?
- 8 A I'm certainly aware of it. I don't claim any
- 9 expertise in that area.
- 10 Q Okay. So no expertise in terms of how this
- 11 funding model works?
- 12 A Yeah, no.
- 13 Q And that you wouldn't be able to dispute that
- 14 there is influx of funds from the provincial government and
- 15 federal government presently?
- 16 A I wouldn't, no.
- 17 Q And that there is funding both for protection
- 18 cases and prevention cases?
- 19 A No. I --
- 20 Q And --
- 21 A I would defer to Dr. McKenzie on that one.
- 23 2001 to 2011, provincial funding of child welfare has
- 24 increased from 165 million to 423 million over that time
- 25 period?

- 1 A I'm actually aware of that. I --
- 2 Q Okay, you are --
- 3 A -- I would be --
- 4 Q -- aware of that?
- 5 A -- able to agree with that.
- 6 Q So you'd agree with it.
- 7 A Yeah.
- 8 Q And over that same time span, federal funding has
- 9 increased from 50 million to 125 million dollars? You
- 10 agree with that as well?
- 11 A I'm not sure about that.
- 12 Q You wouldn't be able to dispute that?
- 13 A No. Or confirm it.
- 14 Q Okay. In terms of the issue of training, we
- 15 expect to hear some evidence that when it comes to Winnipeg
- 16 Child and Family Services, that new workers have a limited
- 17 caseload when they come on now?
- 18 A Um-hum.
- 19 Q Or do you have any ability to comment on that?
- 20 A I -- that is my understanding.
- 21 Q Yeah. And that we also expect to hear evidence
- 22 that, of course, their caseload is gradually increased over
- 23 time to 20 files. You'd agree with that, as well.
- 24 A Yeah, that is my understanding.
- MR. PAUL: Mr. Commissioner, those are my quick

- 1 and brief questions (inaudible).
- THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Paul.
- 3 MR. PAUL: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner.
- 4 THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Khan.

6 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KHAN:

- 7 Q Hello, Dean Frankel. My name is Hafeez Khan. I
- 8 am counsel for Intertribal Child and Family Services.
- 9 I just wanted to clarify a few points. I may
- 10 have missed a couple things.
- 11 A Sure.
- 12 Q I just wanted to make sure I heard things
- 13 correctly. You were asked about your, your opinion on
- 14 social workers completing a B.S.W. while working with the
- 15 agency.
- 16 A Um-hum.
- 17 Q And I understood part of your answer to be the
- 18 reality is that there's a strong labour market demand for
- 19 social workers; is that correct?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q So you're aware of high turnover rates for child
- 22 protection workers, for example. Right?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q It's a stressful and challenging job?
- 25 A Um-hum.

- 1 Q You're not opposed to social workers continuing
- 2 their, their education, right?
- 3 A Oh, not at all. I think my point was that it
- 4 works best when their employer is supportive, either
- 5 financially or in terms of flexible, flexible work time.
- 6 Q Perfect. And during your testimony, I thought I
- 7 heard -- and correct me if I'm wrong, but I thought I heard
- 8 you mention that past graduates who have worked in child
- 9 protection field have -- some have said they like the work,
- 10 some have told you that it's just not for them.
- 11 A Yeah, that's true.
- 12 Q So you'd agree that, of course, child protection
- 13 work's not suited for everybody.
- 14 A Oh, absolutely.
- 15 Q And, and certainly just having a B.S.W. doesn't
- 16 necessarily mean that child protection work is for you.
- 17 A Right.
- 18 Q Correct?
- 19 A I would agree with that.
- 20 Q And again, correct me if I'm wrong, but I thought
- 21 I had heard you mention that a B.S.W. graduate is not
- 22 necessarily practice ready, that they should get mentorship
- 23 at the agency, sort of on-the-job training to basically get
- 24 into the work.
- 25 A Yes, I did say that, and I guess I'm making the

- 1 distinction between the B.S.W. graduate who's already
- 2 working in the agency --
- 3 Q Um-hum.
- 4 A -- and the B.S.W. graduate who has literally no
- 5 experience.
- 6 Q And that's what I meant.
- 7 A Yeah.
- 8 Q So someone who hasn't worked in CFS before --
- 9 A Yeah. I would --
- 10 Q -- just gone to school --
- 11 A -- agree with that.
- 12 Q -- and so on. Now, now you're, you're on the
- 13 board of the College of Social Workers; is that correct?
- 14 A There's a transition board.
- 15 Q Okay.
- 16 A Which -- the legislation allows the Minister to,
- 17 to appoint such a board.
- 18 Q And you talked about inclusivity, which --
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q -- which is about including social workers who,
- 21 who don't have a B.S.W.
- 22 A That's correct.
- 23 Q And from Mr. Gindin's questions, that -- you're
- 24 referring to social workers with experience in social,
- 25 social work.

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Did you also -- and, and again, I, I may have
- 3 misheard you. Did you also -- is it your personal opinion
- 4 that an individual should have a Bachelor of Social Work
- 5 before they do child protection work?
- 6 A It's, it's my personal opinion, yes.
- 7 Q But you're not saying that current social workers
- 8 without a Bachelor of Social Work aren't doing just as good
- 9 a job?
- 10 A I really don't know.
- 11 Q Oh, you --
- 12 A I have no way of evaluating that.
- 13 Q But isn't that somewhat conflicting with, with
- 14 the concept of inclusivity with respect to the, to the
- 15 college of social workers?
- 16 A I don't think so. Maybe you can ask the question
- 17 again.
- 18 Q Well, you said, you said that the college has a
- 19 commitment to including social workers who don't have a
- 20 B.S.W.
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q So they call themselves social workers.
- 23 A Um-hum.
- 24 Q But your view is also that someone should have a
- 25 B.S.W. before becoming a social worker.

- 1 A Ideally, yes. So ideally, yes, but this is, this
- 2 is not an ideal situation. And I, I guess my response was
- 3 I really have no way to evaluate the practice of either
- 4 B.S.W. graduates or non-B.S.W. graduates doing child
- 5 welfare work so I, I simply don't know, and I, I don't
- 6 think there's -- I'm not aware of any literature in that
- 7 area.
- 8 Q So you're not saying that someone without a
- 9 B.S.W. isn't doing -- you're not in a position to say
- 10 whether or not they're doing a good job or not.
- 11 A No, exactly. I don't know.
- MR. KHAN: Thank you, those are my questions.
- THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Khan. No, we
- 14 got --
- THE WITNESS: There's a race.
- THE COMMISSIONER: We're not through yet.
- 17 You'll, you'll be last --
- 18 UNIDENTIFIED PERSON: Yeah.
- 19 THE COMMISSIONER: -- before any re-examination.
- 20 Yeah.
- MS. HARRIS: (Inaudible).
- 22 THE COMMISSIONER: You're counsel for the General
- 23 Authority; am I right?
- MS. HARRIS: Correct. Correct, Mr. Commissioner.

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HARRIS:

- 3 counsel for the General Authority. I just have a few
- 4 questions for you.
- 5 A Sure.
- 6 Q Would you agree that it would be best practice
- 7 that there be a smooth and seamless transition between the
- 8 education that students receive in the school and then the
- 9 further on-the-job training that they receive once they're
- 10 out in the workforce?
- 11 A I, I would agree in situations where the
- 12 student's field placement is related to their job. That's
- 13 not always the case.
- 14 Q Thank you. And in the case of a person who is --
- 15 a student who was leaving the Faculty of Social Work and is
- 16 entering into child protection specifically --
- 17 A Um-hum.
- 19 there to be some coordination of training so that --
- 20 A Um-hum.
- 21 Q -- that transition is more seamless?
- 22 A I, I would agree.
- 23 O There's been discussion about the fact that not
- 24 -- some people don't want to go into the area of child
- 25 protection.

- 1 A Um-hum.
- 2 Q In your opinion, would it be helpful to draw
- 3 students to child protection practice or child welfare
- 4 practice after they've completed their degree if they knew
- 5 that they would receive comprehensive training very early
- 6 in their careers in the workplace?
- 7 A I, I think that would make a difference. I would
- 8 observe, though, that students -- my observation is that
- 9 students make that decision quite early in their
- 10 educational program so this probably isn't something I
- 11 would present to students in their final year. I don't
- 12 think that would make much difference.
- 13 O So it would be an inducement if the information
- 14 about what type of on-the-job training they would receive
- 15 would be introduced to them very early on in their
- 16 education as --
- 17 A I would agree with that, yeah.
- 18 Q And similarly, if students were aware that if
- 19 they went into child protection practice, that very early
- 20 in their career they would again have a dedicated mentor or
- 21 coach that would be different from their supervisor --
- 22 A Um-hum.
- 23 O -- would that be of assistance in inducing those
- 24 students to enter into the field of child welfare?
- 25 A I think it would be.

- 1 Q And you again would agree that introducing that
- 2 information earlier rather than later in their curriculum
- 3 or, you know, in terms of --
- 4 A Um-hum.
- 5 Q -- how information is delivered to students at
- 6 the faculty, would be helpful.
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Okay. Do you also agree that it's best practice
- 9 to ease new graduates in child protection into their
- 10 caseloads on a graduated basis?
- 11 A Oh, absolutely.
- 12 Q Earlier you referenced your belief that part of
- 13 the job for the Faculty of Social Work is to advocate for
- 14 the socially marginalized.
- 15 A Um-hum.
- 16 Q Would you agree that it would be an important
- 17 tool or, or important assistance for youth who are in care
- 18 and are transitioning from care as adults, to achieve
- 19 independence by having access to various supports including
- 20 free post-secondary education?
- 21 A I would agree, sure.
- 22 Q Are you aware that a number of post-secondary
- 23 educational institutions have already committed in Manitoba
- 24 to provide tuition waivers to children --
- 25 A Um-hum.

- 1 Q -- who are in care?
- 2 A Yes, I am aware of that.
- 3 Q And would you agree that it would be beneficial
- 4 for the University of Manitoba to also provide free tuition
- 5 waivers to students who are transitioning out of --
- 6 A I'm, I'm not sure if it would be -- if I'm
- 7 prepared to be that specific, that it would be tuition
- 8 waivers as, as opposed to other mechanisms.
- 9 Q But you would agree that it would be beneficial
- 10 for the University of Manitoba to offer some form of
- 11 financial assistance to youth transitioning out of care, to
- 12 assist them in obtaining an education --
- 13 A Absolutely.
- 14 Q -- post-secondary.
- 15 A Yeah.
- 16 Q In what ways are issues of diversity -- for
- 17 example, dealing with newcomer communities, in what ways
- 18 are issues of diversity changing the nature of social work
- 19 education?
- 20 A Changing the nature of social -- it's, it's
- 21 really throughout our curriculum. I mean, it is one of the
- 22 realities of practice in Manitoba. So as, as you heard
- 23 earlier, the human behaviour course which is sort of one
- 24 the foundational courses introduces the idea of diversity.
- 25 But I would say it's really -- it's woven throughout our

- 1 curriculum.
- 2 Q In what ways can you or would you like to see
- 3 further collaboration between authorities or child welfare
- 4 agencies and the faculty?
- 5 A Well, I think we're beginning to see some of it
- 6 so, for example, I know the, the General Authority has had
- 7 a staff person involved in our curriculum review committee.
- 8 We seek to have practitioners on our B.S.W. program
- 9 committee, which is the committee that sort of is concerned
- 10 with, with that curriculum. So I guess I would say, you
- 11 know, more, more involvement like that. More
- 12 representation. But the mechanism's already there; the
- 13 take-up isn't, isn't all that great. Yeah, I think I will
- 14 leave it there.
- MS. HARRIS: Thank you. Those are my questions.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Sure.
- 17 THE COMMISSIONER: Just for the record, I'm still
- 18 getting used to counsels' names. Would you put your name
- 19 on the record?
- 20 MS. HARRIS: Certainly, Mr. Commissioner. It's
- 21 Laurelle Harris.
- 22 THE COMMISSIONER: That's what I thought, but I
- 23 was not just quite certain and so that, that will now be on
- 24 the record.
- MS. HARRIS: Thank you.

- 1 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you very much.
- 2 Anybody else? Mr. Saxberg?
- 3 MR. SAXBERG: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner.

5 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SAXBERG:

- 6 Q I just -- Chris Saxberg, for the Northern
- 7 Authority, the Southern Authority, and ANCR.
- 8 Just want to clarify one area. You were asked
- 9 whether or not you believed it should be a requirement for
- 10 a freshly minted frontline social worker or for any
- 11 frontline social worker to have a B.S.W. Do you recall
- 12 your evidence on that point?
- 13 A I don't. I hope I said I thought it should be a
- 14 requirement.
- 15 Q Yeah, I think you said that at this point in time
- 16 with the workload demands, it's essential that we don't
- 17 have that requirement --
- 18 A Ah.
- 19 Q -- but as a --
- 20 A In an ideal -- yeah.
- 21 Q But as an academic, your inclination is that that
- 22 would be a good thing to have that.
- 23 A Um-hum.
- 24 Q And you're familiar with the provincial
- 25 foundational standards?

- 1 A I am.
- 2 Q And you're aware that standard 1.8.2 deals with
- 3 human resource practices? Are you aware of that
- 4 specifically?
- 5 A I don't have an encyclopedic knowledge of, of it.
- 6 MR. SAXBERG: And I, I -- if I -- I, I don't have
- 7 the CD number that we could put on the screen that is the
- 8 current provincial foundational standards but I, I just
- 9 want --
- 10 THE COMMISSIONER: Do you have a copy of it
- 11 yourself?
- MR. SAXBERG: I'm looking at the internet right
- 13 now, the, the foundational standards on the internet.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Um-hum.

- 16 BY MR. SAXBERG:
- 17 Q But I think it'll serve our purpose if I just
- 18 draw your attention to what the foundational standard --
- 19 A Sure.
- 20 Q -- requirements are just for the purpose of
- 21 asking whether you agree.
- THE COMMISSIONER: This is 1.8.2 you're going to
- 23 read, are you?
- 24 MR. SAXBERG: Right. Of the --
- THE COMMISSIONER: Fair enough.

```
MR. SAXBERG: -- current provincial foundational
1
  standards.
2
 3
             THE WITNESS: Yes.
             MR. SAXBERG: And it's --
 4
 5
             THE COMMISSIONER: 1.8.2.
 6
             MR. SAXBERG: Yeah, under the title, Human
7
   Resources Practices.
8
             THE WITNESS: Right, um-hum.
9
10
    BY MR. SAXBERG:
             And at standard number 3, Field Staff 2 (FS2)
11
12
    Designation. And it says that:
13
14
                  "An agency must not designate a
15
                  person hired to do front-line
16
                  child protection work as an FS2
17
                  unless the person meets one of the
18
                  following criteria."
19
20
             And the first is a B.S.W.
21
        Α
            Yes.
22
         Q
           Accredited -- from an accredited university.
    Second -- and you agree with that --
23
```

Q -- as an ultimate goal, once we get past the

24

25

Α

Um-hum.

- 1 workload.
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q -- problems. Second is Master of Social Work
- 4 degree from an accredited university.
- 5 A Right.
- 6 Q You agree with that.
- 7 A Um-hum.
- 8 Q Yes?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And a completed Pre-Master in Social Work from an
- 11 accredited university. Would you agree with that?
- 12 A Actually, I don't.
- 13 Q Okay. And why not?
- 14 A Well, there are very few pre-master's programs in
- 15 the country. We are one of the few. But our pre-master's
- 16 program is not designed as a credential. It's -- and, and
- 17 we're very clear about that in all of our material. But
- 18 it's, it's really designed to prepare students for the
- 19 master's program. So it's not a credential I would stand
- 20 behind and say this a social work degree.
- 21 Q Right, but you need a B.S.W. to get a pre-
- 22 master's.
- 23 A No.
- Q You do not?
- 25 A No. The pre-master's program is specifically for

- 1 students who have a bachelor's degree in a discipline other
- 2 than social work.
- 3 Q Okay.
- A So it's, it's, in a sense, an additional year to
- 5 the master's program.
- 6 Q Okay. The next criteria in the provincial
- 7 standard is:

- 9 "... [a] related social services
- 10 degree from an accredited
- 11 university plus two years social
- 12 service experience."

- 14 A I, I would agree that needs to be included
- 15 because there are always exceptions.
- 16 Q And Mr. McKinnon has provided me with the page
- 17 number, for the record. It's page four ...
- 18 MR. MCKINNON: Sorry (inaudible). Just make sure
- 19 I've got the right one, Mr. Commissioner. 42569.
- 20 MR. SAXBERG: 42569.
- THE COMMISSIONER: 42569. We'll see if the clerk
- 22 can find that.
- MR. SAXBERG: And if, if I could ask the clerk to
- 24 just scroll down, it's standard number 3 --
- THE WITNESS: Um-hum.

```
MR. SAXBERG: -- that we're referring, so --
1
2
             THE WITNESS: Yeah.
 3
             MR. SAXBERG: -- I'm on --
             THE COMMISSIONER: My screen's not working today,
4
5
    but now I'm just going to -- I can get along fine, but I'm
    just telling you that so after we adjourn you can look into
6
7
    that.
8
             THE CLERK: I will.
9
             THE COMMISSIONER: Okay.
10
11
    BY MR. SAXBERG:
12
             And I was on bullet number 4.
        Q
13
        Α
            Right.
14
15
                  "[A] related social services
16
                  degree
                            from an accredited
17
                  university plus two years social
18
                  service experience."
19
20
             That's what the provincial standard is and that's
21
    in -- as an alternative to having a B.S.W. And the
22
    question I have for you is, what's your view as to whether
23
    that's an appropriate standard?
24
        A I, I think it's appropriate depending on the
    definition of a "related social service degree." And by
25
```

```
that I mean some programs may not call their degree a
   Bachelor of Social Work. They may call it a Bachelor of
2
   Social Welfare, a Bachelor of Social Services, so I think
3
   that, that is necessary.
4
```

Q And the next bullet is: 5

1

"[A] post-secondary diploma in a 7 related field plus three years 8 direct child welfare experience." 9

10

- 11 Is that something that you see is an appropriate
- 12 qualification as an alternative to having a B.S.W.?
- 13 As an alternative, yes.
- 14 And the next is: Q

15

16 "Post-secondary certificate in a related field plus five years 17 18 direct child welfare experience."

19

I -- honestly, I have difficulty sort of in the 20 21 quantification of years of experience. I understand why 22 these requirements are there, why these standards are

23 there. I, I would say I have difficulty with it going

24 forward, but I understand the need for them at the present

25 time.

- H. FRANKEL CR-EX. (SAXBERG) APRIL 24, 2013
- H. FRANKEL CR-EX. (VERSACE)
- 1 Q Okay. And you see the final exception there
- 2 being --
- 3 A Um-hum.
- 4 Q -- if you have ten years' experience, direct
- 5 experience in child welfare, but no post-secondary degree,
- 6 that at present the provincial foundational standard allows
- 7 that to be --
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 an alternative to having a B.S.W. And at this
- 10 point in time, you don't oppose that, especially in --
- 11 A No.
- 12 Q -- light of the workload requirements.
- 13 A Exactly.
- MR. SAXBERG: Okay. Those are all my questions.
- 15 Thank you.

- 16 THE WITNESS: Thanks.
- 17 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Saxberg.
- Now is there anybody else? It would appear not,
- 19 so counsel, it's your turn.
- MS. VERSACE: Good afternoon, Mr. Commissioner.
- 21 It's Maria Versace from the University of Manitoba, and I
- 22 just have a couple of very brief questions.
- 24 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. VERSACE:
- 25 Q Dr. Frankel, you had mentioned earlier that the

- 1 University of Manitoba is the only accredited university in
- 2 the Province of Manitoba; is that correct?
- 3 A That the Faculty of Social Work --
- 4 O Social --
- 5 A -- is, is the only --
- 6 Q First --
- 7 A Has the only accredited social work program --
- 8 Q Thank you.
- 9 A -- in the province, yes.
- 10 Q Thank you for clarifying that. You had also
- 11 mentioned that the Faculty of Social Work is currently
- 12 redesigning its program --
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 O -- based on feedback from various --
- 15 A Um-hum.
- 16 Q -- groups. My question is, is the faculty's
- 17 ability to redesign the program, is that affected by the
- 18 accreditation standards?
- 19 A Well, we really redesign the program with the
- 20 standards in mind so they, they provide some of the
- 21 guidance for, for redesigning the program. It
- 22 certainly presents some limitations. It will specify, for
- 23 example, what percentage of a student's credit hours will
- 24 be social work content as opposed to general social science
- 25 content. So, so, yes, there are limitations.

PROCEEDINGS APRIL 24, 2013

```
1 MS. VERSACE: Okay. And that -- I believe that's
```

- 2 really the only thing I wanted to clarify.
- 3 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
- 4 MS. VERSACE: Thank you.
- 5 THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Globerman?
- 6 MR. GLOBERMAN: I just have a few brief
- 7 questions --
- 8 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes.
- 9 MR. GLOBERMAN: -- in re-examination.
- I just wanted to confirm for the record, I
- 11 referred earlier to Commission disclosure 2109. I just
- 12 wanted to confirm that that document runs through to page
- 13 44706; I forgot to do that earlier. Oh, Commission
- 14 disclosure 2109.
- 15 THE WITNESS: I was going to say, I've never seen
- 16 this.
- 17 MR. GLOBERMAN: It's the document on standards
- 18 for accreditation.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Ah.
- 20 MR. GLOBERMAN: Just runs through to page 44706.
- 21 And you can see at the bottom it says, Page 16 of 16.
- 22 THE COMMISSIONER: Is that, is that the document
- 23 you mean?
- MR. GLOBERMAN: Yes --
- THE WITNESS: Yes.

- 1 MR. GLOBERMAN: -- Mr. Commissioner.
- THE COMMISSIONER: Well, according to my sheet,
- 3 that's 44691.
- 4 MR. GLOBERMAN: The, the first page is. I was
- 5 just clarifying for the record --
- 6 THE COMMISSIONER: Oh.
- 7 MR. GLOBERMAN: -- that the document runs through
- 8 to --
- 9 THE COMMISSIONER: Oh.
- 10 MR. GLOBERMAN: -- page 44706.
- 11 THE COMMISSIONER: Correct.

13 RE-EXAMINATION BY MR. GLOBERMAN:

- 14 Q Just have a few brief questions for you.
- 15 A Sure.
- 16 Q How many students that are enrolled at the
- 17 Faculty of Social Work graduate with that concentration in
- 18 child and family services?
- 19 A Given we, we just implemented it two years ago,
- 20 the first graduates wouldn't -- the earliest the first
- 21 graduates would come through is next year, so, so at this
- 22 point there are none.
- 23 Q And do you know how many are enrolled in that
- 24 concentration?
- 25 A I would say there are roughly 30.

- 1 Q Now, earlier when we were discussing people
- 2 working child welfare and child protection, you were using
- 3 the terms somewhat interchangeably. Can you give me an
- 4 example of somebody working in child welfare but not in
- 5 child protection?
- 6 A Sure. Somebody who is working with, with parents
- 7 of a child who's been defined as difficult. So someone
- 8 who's, who's working on parenting skills, parenting
- 9 attitudes, that kind of thing. As compared to somebody who
- 10 is doing an abuse investigation and, and, for that matter,
- 11 working with a family where it's been substantiated that
- 12 abuse has occurred and, and evaluating whether there's been
- 13 progress.
- 14 Q I believe Mr. Khan, for Intertribal, was asking
- 15 you about comparing people working with a Bachelor of
- 16 Social Work degree compared to people that don't have a
- 17 degree, and I believe he was asking you to kind of confirm
- 18 that you're not in a position to, to say that one are doing
- 19 better than the other. I believe that was his line of
- 20 questioning. Do you have any knowledge as to how graduates
- 21 with a Bachelor of Social Work degree compare in child --
- 22 in the child protection field compare to those without such
- 23 a degree?
- 24 A Again, I don't have empirical knowledge so I
- 25 cannot point to a study, but I mean, I can point to my own

- 1 observations as a practitioner as well as sort of the
- 2 consensus among social work educators and, and I would say
- 3 clearly the -- a social work education is preferable to not
- 4 having a social work education. I think that's as
- 5 definitive as I can be, really.
- 6 Q That's fair enough. Now, you testified about
- 7 the, the workload issues that you're aware of and the
- 8 demand --
- 9 A Um-hum.
- 10 Q -- for social workers in the profession. Would
- 11 you like to see the Faculty of Social Work admit more
- 12 students?
- 13 A I would like to see something really similar to
- 14 the nursing initiative that the province is continuing to,
- 15 to support. I would really like to see a social work
- 16 initiative in this province.
- 17 Q Can you explain what you mean by that?
- 18 A Well, for me that means a comprehensive labour
- 19 force assessment and plan and, certainly, we could start in
- 20 the area of child welfare. I don't think we, we have a
- 21 comprehensive picture of really what the labour force needs
- 22 are. And then related to that, I would like to see
- 23 increased support to, to the university to admit more
- 24 social work students maybe in the area of child welfare. I
- 25 think that's a possibility. So very much like the province

- 1 has committed to, to making more spaces available for
- 2 nursing students based on their assessment of the labour
- 3 force, I think we could go through a similar process with,
- 4 with child welfare and other areas of social work.
- 5 MR. GLOBERMAN: I believe those are all my
- 6 questions for this witness, Mr. Commissioner.
- 7 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Globerman.
- 8 Well, Dean Frankel, you're finished.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.
- 10 THE COMMISSIONER: I want to thank you very much
- 11 for coming. I'm a great believer that besides the teaching
- 12 and the research, that there's a place for coming down into
- 13 the real world and this has been a real example of coming
- 14 down and --
- THE WITNESS: Couldn't agree with you more.
- 16 THE COMMISSIONER: And I, I, I think it's the
- 17 proper place for a university to be involved, and
- 18 appreciate the time and concentration and study you've put
- 19 into being ready to come and give us the benefit of your
- 20 advice today.
- 21 THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.
- THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
- THE WITNESS: I agree.
- 24 THE COMMISSIONER: So you, you can leave the
- 25 stand.

PROCEEDINGS APRIL 24, 2013

1 THE WITNESS: Ah, thank you.

2

3 (WITNESS EXCUSED)

- 5 THE COMMISSIONER: Now, we're obviously not going
- 6 to have Dr. Wright back tonight. Mr. Globerman, you want
- 7 to speak to that?
- 8 MR. GLOBERMAN: Yeah, I believe she'll be called
- 9 back at a later day, perhaps by teleconference, to have her
- 10 cross-examination conducted.
- 11 THE COMMISSIONER: That's what I understand.
- MR. GLOBERMAN: But I believe the schedule, as
- 13 far as tomorrow is concerned, it's as is.
- 14 THE COMMISSIONER: Our schedule tomorrow will
- 15 hold as it is.
- MR. GLOBERMAN: That's my understanding.
- 17 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. And that, that
- 18 arrangements will be made for a convenient time for the
- 19 cross-examination of Dr. Wright, and that'll be done in
- 20 collaboration by your office and all other counsel.
- MR. GLOBERMAN: Yes, sir.
- THE COMMISSIONER: All right. So we're adjourned
- 23 till 9:30 in the morning?
- MR. GLOBERMAN: Yes, sir.
- THE COMMISSIONER: All right. We stand adjourned

PROCEEDINGS APRIL 24, 2013

1 as of now. Thank you.

2

3 (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED TO APRIL 25, 2013)